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#### Abstract

In this paper, variational iteration algorithm-I with an auxiliary parameter is implemented to investigate Fokker-Planck equations. To show the accuracy and reliability of the technique comparisons are made between the variational iteration algorithm-I with an auxiliary parameter and classic variational iteration algorithm-I. The comparison shows that variational iteration algorithm-I with an auxiliary parameter is more powerful and suitable method for solving Fokker-Planck equations. Furthermore, the proposed algorithm can successfully be applied to a large class of nonlinear and linear problems.


## 1. Introduction

The aim of this work is to apply the variational iteration algorithm-I [1] with an auxiliary parameter for the analytical treatment of the Fokker-Planck equation. The method is able to provide analytical results for nonlinear and linear problems, in a direct way very conveniently. One of the main characteristics of this method is that approximate solution of great accuracy can be obtained by only a few iterations. This method has a simple procedure, acceptable results and above all, this method can successfully be applied to a large class of linear and nonlinear problems [2]-[6].
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## 2. Variational Iteration Algorithm-I

Consider a general differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L[u(x)]+N[u(x)]=c(x) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the terms $L[u(x)]$ and $N[u(x)]$ represent the linear and nonlinear term respectively, while $c(x)$ is the inhomogeneous source term. Constructing a correction function for Eq. (1) as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k+1}(x)=u_{k}(x)+\int_{0}^{x} \lambda(\eta)\left[L\left\{u_{k}(\eta)\right\}+N\left\{\widetilde{u_{k}(\eta)}\right\}-c(\eta)\right] d \eta \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is a parameter, which is not known and called the Lagrange multiplier [7].
Taking the variation $\delta$ on the one side as well as the other side of Eq. (2) with respect to $u_{k}(x)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta u_{k+1}(x)=\delta u_{k}(x)+\delta \int_{0}^{x} \lambda(\eta)\left[L\left\{u_{k}(\eta)\right\}+N\left\{\overline{u_{k}(\eta)}\right\}-c(\eta)\right] d \eta \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{u_{k}(\eta)}$ is considered as a restricted term which means $\overline{\delta(\eta)}=0$.
Using optimality conditions, the value of Lagrange multiplier $\lambda(\eta)$ can be identified. An exact solution obtains when $k \rightarrow \infty$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u_{k}(x) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In short, the formula for equation (1) is,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{0}(x) \text { is an appropriate initial approximation, }  \tag{5}\\
u_{k+1}(x)=u_{k}(x)+\int_{0}^{x} \lambda(\eta)\left[L\left\{u_{k}(\eta)\right\}+N\left\{u_{k}(\eta)\right\}-c(\eta)\right] d \eta \\
k=0,1,2,3, \ldots .
\end{array}\right.
$$

This technique is called VIA-I, which is a further development of the general Lagrange multiplier technique for solving nonlinear problems by Inokuti et al. [7]. Now this method [8]-[10] has been developed [11-12] to solve a lot of problems arise in various fields of sciences.

## 3. Insertion of an Auxiliary Parameter in Variational Iteration Algorithm-I

In VIA-I, an auxiliary parameter $h$ can be inserted. The optimal choice of unknown $h$ improves the correctness, precision and effectiveness of the technique. After inserting $h$, equation (5) will become

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{0}(x) \text { is an appropriate initial approximation, }  \tag{6}\\
u_{1}(x, h)=u_{0}(x)+h \int_{0}^{x} \lambda(\eta)\left[L\left\{u_{0}(\eta)\right\}+N\left\{u_{0}(\eta)\right\}-c(\eta)\right] d \eta \\
u_{k+1}(x, h)=u_{k}(x, h)+h \int_{0}^{x} \lambda(\eta)\left[L\left\{u_{k}(\eta, h)\right\}+N\left\{u_{k}(\eta, h)\right\}-c(\eta, h)\right] d \eta \\
k=1,2,3, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

This technique is known as VIA-I with AP. Actually, this technique is simple, has a lesser size of calculation, not difficult to analyze and have the ability to approximate the solution precisely in solution domain of wide range.

