



## New Class of Multivalent Functions Defined by Generalized $(p, q)$ -Bernard Integral Operator

Iqbal Ali Hasoon<sup>1</sup> and Najah Ali Jiben Al-Ziadi<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, College of Education, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Diwaniya, Iraq  
e-mail: lya175448@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, College of Education, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Diwaniya, Iraq  
e-mail: najah.ali@qu.edu.iq

### Abstract

Making use of the generalized  $(p, q)$ -Bernardi integral operator, we introduce and study a new class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  of multivalent analytic functions with negative coefficients in the open unit disk  $E$ . Several geometric characteristics are obtained, like, coefficient estimate, radii of convexity, close-to-convexity and starlikeness, closure theorems, extreme points, integral means inequalities, neighborhood property and convolution properties for functions belonging to the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$ .

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}_p$  represent the function class that has been normalised by

$$k(s) = s^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n s^n \quad (s \in E; n \geq p+1; p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}), \quad (1.1)$$

which in the open unit disk  $E = \{s : s \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |s| < 1\}$  are analytic and  $p$ -valent.

Let  $\mathcal{M}_p$  represent the function subclass of  $\mathcal{A}_p$  made up of the following functions:

---

Received: June 28, 2024; Accepted: July 8, 2024; Published: July 14, 2024

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C50.

Keywords and phrases: analytic function, multivalent function,  $(p, q)$ -Bernardi integral operator, coefficient estimate, radii of starlikeness and convexity, neighborhoods property.

\*Corresponding author

Copyright © 2024 the Authors

$$k(s) = s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n s^n \quad (s \in E; d_n \geq 0; n \geq p+1; p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}). \quad (1.2)$$

For the function  $k(s) \in \mathcal{M}_p$ , given by (1.2), and  $g(s) \in \mathcal{M}_p$  given by

$$g(s) = s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} f_n s^n \quad (s \in E; f_n \geq 0; n \geq p+1; p \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (1.3)$$

The definition of the convolution between  $k(s)$  and  $g(s)$  is the convolution of  $k(s)$  and  $g(s)$  is defined by

$$(k * g)(s) = s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n f_n s^n = (g * k)(s). \quad (1.4)$$

If the function  $k(s) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  meets the following criteria, it is referred to as  $p$ -valent starlike of order  $\xi$  ( $0 \leq \xi < p$ ).

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{sk'(s)}{k(s)} \right) > \xi \quad (s \in E; 0 \leq \xi < p; p \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (1.5)$$

If the function  $k(s) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  meets the following criteria, it is referred to as  $p$ -valent convex of order  $\xi$  ( $0 \leq \xi < p$ ).

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \frac{sk''(s)}{k'(s)} \right) > \xi \quad (s \in E; 0 \leq \xi < p; p \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (1.6)$$

Denote the class of  $p$ -valent starlikes of order  $\xi$  by  $S_n^*(p, \xi)$  and represent the class of  $p$ -valent convex of order  $\xi$  by  $C_n(p, \xi)$ , which Owa [15] investigated. Observations show that

$$k(s) \in C_n(p, \xi) \leftrightarrow \frac{sk'(s)}{p} \in S_n^*(p, \xi).$$

If the function  $k(s) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  meets the following criteria, it is referred to as  $p$ -valent close to convex of order  $\xi$  ( $0 \leq \xi < p$ ).

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{k'(s)}{s^{p-1}} \right) > \xi \quad (s \in E; 0 \leq \xi < p; p \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (1.7)$$

More recently, Srivastava *et al.* [21] studied the generalized  $(p, q)$ -Bernardi integral operator of multivalent functions as follows:

**Definition 1.1.** For  $k(s) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ , the generalized  $(p, q)$ -Bernardi integral operator of multivalent functions  $\beta_{m,q}^p : \mathcal{A}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_p$  is defined by

$$\beta_{m,q}^p k(s) := \begin{cases} \beta_{1,q}^p (\beta_{m-1,q}^p k(s)), & (m \in \mathbb{N}) \\ k(s), & (m = 0), \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

where  $\beta_{1,q}^p k(s)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{1,q}^p k(s) &= \frac{[p + \omega]_q}{s^\omega} \int_0^s t^{\omega-1} k(t) d_q t \\ &= s^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{[p + \omega]_q}{[n + \omega]_q} d_n s^n \quad (\omega > -p; s \in E), \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

Thus, in the particular instance when  $p = 1$ , results in the well-known  $q$ -Bernardi integral operator indicated by (see to [14]).

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{1,q}^1 k(s) &= \frac{[1 + \omega]_q}{s^\omega} \int_0^s t^{\omega-1} k(t) d_q t \\ &= s + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[1 + \omega]_q}{[n + \omega]_q} d_n s^n \quad (\omega > -1; s \in E). \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

From  $\beta_{1,q}^p k(s)$ , we conclude that

$$\beta_{2,q}^p k(s) = \beta_{1,q}^p (\beta_{1,q}^p k(s)) = s^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{[p + \omega]_q}{[n + \omega]_q} \right)^2 d_n s^n, \quad (\omega > -p)$$

and

$$\beta_{m,q}^p k(s) = s^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{[p + \omega]_q}{[n + \omega]_q} \right)^m d_n s^n \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}, \omega > -p). \quad (1.11)$$

**Definition 1.2.** We say that class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  contain function  $k(s) \in \mathcal{M}_p$  if and only if satisfies the condition:

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{s (\beta_{m,q}^p k(s))' + \delta s^2 (\beta_{m,q}^p k(s))''}{(1 - \lambda)s^p + (1 - \delta)\lambda \beta_{m,q}^p k(s) + \delta \lambda s (\beta_{m,q}^p k(s))'} \right\}$$

$$> \alpha \left| \frac{s \left( \beta_{m,q}^p k(s) \right)' + \delta s^2 \left( \beta_{m,q}^p k(s) \right)''}{(1 - \lambda)s^p + (1 - \delta)\lambda \beta_{m,q}^p k(s) + \delta \lambda s \left( \beta_{m,q}^p k(s) \right)'} - p \right| + \gamma, \quad (1.12)$$

wherever  $s \in E$ ,  $\alpha, q \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma < p$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq p + 1$ ;  $p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .

**Remark 1.1.** The following different subclasses as studied by various authors when  $m = 0$ .

- 1) When  $p = 1$ , the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  abbreviates to the class  $\mathcal{NA}(\beta, \lambda, \gamma, \alpha)$  that Ajil [1] presented and discussed.
- 2) When  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\lambda = 1$ , the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  abbreviates to the class  $\mathcal{T}_n(p, \alpha, \lambda)$  that Altintas *et al.* [4] presented and discussed.
- 3) When  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\lambda = 1$  and  $p = 1$ , the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  abbreviates to the class  $\mathcal{P}(n, \alpha, \lambda)$  that Altintas [3] presented and discussed.
- 4) When  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\lambda = 1$  and  $\delta = 1$ , the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  abbreviates to the class  $\mathcal{C}_n(p, \alpha)$  that Owa [15] presented and discussed.
- 5) When  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\lambda = 1$ ,  $\delta = 1$  and  $p = 1$ , the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  abbreviates to the class  $\mathcal{C}(\alpha)$  that Silverman [20] presented and discussed.
- 6) When  $\lambda = 1$  and  $\delta = 0$ , the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  abbreviates to the class  $\mathcal{UST}(\alpha, \beta, p)$  that Khaimar and More [12] presented and discussed.
- 7) When  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\lambda = 1$ ,  $\delta = 0$  and  $p = 1$ , the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  abbreviates to the class  $\mathcal{T}^*(\alpha)$  that Silverman [20] presented and discussed.
- 8) When  $p = 1$  and  $\delta = 1$ , the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  abbreviates to the class  $\mathcal{G}_\lambda(\gamma, \alpha)$  that Janani and Murugusundaramoorthy [11] presented and discussed.
- 9) When  $p = 1$ ,  $\delta = 1$  and  $\lambda = 1$ , the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  abbreviates to the class  $\mathcal{UCT}(\alpha, \beta)$  that Bharati *et al.* [6] presented and discussed.
- 10) When  $p = 1$ ,  $\delta = 1$ ,  $\lambda = 1$  and  $\gamma = 0$ , the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  abbreviates to the class  $\mathcal{UCV}(\alpha)$  that Subramanian *et al.* [23] presented and discussed.
- 11) When  $p = 1$  and  $\delta = 0$ , the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  abbreviates to the class  $\mathcal{J}_\lambda(\gamma, \alpha)$  that Janani and Murugusundaramoorthy [11] presented and discussed.

- 12) When  $p = 1, \delta = 0$  and  $\lambda = 1$ , the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  abbreviates to the class  $TS_p(\gamma, \alpha)$  that Bharati *et al.* [6] presented and discussed.
- 13) When  $\delta = 0, \lambda = 1, \gamma = 0$  and  $p = 1$ , the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  abbreviates to the class  $TS_p(\alpha)$  that Subramanian *et al.* [24] presented and discussed.
- 14) When  $p = 1, \delta = 0$  and  $\lambda = 0$ , the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  abbreviates to the class  $TR(\gamma, \alpha)$  that Rosy [17] and Stephen and Subramanian [22] presented and discussed.
- 15) When  $p = 1, \delta = 0, \lambda = 0$  and  $\alpha = 0$ , the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  abbreviates to the class  $p(\gamma)$  that Al-Amiri [2], Sarangi and Uralegaddi [19] and Gupta and Jain [8] presented and discussed.
- 16) When  $\delta = 1$  and  $\lambda = 1$ , the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  abbreviates to the class  $UCV(p, \alpha, \beta)$  that Khaimar and More [12] presented and discussed.
- 17) When  $\alpha = 0, \delta = 0$  and  $\lambda = 1$ , the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  abbreviates to the class  $S^*(p, \alpha)$  that Owa [15] presented and discussed.
- 18) When  $\alpha = 0, \delta = 1$  and  $\lambda = 1$ , the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  abbreviates to the class  $C(p, \alpha)$  that Owa [15] presented and discussed.

Following lemmas are required to be able to demonstrate our major results.

**Lemma 1.1** [5]. *Let  $y = v + iu$ , is complex number and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $Re(y) \geq \beta$  if and only if  $|y - (p + \beta)| \leq |y + (p - \beta)|$ , where  $\beta \geq 0$ .*

**Lemma 1.2** [5]. *Let  $y = v + iu$ , is complex number and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $Re(y) \geq \alpha|y - p| + \beta$  if and only if  $Re(y(1 + \alpha e^{i\theta}) - p\alpha e^{i\theta}) \geq \beta$ .*

**Lemma 1.3** [13]. *If  $k$  and  $g$  are analytic in  $E$  with  $k < g$ , then*

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |k(re^{i\theta})|^\mu d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |g(re^{i\theta})|^\mu d\theta,$$

where  $\mu > 0, s = re^{i\theta}$  ( $0 < r < 1$ ).

The following characteristics were investigated for another classes in [9,10,16,21,25].

## 2. Coefficient Estimate

In the following Theorem, we are going to present the fundamental and necessary condition regarding the function inside the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *The class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  contains a function  $k(s)$  defined by (1.2) if and only if*

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (1 - \delta + \delta n)(n(1 + \alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + \alpha p)) \left( \frac{[p + \omega]_q}{[n + \omega]_q} \right)^m d_n \leq (1 + \alpha)(p + \delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 - \delta\lambda + p\delta\lambda), \quad (2.1)$$

wherever  $s \in E$ ,  $q, \alpha \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma < p$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$ ,  $\omega > -p$ ,  $n \geq p + 1$ ;  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The outcome is sharp to function  $k(s)$  specified by

$$k(s) = s^p - \frac{(1 + \alpha)(p + \delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 - \delta\lambda + p\delta\lambda)}{(1 - \delta + \delta n)(n(1 + \alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + \alpha p)) \left( \frac{[p + \omega]_q}{[n + \omega]_q} \right)^m} s^n, \quad (n \geq p + 1; p \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (2.2)$$

**Proof.** Let  $k(s) \in \mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$ . After then, the inequality (1.12) is satisfied by  $k(s)$ .

By using Lemma 1.2, the inequality (1.12) which is equivalent

$$Re \left\{ \frac{s \left( \beta_{m,q}^p k(s) \right)' + \delta s^2 \left( \beta_{m,q}^p k(s) \right)'' (1 + \alpha e^{i\theta})}{(1 - \lambda)s^p + (1 - \delta)\lambda \beta_{m,q}^p k(s) + \delta \lambda s \left( \beta_{m,q}^p k(s) \right)'} - p\alpha e^{i\theta} \right\} > \gamma$$

( $q, \alpha \geq 0, 0 \leq \gamma < p, 0 \leq \delta \leq 1, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, \omega > -p, n \geq p + 1$  and  $-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi$ ).

