



On the Effect of Inflation and Impact of Hedging on Pension Wealth Generation Strategies under the Geometric Brownian Motion Model

Bright O. Osu^{1,*}, Kevin N. C. Njoku² and Ben I. Oruh³

^{1,3}Department of Mathematics, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Abia State, Nigeria
e-mail: Osu.bright@mouau.edu.ng

²Department of Mathematics, Imo State University, Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria

* Corresponding author

Abstract

This work investigates the effect of Inflation and the impact of hedging on the optimal investment strategies for a prospective investor in a DC pension scheme, using inflation-indexed bond and inflation-linked stock. The model used here permits the plan member to make a defined contribution, as provided in the Nigerian Pension Reform Act of 2004. The pension plan member is allowed to invest in risk-free asset (cash), and two risky assets (i.e., the inflation-indexed bond and inflation-linked stock). A stochastic differential equation of the pension wealth that takes into account certain agreed proportions of the plan member's salary, paid as contribution towards the pension fund, is constructed and presented. The Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (H-J-B) equation, Legendre transformation, and dual theory are used to obtain the explicit solution of the optimal investment strategies for CRRA utility function. Our investigation reveals that the inflation have significant negative effect on wealth investment strategies, particularly, the $RRA(w)$ is not constant with the investment strategy, since the inflation parameters and coefficient of CRRA utility function have insignificant input on the investment strategies, and also the inflation-indexed bond and inflation-linked stock has a positive damping effect (hedging) on the severe effect of inflation.

Received: December 27, 2018; Accepted: January 30, 2019

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 91B28, 62P20, 91G50.

Keywords and phrases: hedging, defined contribution pension, Hamilton-Jacobi Bellman equation, GBM, inflation.

Copyright © 2019 Bright O. Osu et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

There are two major designs of pension plan, namely, the defined benefit (DB) pension, and the defined contribution (DC) pension plan. As the names implies, in that of the DB, the benefits of the plan member are defined, and the sponsor bears the financial risk. Whereas, in the DC pension plan, the contributions are defined, the retirement benefits depends on the contributions and the investment returns, and the contributors (the plan members) bears the financial risk. Recently, the DC pension has taken dominance over the DB pension plan in the pension scheme, since DC pension plan is fully funded, which makes it easier for the plan managers (Pension Fund Administrators (PFAs') and the Pension Fund Custodians (PFCs') to invest equitably in the market, and also makes it easier for the plan members to receive their retirement benefit as and when due.

Investment strategies of the contributions, which in turn is a strong determinant of the investment returns vis-a-vis the benefits of the contributors at retirement must be given optimum attention. Recent publications in economic journals and other reputable mathematics and science journals have brought to light, variety of methods of optimizing investment strategies and returns. For instance, some researchers have made various contributions in this direction, particularly, in DC pension plan. Cairns et al. [3], did a work on, "stochastic life styling: optimal dynamic asset allocation for defined contribution pension plans. In their work, various properties and characteristics of the optimal asset allocation strategy, both with and without the presence of non-hedge able salary risk were discussed. The significance of alternative optimal strategy by pension providers was established.

In order to deal with optimal investment strategy, the need for maximization of the expected utility of the terminal wealth became necessary. Example, the constant relative risk aversion (CRRA) utility function, and (or) the constant absolute risk aversion (CARA) utility function were used to maximize the terminal wealth. Cairns et al. [3], Gao [8], Boulier et al. [2], Deelstra et al. [6] and Xiao et al. [15] used CRRA to maximize terminal wealth. However, Gao [9] used the CRRA and the CARA to maximize terminal wealth.