## 4. The Fokker-Planck Equation [2]

In this section, the general form of Fokker-Planck equation which is also called forward Kolmogorov equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} A(x)+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} B(x)\right] u(x, t) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with conditions:

$$
u(x, 0)=f(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{R}
$$

It is the equation for the motion of concentration field $u(x, t)$. The backward Kolmogorov equation can be written in the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=-\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} A(x, t)+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} B(x, t)\right] u(x, t) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the initial conditions,

$$
u(x, 0)=f(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{R}
$$

and

$$
A(x, t)=-(x+1)
$$

$$
B(x, t)=x^{2} e^{t}
$$

Then equation (8) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\left[\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} x^{2} e^{t}-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(x+1)\right] u(x, t) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, we solve this example by VIA-I.
Constructing the correction function for equation (9) as,

$$
u_{k+1}(x, t)=u_{k}(x, t)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\int_{0}^{t} \lambda(\eta)\left\{\frac{\partial u_{k}(x, \eta)}{\partial \eta}+\frac{\partial u_{k}(x+\tilde{1})}{\partial \eta} u_{k}(x, \eta)-\frac{\partial^{2}\left(\tilde{x}^{2} e^{\eta}\right)}{\partial x^{2}} u_{k}(x, \eta)\right\} d \eta \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the variation $\delta$ on the one side as well as the other side with respect to $u_{k}(x, t)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta u_{k+1}(x, t)= & \delta u_{k}(x, t) \\
& +\delta \int_{0}^{t} \lambda(\eta)\left\{\frac{\partial u_{k}(x, \eta)}{\partial \eta}+\frac{\partial u_{k}(x+\tilde{1})}{\partial \eta} u_{k}(x, \eta)-\frac{\partial^{2}\left(\tilde{x}^{2} e^{\eta}\right)}{\partial x^{2}} u_{k}(x, \eta)\right\} d \eta
\end{aligned}
$$

Ignoring the restricted terms

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta u_{k+1}(x, t) & =\delta u_{k}(x, t)+\delta \int_{0}^{t} \lambda(\eta)\left\{\frac{\partial u_{k}(x, \eta)}{\partial \eta}\right\} d \eta \\
& =\delta u_{k}(x, t)+\lambda(\eta) \delta u_{k}(x, t)-\int_{0}^{t} \lambda^{\prime}(\eta) \delta u_{k}(x, \eta) d \eta \\
& =(1+\lambda(\eta)) \delta u_{k}(x, t)-\int_{0}^{t} \lambda^{\prime}(\eta) \delta u_{k}(x, \eta) d \eta
\end{aligned}
$$

The stationary conditions are:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda^{\prime}(\eta)=0 \\
1+\lambda(\eta)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

we get the value of $\lambda(\eta)$ which is $\lambda(\eta)=-1$.

Using this value of $\lambda(\eta)$ in equation (10) results in the below iterative scheme:

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{k+1}(x, t)= & u_{k}(x, t) \\
& -\int_{0}^{t}\left\{\frac{\partial u_{k}(x, \eta)}{\partial \eta}+\frac{\partial u_{k}(x+1)}{\partial \eta} u_{k}(x, \eta)-\frac{\partial^{2}\left(x^{2} e^{\eta}\right)}{\partial x^{2}} u_{k}(x, \eta)\right\} d \eta . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

starting with

$$
u_{0}(x, t)=x+1
$$

other approximations by using the scheme (11),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}(x, t)=(t+1)(x+1) \\
& u_{2}(x, t)=\frac{(x+1)\left(\left(t^{2}+2 t+2\right)\right)}{2} \\
& u_{3}(x, t)=\frac{(x+1)\left(\left(t^{3}+3 t^{2}+6 t+6\right)\right)}{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

we stop the procedure at $u_{10}(x, t)$. The absolute error of $u_{10}(x, t)$ in the solution domain $(x, t) \in[0,5] \times[0,1]$ can be seen in Figure 1.


Figure 1. Absolute error betwixt the exact and approximate solutions by VIA-I.