Or equivalently,

$$Re \left\{ \frac{s \left( \beta_{m,q}^p k(s) \right)' + \delta s^2 \left( \beta_{m,q}^p k(s) \right)'' (1 + \alpha e^{i\theta})}{(1 - \lambda)s^p + (1 - \delta)\lambda \beta_{m,q}^p k(s) + \delta \lambda s \left( \beta_{m,q}^p k(s) \right)'} - \frac{p\alpha e^{i\theta}(1 - \lambda)s^p + (1 - \delta)\lambda \beta_{m,q}^p k(s) + \delta \lambda s \left( \beta_{m,q}^p k(s) \right)'}{(1 - \lambda)s^p + (1 - \delta)\lambda \beta_{m,q}^p k(s) + \delta \lambda s \left( \beta_{m,q}^p k(s) \right)'} \right\} \geq \gamma. \quad (2.3)$$

Let

$$M(s) = \left[ s \left( \beta_{m,q}^p k(s) \right)' + \delta s^2 \left( \beta_{m,q}^p k(s) \right)'' \right] (1 + \alpha e^{i\theta})$$

$$- p\alpha e^{i\theta} \left[ (1 - \lambda)s^p + (1 - \delta)\lambda \beta_{m,q}^p k(s) + \delta\lambda s \left( \beta_{m,q}^p k(s) \right)' \right],$$

$$N(s) = (1 - \lambda)s^p + (1 - \delta)\lambda \beta_{m,q}^p k(s) + \delta\lambda s \left( \beta_{m,q}^p k(s) \right)'.$$

Then by Lemma 1.1, (2.3) is equivalent to

$$|M(s) + (p - \gamma)N(s)| \geq |M(s) - (p + \gamma)N(s)|.$$

Now

$$|M(s) + (p - \gamma)N(s)|$$

$$= \left| \begin{aligned} & \left( ps^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m n d_n s^n + \delta p(p-1)s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m \delta n(n-1)d_n s^n \right) (1 + \alpha e^{i\theta}) \\ & - p\alpha e^{i\theta} \left( (1 - \lambda)s^p + (1 - \delta)\lambda s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m (1 - \delta)\lambda d_n s^n + \delta\lambda p s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m \delta\lambda n d_n s^n \right) \\ & + (p - \gamma) \left( (1 - \lambda)s^p + (1 - \delta)\lambda s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m (1 - \delta)\lambda d_n s^n + \delta\lambda p s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m \delta\lambda n d_n s^n \right) \end{aligned} \right| \\ = & \left| \begin{aligned} & \left( ps^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m n d_n s^n + \delta p(p-1)s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m \delta n(n-1)d_n s^n \right) (1 + \alpha e^{i\theta}) \\ & + (p - p\alpha e^{i\theta} - \gamma) \\ & \left( (1 - \lambda)s^p + (1 - \delta)\lambda s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m (1 - \delta)\lambda d_n s^n + \delta\lambda p s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m \delta\lambda n d_n s^n \right) \end{aligned} \right| \\ = & \left| \begin{aligned} & (p + \delta p^2 - \delta p)(1 + \alpha e^{i\theta})s^p + (p - \gamma - p\alpha e^{i\theta})(1 + \delta\lambda p - \delta\lambda)s^p \\ & - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m (n + \delta n^2 - \delta n)(1 + \alpha e^{i\theta})d_n s^n \\ & - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m \lambda(p - \gamma - p\alpha e^{i\theta})(1 - \delta + \delta n)d_n s^n \end{aligned} \right| \end{math}$$

$$\geq (p + \delta p^2 - \delta p)(1 + \alpha) + (p - \gamma - p\alpha)(1 + \delta\lambda p - \delta\lambda)|s|^p$$

$$- \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m (n + \delta n^2 - \delta n)(1 + \alpha) + \lambda(p - \gamma - p\alpha)(1 - \delta + \delta n)d_n|s|^n.$$

Similarly,

$$|M(s) - (p + \gamma)N(s)|$$

$$= \left| \begin{aligned} & \left( ps^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m nd_n s^n + \delta p(p-1)s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m \delta n(n-1)d_n s^n \right) (1 + \alpha e^{i\theta}) \\ & \quad - (p\alpha e^{i\theta} + p + \gamma) \\ & \left( (1-\lambda)s^p + (1-\delta)\lambda s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m (1-\delta)\lambda d_n s^n + \delta\lambda p s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m \delta\lambda n d_n s^n \right) \end{aligned} \right| \\ \leq & (p + \gamma + p\alpha)(1 + \delta\lambda p - \delta\lambda) - (p + \delta p^2 - \delta p)(1 + \alpha)|s|^p + \\ & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m ((n + \delta n^2 - \delta n)(1 + \alpha) - \lambda(p + \gamma + p\alpha)(1 - \delta + \delta n)) d_n |s|^n. \end{math}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & |M(s) + (p - \gamma)N(s)| - |M(s) - (p + \gamma)N(s)| \\ \geq & (p + \delta p^2 - \delta p)(1 + \alpha) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta\lambda p - \delta\lambda) \\ & - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m (1 - \delta + \delta n)(n(1 + \alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) d_n \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m (1 - \delta + \delta n)(n(1 + \alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) d_n \\ \leq & (1 + \alpha)(p + \delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta\lambda p - \delta\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, by considering (2.1), we must show

$$\begin{aligned} Re \left\{ \frac{s \left( \beta_{m,q}^p k(s) \right)' + \delta s^2 \left( \beta_{m,q}^p k(s) \right)'' (1 + \alpha e^{i\theta})}{(1 - \lambda)s^p + (1 - \delta)\lambda \beta_{m,q}^p k(s) + \delta \lambda \left( \beta_{m,q}^p k(s) \right)'} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{(p\alpha e^{i\theta} + \gamma)(1 - \lambda)s^p + (1 - \delta)\lambda \beta_{m,q}^p k(s) + \delta \lambda \left( \beta_{m,q}^p k(s) \right)'}{(1 - \lambda)s^p + (1 - \delta)\lambda \beta_{m,q}^p k(s) + \delta \lambda \left( \beta_{m,q}^p k(s) \right)'} \right\} \\ \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

After determining that  $0 \leq s = r < 1$  on the positive real axis,  $Re(-e^{i\theta}) \geq -|e^{i\theta}| = -1$  and allowing  $r \rightarrow 1^-$ , we deduce to (2.4) based on using (2.1) in left hand of (2.4).

**Corollary 2.1.** Suppose the function  $k(s)$  defined by (1.2) be in the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$ . Then

$$d_n \leq \frac{(1 + \alpha)(p + \delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda)}{(1 - \delta + \delta n)(n(1 + \alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m}, \quad (2.5)$$

wherever ( $s \in E$ ,  $q, \alpha \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma < p$ ,  $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ ,  $\omega > -p$ ,  $n \geq p + 1$ ;  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

### 3. Radii of Convexity, Starlikeness and Close-to-Convexity

The radii of convexity, starlikeness, and close-to-convexity for the functions in the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  will be found in the following Theorems.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $k(s) \in \mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$ . Then the function  $k(s)$  is  $p$ -valent convex of order  $\xi$  ( $0 \leq \xi < p$ ) in the disk  $|s| < R_1$ , where

$$R_1 = \inf_n \left[ \frac{p(p - \xi)((1 + \alpha)(n + \delta n^2 - \delta n) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)(1 - \delta + \delta n)) \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m}{n(n - \xi)((1 + \alpha)(p + \delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda))} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-p}},$$

where ( $n \geq p + 1$ ;  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

For the function  $k(s)$  provided by (2.2), the outcome is sharp.