Zhang and Rong [4] applied the well-known H-J-B equation, Legendre transform, and dual theory to obtain the explicit solutions of CRRA and CARA utility function, for the maximization of the terminal wealth. In 2012, Han and Hung [12] took a different

direction. The investigated optimal asset allocation for DC pension plans under inflation. In their work, the retired individuals receive an annuity that is indexed by inflation and a downside protection on the amount of this annuity is considered. More so, in 2015, Othusitse and Xue [13] considered an Inflationary market. In their work, the plan member made extra contribution to amortize the pension fund. The CRRA utility function was used to maximize the terminal wealth. This triggered our research. Ours is to investigate and view the extent of damage the inflation may have caused to enable us introduce, not just an amortization fund, but an optimum amortization fund that would sufficiently dampen the effect of inflation. The approach used here is similar to that of Zhang and Rong [4]. The models we used is that of Othusitse and Xue [13], though, we considered inflation of globally competing goods, and some real life assumptions are made to buttress this fact.

2. Preliminaries

We start with a complete and frictionless financial market that is continuously open over the fixed time interval $[0, T]$, for $T > 0$, representing the retirement time of any plan member.

We assume that the market is composed of the risk-free asset (cash), the inflation-linked bond, and risky asset (the stock price subject to inflation). Let (Ω, F, P) be a complete probability space, where Ω is a real space and P is a probability measure, $\{W_S(t), W_I(t)\}$ are two standard orthogonal Brownian motions, $\{F_I(t), F_S(t)\}$ are right continuous filtrations whose information are generated by the two standard Brownian motions $\{W_S(t), W_I(t)\}$, whose sources of uncertainties are respectively to the inflation rate and the stock market. We assume also that at the early stage of the inflation, before government intervention policy, $\{W_R(t), W_I(t)\}$, $\{W_S(t), W_R(t)\}$ are two standard orthogonal Brownian motions, respectively.

Let $C(t)$ denote the price of the risk free asset at time t and it is modeled as follows:

$$\frac{dC(t)}{C(t)} = r_R(t) dt \quad C(0) = 1 \quad (1)$$

$r(t)$ is the real interest rate process and is given by the stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$dr_R(t) = (a - br_R(t))dt - \sigma_R dW_R(t), \quad (2)$$

$$\sigma_R = \sqrt{k_1 r_R(t) + k_2}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (3)$$

where r_R is a real interest rate, a , b , $r_R(0)$, k_1 and k_2 are positive real numbers. If k_1 (resp., k_2) is equal to zero, we have a special case, as in Vasicek [14], Cox et al. [5] dynamics. So under these dynamics, the term structure of the real interest rates is affine, which has been studied by (Chubing and Ximing [4], Duffie and Kan [7], Deelstra et al. [6] and Gao [8]).

Let $S(t)$ denote the price of the risky asset subject to inflation and its dynamics is given based on a continuous time stochastic process at $t \geq 0$ and the dynamics of the price process is described as follows:

$$\frac{dS(t)}{S(t)} = (r_R(t) + \lambda_1 \sigma_S^S + \lambda_2 \sigma_S^I \theta_I) dt + \sigma_S^S dW_S + \sigma_S^I dW_I, \quad S(0) = 1 \quad (4)$$

with λ_1 and λ_2 represent the instantaneous risk premium associated with the positive volatility constants σ_S^S and σ_S^I , respectively, see Deelstra [6]. θ_I represents the inflation price market risk.

An inflation-linked bond with maturity T , whose price at time t is denoted by $B(t, I(t))$, $t \geq 0$, and its evolution is given by the SDE below (see Othusite et al. [13])

$$\frac{dB(t, I(t))}{B(t, I(t))} = (r_R(t) + \sigma_I \theta_I) dt + \sigma_I dW_I(t), \quad B(T, I(T)) = 1. \quad (5)$$

Let us denote the stochastic wage of the plan member, at time t , by $P(t)$ which is described by

$$\frac{dP(t)}{P(t)} = \mu_P(t) dt + \sigma_P^S dW_S(t) + \sigma_P^I dW_I(t), \quad (6)$$

where $\mu_P(t)$ denotes the expected instantaneous rate of the wage, while σ_P^S and σ_P^I denote the two volatility scale factors of stock and inflation, respectively. Since the wage is stochastic, we let the instantaneous mean of the wage to be $\mu_P(t, r_R(t)) = r_R(t) + u_P$, where u_P is a real constant.