Now we want to solve this problem by VIA-I with AP.
Using VIA-I with AP, the recurrence relation for equation (9) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{k+1}(x, t, h)=u_{k}(x, t, h)-h \int_{0}^{t}\left\{\frac{\partial u_{k}(x, \eta, h)}{\partial \eta}+\frac{\partial u_{k}(x+1)}{\partial \eta} u_{k}(x, \eta, h)\right. \\
&\left.-\frac{\partial^{2}\left(x^{2} e^{\eta}\right)}{\partial x^{2}} u_{k}(x, \eta, h)\right\} d \eta \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Starting with

$$
u_{0}(x, t)=x+1
$$

Other approximations can be get by using the recurrence relation (12),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}(x, t, h)=(h t+1)(x+1) \\
& u_{2}(x, t, h)=(h t+1)(x+1)+\frac{h t(x+1)((h t-2 h+2))}{2} \\
& u_{3}(x, t, h)=\frac{(x+1)\left(h^{3} t^{3}-6 h^{3} t^{2}+6 h^{3} t+9 h^{2} t^{2}-18 h^{2} t+18 h t+6\right)}{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

we stop the procedure at $u_{10}(x, t, h)$.
The following residual function is defined

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{10}(x, t, h)=\frac{\partial u_{10}(x, t, h)}{\partial \eta}+\frac{\partial u_{10}(x+1)}{\partial \eta} u_{10}(x, t, h)-\frac{\partial^{2}\left(x^{2} e^{\eta}\right)}{\partial x^{2}} u_{10}(x, t, h) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The square of residual function for 10th-order approximation with respect to $h$ for $(x, t) \in[0,5] \times[0,1]$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{(11)^{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{10} \sum_{j=0}^{10}\left(r_{10}\left(\frac{5 i}{10}, \frac{j}{10}, h\right)\right)^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The minimum value of above square residual function occurs at $h=1.02408718538499$. Using this value of $h$ in $u_{10}(x, t, h)$ in the solution domain $(x, t) \in[0,5] \times[0,1]$, error betwixt the exact and approximate solutions can be seen in Figure 2.


Figure 2. Absolute error betwixt the approximate and exact solutions by VIA-I with AP.
Comparing Figure 1 and Figure 2, it is clear that VIA-I with AP gives better results as compared to VIA-I. Numerical comparison betwixt the exact and approximate solutions of both methods is given in the table below.

Table 1. Comparison of absolute errors for 6th order approximation by VIA-I and VIA-I with AP.

| $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{t}$ | Absolute Error in <br> VIA-I with AP | Absolute Error in <br> VIA-I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.5 | 0.1 | $3.553 \times 10^{-16}$ | $2.220 \times 10^{-16}$ |
| 1.0 | 0.2 | $2.665 \times 10^{-15}$ | $1.332 \times 10^{-15}$ |
| 1.5 | 0.3 | $2.398 \times 10^{-14}$ | $1.137 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 2.0 | 0.4 | $7.994 \times 10^{-15}$ | $3.261 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| 2.5 | 0.5 | $6.750 \times 10^{-14}$ | $4.467 \times 10^{-11}$ |
| 3.0 | 0.6 | $4.174 \times 10^{-13}$ | $3.826 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| 3.5 | 0.7 | $3.608 \times 10^{-12}$ | $2.376 \times 10^{-09}$ |
| 4.0 | 0.8 | $3.947 \times 10^{-11}$ | $1.152 \times 10^{-08}$ |
| 4.5 | 0.9 | $6.701 \times 10^{-10}$ | $4.672 \times 10^{-08}$ |
| 5.0 | 1.0 | $4.997 \times 10^{-09}$ | $1.639 \times 10^{-07}$ |

The above table shows that VIA-I with AP is better for a large domain of $t$ as compared to VIA-I.

## 5. Conclusions

In this paper, variational iteration algorithm-I with an auxiliary parameter has been used in a way that accomplished the desired aim for solving Fokker-Planck equation. This work has made sure that the variational iteration algorithm-I with an auxiliary parameter offers noteworthy advantages in terms of its easy applicability, its computational success, and its adequacy to solve a wide class of differential equations. Graphical and numerical results reveal that this modification of variational iteration algorithm-I is suitable for all linear and nonlinear problems arise in physical sciences and engineering, superior to the variational iteration algorithm-I.
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