**Proof.** It is enough to demonstrate that

$$\left| 1 + \frac{sk''(s)}{k'(s)} - p \right| \leq p - \xi \quad (0 \leq \xi < p),$$

for  $|s| < R_1$ , we have

$$\left| 1 + \frac{sk''(s)}{k'(s)} - p \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} n(n-p)d_n|s|^{n-p}}{p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} nd_n|s|^{n-p}}.$$

Thus

$$\left| 1 + \frac{sk''(s)}{k'(s)} - p \right| \leq p - \xi,$$

if

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{n(n-\xi)}{p(p-\xi)} d_n |s|^{n-p} \leq 1. \quad (3.1)$$

Therefore, by using Theorem 2.1, equation (3.1) shall hold if

$$\frac{n(n-\xi)}{p(p-\xi)} |s|^{n-p} \leq \frac{(1-\delta+\delta n)(n(1+\alpha)-\lambda(\gamma+p\alpha)) \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m}{(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2-\delta p) - (\gamma+\alpha p)(1+\delta\lambda p-\delta\lambda)},$$

and hence

$$|s| \leq \left[ \frac{p(p-\xi)(1-\delta+\delta n)(n(1+\alpha)-\lambda(\gamma+p\alpha)) \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m}{n(n-\xi)((1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2-\delta p) - (\gamma+\alpha p)(1+\delta\lambda p-\delta\lambda))} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-p}}, \quad (n \geq p+1; p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Putting  $|s| = R_1$ , we achieve the intended outcome.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $k(s) \in \mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$ . Then the function  $k(s)$  is  $p$ -valent starlike of order  $\xi$  ( $0 \leq \xi < p$ ) in the disk  $|s| < R_2$ , where

$$R_2 = \inf_n \left[ \frac{(p-\xi)(1-\delta+\delta n)(n(1+\alpha)-\lambda(\gamma+p\alpha)) \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m}{(n-\xi)((1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2-\delta p) - (\gamma+\alpha p)(1+\delta\lambda p-\delta\lambda))} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-p}}, \quad (n \geq p+1; p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

For the function  $k(s)$  provided by (2.2), the outcome is sharp.

**Proof.** It is enough to demonstrate that

$$\left| \frac{sk'(s)}{k(s)} - p \right| \leq p - \xi \quad (0 \leq \xi < p),$$

for  $|s| < R_2$ , we get

$$\left| \frac{sk'(s)}{k(s)} - p \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (n-p) d_n |s|^{n-p}}{1 - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n |s|^{n-p}}.$$

Thus

$$\left| \frac{sk'(s)}{k(s)} - p \right| \leq p - \xi,$$

if

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(n-\xi)}{(p-\xi)} d_n |s|^{n-p} \leq 1. \quad (3.2)$$

Therefore, by using Theorem 2.1, equation (3.2) shall hold if

$$\frac{(n-\xi)}{(p-\xi)} |s|^{n-p} \leq \frac{(1-\delta+\delta n)(n(1+\alpha)-\lambda(\gamma+p\alpha)) \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m}{(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2-\delta p) - (\gamma+\alpha p)(1+\delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda)},$$

and hence

$$|s| \leq \left[ \frac{(p-\xi)(1-\delta+\delta n)(n(1+\alpha)-\lambda(\gamma+p\alpha)) \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m}{(n-\xi)((1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2-\delta p) - (\gamma+\alpha p)(1+\delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda))} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-p}}, \quad (n \geq p+1; p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Putting  $|s| = R_2$ , we achieve the intended outcome.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $k(s) \in \mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$ . Then the function  $k(s)$  is  $p$ -valent close to convex of order  $\xi$  ( $0 \leq \xi < p$ ) in the disk  $|s| < R_3$ , where

$$R_3 = \inf_n \left[ \frac{(p-\xi)(1-\delta+\delta n)(n(1+\alpha)-\lambda(\gamma+p\alpha)) \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m}{n((1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2-\delta p) - (\gamma+\alpha p)(1+\delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda))} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-p}}, \quad (n \geq p+1; p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

For the function  $k(s)$  provided by (2.2), the outcome is sharp.

**Proof.** It is enough to demonstrate that

$$\left| \frac{k'(s)}{s^{p-1}} - p \right| \leq p - \xi \quad (0 \leq \xi < p),$$

for  $|s| < R_3$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{k'(s)}{s^{p-1}} - p \right| \leq \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} n d_n |s|^{n-p}.$$

Thus

$$\left| \frac{k'(s)}{s^{p-1}} - p \right| \leq p - \xi,$$

if

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{n d_n |s|^{n-p}}{p - \xi} \leq 1. \quad (3.3)$$

Therefore, by using Theorem 2.1, equation (3.3) shall hold if

$$\frac{n}{p - \xi} |s|^{n-p} \leq \frac{(1 - \delta + \delta n)(n(1 + \alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m}{(1 + \alpha)(p + \delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda)},$$

and hence

$$|s| \leq \left[ \frac{(p - \xi)(1 - \delta + \delta n)(n(1 + \alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m}{n((1 + \alpha)(p + \delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda))} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-p}}, \quad (n \geq p + 1; p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Putting  $|s| = R_3$ , we achieve the intended outcome.

#### 4. Extreme Points

The extreme points of the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  are obtained here.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $k_p(s) = s^p$  and

$$k_n(s) = s^p - \frac{(1 + \alpha)(p + \delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda)}{(1 - \delta + \delta n)(n(1 + \alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m} s^n, \quad (4.1)$$

where  $s \in E$ ,  $q, \alpha \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma < p$ ,  $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ ,  $\omega > -p$ ,  $n \geq p + 1$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then the function  $k(s) \in \mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  if and only if it may be stated as follows:

$$k(s) = \varphi_p s^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \varphi_n k_n(s), \quad (4.2)$$

where  $(\varphi_p \geq 0, \varphi_n \geq 0, n \geq p + 1)$  and  $\varphi_p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \varphi_n = 1$ .

**Proof.** Assume that the expression for  $k(s)$  takes the form (4.2). Then

$$\begin{aligned} k(s) &= \varphi_p s^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \varphi_n \left( s^p - \frac{(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda)}{(1-\delta + \delta n)(n(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m} s^n \right) \\ &= s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda)}{(1-\delta + \delta n)(n(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m} \varphi_n s^n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\delta + \delta n)(n(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m}{(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda)} \times \\ &\quad \frac{((1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda)) \varphi_n}{(1-\delta + \delta n)(n(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m} \\ &= \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \varphi_n = 1 - \varphi_p \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $k(s) \in \mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$ .

Conversely, assume that  $k(s) \in \mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$ . We could decide to

$$\varphi_n = \frac{(1-\delta + \delta n)(n(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m}{(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda)} d_n,$$

where  $d_n$  is specified based on (2.5). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
k(s) &= s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n s^n \\
&= s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda)}{(1-\delta + \delta n)(n(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q}\right)^m} \varphi_n s^n \\
&= s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (s^p - k_n(s)) \varphi_n = \left(1 - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \varphi_n\right) s^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \varphi_n k_n(s) \\
&= \varphi_p s^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \varphi_n k_n(s).
\end{aligned}$$

With this, Theorem 4.1 is fully proved.

## 5. Closure Theorems

**Theorem 5.1.** Let the function  $k_c$  specified by

$$k_c(s) = s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_{n,c} s^n \quad (d_{n,c} \geq 0, n \geq p+1; p \in \mathbb{N}, c = 1, 2, \dots, t), \quad (5.1)$$

be in the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  for each  $c = 1, 2, \dots, t$ .

Then the function  $f_1(s)$  specified by

$$f_1(s) = s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} e_n s^n \quad (e_n \geq 0, n \geq p+1; p \in \mathbb{N}),$$

also belongs to the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$ , wherever

$$e_n = \frac{1}{t} \sum_{c=1}^t d_{n,c}, \quad (n \geq p+1; p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

**Proof.** Since  $k_c \in \mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  it follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (1-\delta + \delta n)(n(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q}\right)^m d_{n,c}$$

$$\leq (1 + \alpha)(p + \delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda),$$

for every  $c = 1, 2, \dots, t$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (1 - \delta + \delta n)(n(1 + \alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left( \frac{[p + \omega]_q}{[n + \omega]_q} \right)^m e_n \\ &= \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (1 - \delta + \delta n)(n(1 + \alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left( \frac{[p + \omega]_q}{[n + \omega]_q} \right)^m \left( \frac{1}{t} \sum_{c=1}^t d_{n,c} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \sum_{c=1}^t \left( \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (1 - \delta + \delta n)(n(1 + \alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left( \frac{[p + \omega]_q}{[n + \omega]_q} \right)^m d_{n,c} \right) \\ &\leq (1 + \alpha)(p + \delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $f_1(s) \in \mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  by Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let the function  $k_c$  specified based on (5.1) be in the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  for each  $c = 1, 2, \dots, t$ . Then the function  $f_2(s)$  specified based on

$$f_2(s) = \sum_{c=1}^t v_c k_c(s)$$

is also in the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$ , wherever

$$\sum_{c=1}^t v_c = 1, \quad (v_c \geq 0).$$

**Proof.** According to the definition of  $f_2(s)$ , it can be written as for every  $c = 1, 2, \dots, t$ , we have

$$f_2(s) = \sum_{c=1}^t v_c k_c(s) = \sum_{c=1}^t v_c \left( s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_{n,c} s^n \right) = s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{c=1}^t v_c d_{n,c} \right) s^n.$$

Furthermore, since the functions  $k_c(s)$  ( $c = 1, 2, \dots, t$ ) are in the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (1 - \delta + \delta n)(n(1 + \alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left( \frac{[p + \omega]_q}{[n + \omega]_q} \right)^m d_{n,c} \\ & \leq (1 + \alpha)(p + \delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (1 - \delta + \delta n)(n(1 + \alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left( \frac{[p + \omega]_q}{[n + \omega]_q} \right)^m \left( \sum_{c=1}^t v_c d_{n,c} \right) \\ & = \sum_{c=1}^t v_c \left( \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (1 - \delta + \delta n)(n(1 + \alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left( \frac{[p + \omega]_q}{[n + \omega]_q} \right)^m d_{n,c} \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{c=1}^t v_c [(1 + \alpha)(p + \delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda)] \\ & = (1 + \alpha)(p + \delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $f_2(s)$  be in the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$ .

**Corollary 5.1.** *The class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  is close under convex linear combination.*

## 6. Integral Means Inequalities

We demonstrate the following theorems using Lemma 1.3 and Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . If  $k(s) \in \mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  and assume that  $k_c(s)$  is specified by*

$$k_c(s) = s^p - \frac{(1 + \alpha)(p + \delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda)}{(1 - \delta + \delta c)(c(1 + \alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha))} s^c, \quad (c \geq p + 1; p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

If there is an analytic function  $\mathcal{Y}(s)$  defined by

$$(\mathcal{Y}(s))^{c-p} = \frac{(1 - \delta + \delta c)(c(1 + \alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left( \frac{[p + \omega]_q}{[c + \omega]_q} \right)^m}{(1 + \alpha)(p + \delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda)} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n s^{n-p}.$$

Then, for  $s = re^{i\theta}$  and  $(0 < r < 1)$ ,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |k(s)|^\varepsilon d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |k_c(s)|^\varepsilon d\theta, \quad (\varepsilon > 0). \quad (6.1)$$

**Proof.** We have to demonstrate that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n s^{n-p} \right|^\varepsilon d\theta \\ & \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 - \frac{(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda)}{(1-\delta + \delta c)(c(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[c+\omega]_q}\right)^m} s^{c-p} \right|^\varepsilon d\theta \end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 1.3, it is sufficient to demonstrate that

$$1 - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n s^{n-p} < 1 - \frac{(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda)}{(1-\delta + \delta c)(c(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[c+\omega]_q}\right)^m} s^{c-p}.$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n s^{n-p} \\ & = 1 - \frac{(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda)}{(1-\delta + \delta c)(c(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[c+\omega]_q}\right)^m} (y(s))^{c-p}. \end{aligned}$$

We find that

$$(y(s))^{c-p} = \frac{(1-\delta + \delta c)(c(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[c+\omega]_q}\right)^m}{(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda)} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n s^{n-p},$$

that yield easily  $y(0) = 0$ .

In addition by using (2.1), we get

$$|y(s)|^{c-p} = \left| \frac{(1-\delta + \delta c)(c(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[c+\omega]_q}\right)^m}{(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda)} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n s^{n-p} \right|$$

$$\leq |s| \left| \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\delta+\delta n)(n(1+\alpha)-\lambda(\gamma+p\alpha)) \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q}\right)^m}{(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2-\delta p) - (\gamma+\alpha p)(1+\delta\lambda p-\delta\lambda)} d_n \right| \\ \leq |s| < 1.$$

Afterwards, the first derivative's proof.

**Theorem 6.2.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . If  $k(s) \in \mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  and

$$k_c(s) = s^p - \frac{(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2-\delta p) - (\gamma+\alpha p)(1+\delta\lambda p-\delta\lambda)}{(1-\delta+\delta c)(c(1+\alpha)-\lambda(\gamma+p\alpha)) \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[c+\omega]_q}\right)^m} s^c, \quad (c \geq p+1; p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Then, for  $s = re^{i\theta}$  and  $(0 < r < 1)$ ,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |k'(s)|^\varepsilon d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |k'_c(s)|^\varepsilon d\theta, \quad (\varepsilon > 0). \quad (6.2)$$

**Proof.** It is enough to prove that

$$1 - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{p} d_n s^{n-p} < 1 - \frac{c((1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2-\delta p) - (\gamma+\alpha p)(1+\delta\lambda p-\delta\lambda))}{p(1-\delta+\delta c)(c(1+\alpha)-\lambda(\gamma+p\alpha)) \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[c+\omega]_q}\right)^m} s^{c-p}.$$

This follows because

$$|\gamma(s)|^{c-p} = \left| \frac{p(1-\delta+\delta c)(c(1+\alpha)-\lambda(\gamma+p\alpha)) \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[c+\omega]_q}\right)^m}{c((1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2-\delta p) - (\gamma+\alpha p)(1+\delta\lambda p-\delta\lambda))} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{p} d_n s^{n-p} \right| \\ \leq |s| \left| \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\delta+\delta n)(n(1+\alpha)-\lambda(\gamma+p\alpha)) \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q}\right)^m}{(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2-\delta p) - (\gamma+\alpha p)(1+\delta\lambda p-\delta\lambda)} d_n \right| \\ \leq |s| < 1.$$

**Theorem 6.3.** Let  $g(s) = s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} f_n s^n$ , ( $s \in E; f_n \geq 0; n \geq p+1; p \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and  $k(s) \in \mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  be of the shape (1.2) and let for some  $c \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\frac{Q_c}{f_c} = \min_{n \geq p+1} \frac{Q_n}{f_n},$$

where

$$Q_n = \frac{(1 - \delta + \delta n)(n(1 + \alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q}\right)^m}{(1 + \alpha)(p + \delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta\lambda p - \delta\lambda)}.$$

Also, let for such  $c \in \mathbb{N}$ , the functions  $k_c$  and  $g_c$  be specified based on

$$\begin{aligned} k_c(s) &= s^p - \frac{(1 + \alpha)(p + \delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta\lambda p - \delta\lambda)}{(1 - \delta + \delta c)(c(1 + \alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[c+\omega]_q}\right)^m} s^c, \\ g_c(s) &= s^p - \mathfrak{k}_c s^c. \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

If there is an analytic function  $\mathcal{Y}(s)$  specified based on

$$(\mathcal{Y}(s))^{c-p} = \frac{(1 - \delta + \delta c)(c(1 + \alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[c+\omega]_q}\right)^m}{(1 + \alpha)(p + \delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta\lambda p - \delta\lambda) \mathfrak{k}_c} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n \mathfrak{k}_n s^{n-p},$$

then, for  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $s = re^{i\theta}$  and  $(0 < r < 1)$ ,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |(k * g)(s)|^\varepsilon d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |(k_c * g_c)(s)|^\varepsilon d\theta, \quad (\varepsilon > 0).$$

**Proof.** The definition of the convolution between  $k(s)$  and  $g(s)$  is

$$(k * g)(s) = s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n \mathfrak{k}_n s^n.$$

Likewise, from (6.3), we obtain

$$(k_c * g_c)(s) = s^p - \frac{(1 + \alpha)(p + \delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta\lambda p - \delta\lambda) \mathfrak{k}_c}{(1 - \delta + \delta c)(c(1 + \alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[c+\omega]_q}\right)^m} s^c.$$

To prove the theorem, we must show that for  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $s = re^{i\theta}$  and  $(0 < r < 1)$ ,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n \mathfrak{k}_n s^{n-p} \right|^\varepsilon d\theta$$

$$\leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 - \frac{((1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda))\mathcal{F}_c}{(1-\delta + \delta c)(c(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[c+\omega]_q}\right)^m} s^{c-p} \right|^{\varepsilon} d\theta.$$

By using Lemma 1.3, it is sufficient to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n \mathcal{F}_n s^{n-p} \\ & \prec 1 - \frac{((1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda))\mathcal{F}_c}{(1-\delta + \delta c)(c(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[c+\omega]_q}\right)^m} s^{c-p}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

If the subordination (6.4) is valid, then there is an analytic function  $\mathcal{Y}(s)$  with  $|\mathcal{Y}(s)| < 1$  and  $\mathcal{Y}(0) = 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n \mathcal{F}_n s^{n-p} \\ & = 1 - \frac{((1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda))\mathcal{F}_c}{(1-\delta + \delta c)(c(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[c+\omega]_q}\right)^m} (\mathcal{Y}(s))^{c-p}. \end{aligned}$$

According to the assumption of the theorem, there is an analytic function  $\mathcal{Y}(s)$  given by

$$(\mathcal{Y}(s))^{c-p} = \frac{(1-\delta + \delta c)(c(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q}\right)^m}{((1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda))\mathcal{F}_c} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n \mathcal{F}_n s^{n-p},$$

which readily yield  $\mathcal{Y}(0) = 0$ . So for such function  $\mathcal{Y}(s)$ , using the assumption in the coefficient inequality for the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{Y}(s)|^{c-p} \\ & = \left| \frac{(1-\delta + \delta c)(c(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[c+\omega]_q}\right)^m}{((1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda))\mathcal{F}_c} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n \mathcal{F}_n s^{n-p} \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq |s| \left| \frac{(1 - \delta + \delta c)(c(1 + \alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[c+\omega]_q} \right)^m}{((1 + \alpha)(p + \delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda)) \mathfrak{f}_c} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n \mathfrak{f}_n \right| \leq |s| < 1.$$

Therefore, the subordination (6.4) holds true.

## 7. Neighbourhoods Property

We now define the  $(n - \xi)$ -neighborhoods for the function  $k(s) \in \mathcal{M}_p$  by

$$N_{n,\xi}(k) = \left\{ g \in \mathcal{M}_p : g(s) = s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{f}_n s^n \text{ and } \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} n|d_n - \mathfrak{f}_n| \leq \xi, 0 \leq \xi < 1 \right\}. \quad (7.1)$$

For identity function  $l(s) = s^p$ , ( $p \in \mathbb{N}$ )

$$N_{n,\xi}(l) = \left\{ g \in \mathcal{M}_p : g(s) = s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{f}_n s^n \text{ and } \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} n|\mathfrak{f}_n| \leq \xi, 0 \leq \xi < 1 \right\}. \quad (7.2)$$

Goodman [7] established the idea of neighborhoods originally, and Ruscheweyh [18] later generalized it.

**Definition 7.1.** A function  $k(s) \in \mathcal{M}_p$  is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$ , if there exist a function  $g(s) \in \mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$ , such that

$$\left| \frac{k(s)}{g(s)} - 1 \right| < p - \vartheta \quad (s \in E, 0 \leq \vartheta < 1).$$

**Theorem 7.1.** If  $g(s) \in \mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  and

$$\vartheta = p - \frac{\xi(1 + \delta p)[(p + 1)(1 + \alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)] \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[p+1+\omega]_q} \right)^m}{(p + 1) \left[ (1 + \delta p)[(p + 1)(1 + \alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)] \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[p+1+\omega]_q} \right)^m - [(1 + \alpha)(p + \delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda)] \right]}, \quad (7.3)$$

Then  $N_{n,\xi}(g) \subset \mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $k(s) \in N_{n,\xi}(g)$ . Then, from (7.1), we have

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} n|d_n - \mathfrak{f}_n| \leq \xi,$$

it obviously indicates the coefficient inequality below

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} |d_n - \mathfrak{f}_n| \leq \frac{\xi}{p+1}.$$

Next, because  $g(s) \in \mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$ , we get from Theorem 2.1

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{f}_n \leq \frac{(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1+\delta\lambda p - \delta\lambda)}{(1+\delta p)[(p+1)(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)] \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[p+1+\omega]_q}\right)^m}.$$

So that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{k(s)}{g(s)} - 1 \right| &\leq \frac{\sum_{p+1}^{\infty} |d_n - \mathfrak{f}_n|}{1 - \sum_{p+1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{f}_n} \\ &\leq \frac{\xi(1+\delta p)[(p+1)(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)] \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[p+1+\omega]_q}\right)^m}{(p+1) \left[ (1+\delta p)[(p+1)(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)] \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[p+1+\omega]_q}\right)^m \right.} \\ &\quad \left. - (1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1+\delta\lambda p - \delta\lambda) \right] \\ &= p - \vartheta. \end{aligned}$$

Then based on Definition 7.1,  $k(s) \in \mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  for each  $\vartheta$  specified by (7.3).

## 8. Convolution Properties

Theorems showing the convolution characteristics for functions belonging to the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  are given below.

**Theorem 8.1.** *Let the functions  $k_j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) defined by*

$$k_j(s) = s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_{n,j} s^n, \quad (d_{n,j} \geq 0, j = 1, 2), \quad (8.1)$$

*be in the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$ . Then we have  $(k_1 * k_2)(s) \in \mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \beta, \gamma)$ , where*

$$\beta \leq \frac{((1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p))(1+\delta n - \delta)[n(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + \alpha p)]^2 \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q}\right)^m}{-n(1+\alpha)[(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1+\delta\lambda p - \delta\lambda)]^2}.$$

$$\delta(p-1)(\gamma + \alpha p)(1+\delta n - \delta)[n(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + \alpha p)]^2 \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q}\right)^m$$

$$-(\gamma + \alpha p)[(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p)(1+\alpha) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1+\delta\lambda p - \delta\lambda)]^2$$

**Proof.** We need to find the largest  $\beta$  such that

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\delta n - \delta)(n(1+\alpha) - \beta(\gamma + \alpha p)) \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q}\right)^m}{(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1+\delta\beta(p-1))} d_{n,1} d_{n,2} \leq 1.$$

Since the functions  $k_j \in \mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$ , ( $j = 1, 2$ ), then from Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\delta n - \delta)(n(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q}\right)^m}{(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1+\delta\lambda(p-1))} d_{n,j} \leq 1, \quad (j = 1, 2). \quad (8.2)$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\delta n - \delta)(n(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q}\right)^m}{(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1+\delta\lambda(p-1))} \sqrt{d_{n,1} d_{n,2}} \leq 1. \quad (8.3)$$

Thus, it is sufficient to show that

$$\frac{(1+\delta n - \delta)[n(1+\alpha) - \beta(\gamma + p\alpha)] \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q}\right)^m}{(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1+\delta\beta(p-1))} d_{n,1} d_{n,2}$$

$$\leq \frac{(1+\delta n - \delta)[n(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)] \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q}\right)^m}{(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1+\delta\lambda(p-1))} \sqrt{d_{n,1} d_{n,2}}.$$

That is

$$\sqrt{d_{n,1} d_{n,2}} \leq \frac{(n(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha))[(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1+\delta\beta p - \delta\beta)]}{(n(1+\alpha) - \beta(\gamma + p\alpha))[(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1+\delta\lambda p - \delta\lambda)]}. \quad (8.4)$$

But from (8.3), we get

$$\sqrt{d_{n,1} d_{n,2}} \leq \frac{(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda(p-1))}{(1 + \delta n - \delta)(n(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q}\right)^m},$$

Consequently, we need only to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda(p-1))}{(1 + \delta n - \delta)(n(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)) \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q}\right)^m} \\ & \leq \frac{(n(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha))[(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \beta(p-1))]}{(n(1+\alpha) - \beta(\gamma + p\alpha))[(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda(p-1))]}, \end{aligned} \quad (8.5)$$

or equivalently, that

$$\beta \leq \frac{((1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p))(1 + \delta n - \delta)[n(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)]^2 \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q}\right)^m}{\delta(p-1)(\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta n - \delta)[n(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha)]^2 \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q}\right)^m - (\gamma + \alpha p)[(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta \lambda p - \delta \lambda)]^2}.$$

The proof is complete.

**Theorem 8.2.** Let the functions  $k_j(j = 1, 2)$  defined by (8.1) be in the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$ . Then the function

$$h(s) = s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (d_{n,1}^2 + d_{n,2}^2) s^n, \quad (8.6)$$

is in the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \varepsilon, \gamma)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} & ((1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p))[(1 + \delta n - \delta)(n(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha))]^2 \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q}\right)^m \\ & \varepsilon \leq \frac{-2n(1+\alpha)(1 + \delta n - \delta)[(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \lambda \delta(p-1))]^2}{(\delta(p-1)(\gamma + \alpha p))[(1 + \delta n - \delta)(n(1+\alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + p\alpha))]^2 \left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q}\right)^m} \\ & - 2(\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta n - \delta)[(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \lambda \delta(p-1))]^2 \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** From Theorem 2.1, we are seeking the largest  $\varepsilon$  so that

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\delta n-\delta)(n(1+\alpha)-\varepsilon(\gamma+p\alpha))\left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q}\right)^m}{(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2-\delta p)-(\gamma+\alpha p)(1+\varepsilon\delta(p-1))}(d_{n,1}^2+d_{n,2}^2) \leq 1.$$

Since  $k_j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) belong to the class  $\mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{(1+\delta n-\delta)(n(1+\alpha)-\lambda(\gamma+p\alpha))\left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q}\right)^m}{(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2-\delta p)-(\gamma+\alpha p)(1+\lambda\delta(p-1))} \right)^2 d_{n,1}^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{(1+\delta n-\delta)(n(1+\alpha)-\lambda(\gamma+p\alpha))\left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q}\right)^m}{(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2-\delta p)-(\gamma+\alpha p)(1+\lambda\delta(p-1))} d_{n,1} \right)^2 \leq 1, \quad (8.7) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{(1+\delta n-\delta)(n(1+\alpha)-\lambda(\gamma+p\alpha))\left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q}\right)^m}{(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2-\delta p)-(\gamma+\alpha p)(1+\lambda\delta(p-1))} \right)^2 d_{n,2}^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{(1+\delta n-\delta)(n(1+\alpha)-\lambda(\gamma+p\alpha))\left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q}\right)^m}{(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2-\delta p)-(\gamma+\alpha p)(1+\lambda\delta(p-1))} d_{n,2} \right)^2 \leq 1. \quad (8.8) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{(1+\delta n-\delta)(n(1+\alpha)-\lambda(\gamma+p\alpha))\left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q}\right)^m}{(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2-\delta p)-(\gamma+\alpha p)(1+\lambda\delta(p-1))} \right)^2 (d_{n,1}^2+d_{n,2}^2) \leq 1. \quad (8.9)$$

$k(s) \in \mathcal{FI}_{p,q}^m(\alpha, \delta, \lambda, \gamma)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\delta n-\delta)(n(1+\alpha)-\varepsilon(\gamma+p\alpha))\left(\frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q}\right)^m}{(1+\alpha)(p+\delta p^2-\delta p)-(\gamma+\alpha p)(1+\varepsilon\delta(p-1))}(d_{n,1}^2+d_{n,2}^2) \leq 1. \quad (8.10)$$

Therefore, we need to find the largest  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(1 + \delta n - \delta)(n(1 + \alpha) - \varepsilon(\gamma + \alpha p)) \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m}{(1 + \alpha)(p + \delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \varepsilon \delta(p - 1))} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{(1 + \delta n - \delta)(n(1 + \alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + \alpha p)) \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m}{(1 + \alpha)(p + \delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \lambda \delta(p - 1))} \right)^2. \quad (8.11)
\end{aligned}$$

From (8.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon & \leq \frac{((1 + \alpha)(p + \delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p))[(1 + \delta n - \delta)(n(1 + \alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + \alpha p))]^2 \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m}{-2n(1 + \alpha)(1 + \delta n - \delta)[(1 + \alpha)(p + \delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \lambda \delta(p - 1))]^2} \\
& \quad - \frac{(\delta(p - 1)(\gamma + \alpha p))[(1 + \delta n - \delta)(n(1 + \alpha) - \lambda(\gamma + \alpha p))]^2 \left( \frac{[p+\omega]_q}{[n+\omega]_q} \right)^m}{-2(\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \delta n - \delta)[(1 + \alpha)(p + \delta p^2 - \delta p) - (\gamma + \alpha p)(1 + \lambda \delta(p - 1))]^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.

## References

- [1] Ajil, A. M. (2023). A study of some problems related with univalent, multivalent and bi-univalent functions (Master's thesis, University of Al-Qadisiyah, College of Education, Department of Mathematics).
- [2] Al-Amiri, H. S. (1989). On a subclass of close-to-convex functions with negative coefficients. *Mathematics*, 31(54), 1-7.
- [3] Altintas, O. (1991). On a subclass of certain starlike functions with negative coefficients. *Mathematica Japonica*, 36, 489-495.
- [4] Altintas, O., Irmak, H., & Srivastava, H. M. (1995). Fractional calculus and certain starlike functions with negative coefficients. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 30(2), 9-16. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0898-1221\(95\)00073-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0898-1221(95)00073-8)
- [5] Aqlan, E. S. (2004). Some problems connected with geometric function theory (Doctoral dissertation, Pune University, Pune).
- [6] Bharati, R., Parvatham, R., & Swaminathan, A. (1997). On a subclass of uniformly convex functions and corresponding class of starlike functions. *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics*, 28(1), 17-32. <https://doi.org/10.5556/j.tkjm.28.1997.4330>

- [7] Goodman, A. W. (1957). Univalent functions and analytic curves. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 8(3), 598-601.  
<https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1957-0086879-9>
- [8] Gupta, V. P., & Jain, P. K. (1976). Certain classes of univalent functions with negative coefficients II. *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 15, 467-473.  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972700022917>
- [9] Hadi, S. H., & Darus, M. (2023). A class of harmonic  $(p,q)$ -starlike functions involving a generalized  $(p,q)$ -Bernardi integral operator. *Issues of Analysis*, 12(2), 17-36.  
<https://doi.org/10.15393/j3.art.2023.12850>
- [10] Hassan, L. H., & Al-Ziadi, N. A. J. (2023). New class of  $p$ -valent functions defined by multiplier transformations. *Utilitas Mathematica*, 120, 182-200.
- [11] Janani, T., & Murugusundaramoorthy, G. (2014). Inclusion results on subclass of starlike and convex functions associated with Struve functions. *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 32, 467-476. <https://doi.org/10.4172/2168-9679.1000180>
- [12] Khaimar, S. M., & More, M. (2009). On a subclass of multivalent  $\beta$ -uniformly starlike and convex functions defined by a linear operator. *IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 39(3), Article ID IJAM\_39\_06.
- [13] Littlewood, J. E. (1925). On inequalities in the theory of functions. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 23(2), 481-519. <https://doi.org/10.1112/plms/s2-23.1.481>
- [14] Noor, K. I., Riaz, S., & Noor, M. A. (2017). On  $q$ -Bernardi integral operator. *TWMS Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 8(1), 3-11.
- [15] Owa, S. (1985). On certain classes of  $p$ -valent functions with negative coefficients. *Simon Stevin*, 59(4), 385-402.
- [16] Ramadhan, A. M., & Al-Ziadi, N. A. J. (2022). New class of multivalent functions with negative coefficients. *Earthline Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 10(2), 271-288.  
<https://doi.org/10.34198/ejms.10222.271288>
- [17] Rosy, T. (2002). Study on subclass of univalent functions (Master's thesis, University of Madras).
- [18] Ruscheweyh, S. (1981). Neighborhoods of univalent functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 81(4), 521-527.  
<https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1981-0601721-6>
- [19] Sarangi, S. M., & Uralegaddi, B. A. (1978). The radius of convexity and starlikeness of certain classes of analytic functions with negative coefficients I. *Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei Rendiconti Classe di Scienze Fisiche Matematiche e Naturali*, 65(8), 34-42.

- [20] Silverman, H. (1975). Univalent functions with negative coefficients. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 51(1), 109-116.  
<https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1975-0369678-0>
- [21] Srivastava, H. M., Hadi, S. H., & Darus, M. (2023). Some subclasses of p-valent  $\gamma$ -uniformly type q-starlike and q-convex functions defined by using a certain generalized q-Bernardi integral operator. *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A, Matemáticas*, 117, 50. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13398-022-01378-3>
- [22] Stephen, A., & Subramanian, K. G. (1998). On a subclass of Noshiro-type analytic functions with negative coefficients. *Conference Proceedings of the Ramanujan Mathematical Society*.
- [23] Subramanian, K. G., Murugusundaramoorthy, G., Balasubrahmanyam, P., & Silverman, H. (1995). Subclass of uniformly convex and uniformly starlike functions. *Mathematica Japonica*, 42(3), 517-522.
- [24] Subramanian, K. G., Sudharsan, T. V., Balasubrahmanyam, P., & Silverman, H. (1998). Class of uniformly starlike functions. *Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen*, 53(4), 309-315. <https://doi.org/10.5486/PMD.1998.1946>
- [25] Wanas, A. K., & Ahsoni, H. M. (2022). Some geometric properties for a class of analytic functions defined by beta negative binomial distribution series. *Earthline Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 9(1), 105-116. <https://doi.org/10.34198/ejms.9122.105116>

---

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted, use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, or format for any purpose, even commercially provided the work is properly cited.

---