3. Methodology

3.1. Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation

Suppose, we represent $u = (u_B, u_S)$ as the strategy and we define the utility attained by the contributor from a given state y at time t as

$$G_u(t, r_R, y) = E_u[V(X(T)) | r_R(t) = r_R, Y(t) = y], \quad (7)$$

where t is the time, r_R is the real interest rate and y is the wealth. Our interest here is to find the optimal value function

$$G(t, r_R, y) = \sup_u G_u(t, r_R, y) \quad (8)$$

and the optimal strategy $u^* = (u_B^*, u_S^*)$ such that

$$G_{u^*}(t, r_R, y) = G(t, r_R, y). \quad (9)$$

3.2. Legendre transformation

Theorem 3.1. Let $f : R^n \rightarrow R$ be a convex function for $z > 0$, define the Legendre transform

$$L(z) = \max_y \{f(y) - zy\}, \quad (10)$$

where $L(z)$ is the Legendre dual of $f(y)$, Jonsson and Sircar [11].

Suppose, $f(y)$ is strictly convex, then the supremum (10) would be attained at one point, denoted by y_0 (i.e., the sup. exist). We write

$$L(z) = \sup_y \{f(y) - zy\} = f(y_0) - zy_0. \quad (11)$$

By Theorem 3.1 and the assumption of convexity of the value function $G(t, r_R, y)$, we define the Legendre transform

$$\hat{G}(t, r_R, z) = \sup_{y>0} \{G(t, r_R, y) - zy \mid 0 < y < \infty\}, \quad 0 < t < T \quad (12)$$

where $z > 0$ denotes the dual variable to y and \hat{G} is the dual function of G .

The value of y where this optimum is attained is denoted by $h(t, r_R, z)$, so that

$$h(t, r_R, z) = \inf_{y>0} \{y \mid G(t, r_R, y) \geq zy + \hat{G}(t, r_R, z)\}, \quad 0 < t < T. \quad (13)$$

From (13), we see that the function h and \hat{G} are closely related, hence we write either of them as dual of G . To see this relationship,

$$\hat{G}(t, r_R, z) = G(t, r_R, h) - zh, \quad (14)$$

where

$$h(t, r_R, z) = y, G_y = z, \text{ and relating } \hat{G} \text{ to } h \text{ by } h = -\hat{G}_z. \quad (15)$$

Replicating the idea in (12) and (13), above, we define the Legendre transform of the utility function $U(y)$, at terminal time, thus

$$\hat{U}(z) = \sup_{y>0} \{U(y) - zy \mid 0 < y < \infty\}, \quad (16)$$

where $z > 0$ denotes the dual variable to y , and \hat{U} is the dual of U .

Similarly, the value of y where this optimum is attained is denoted by $G(z)$, such that

$$G(z) = \sup_{y>0} \{w \mid U(y) \geq zy + \hat{U}(z)\}. \quad (17)$$

Consequently, we have

$$G(z) = (U')^{-1}(z), \quad (18)$$

where G is the inverse of the marginal utility U .

Since $h(T, r_R, y) = U(y)$, then at the terminal time, T , we can define

$$h(T, r_R, z) = \inf_{y>0} \{y \mid U(y) \geq zy + \hat{h}(T, r_R, z)\} \text{ and } \hat{h}(T, r_R, z) = \sup_{y>0} \{U(y) - zy\}$$

so that

$$h(T, r_R, z) = (U')^{-1}(z). \quad (19)$$

4. Model Formulation

Here, the contributions are continuously paid into the pension fund at the rate of $KP(t)$ where K is the mandatory rate of contribution. Let $W(t)$ denote the wealth of pension fund at time $t \in [0, T]$. $u_B(t)$ and $u_S(t)$ represent the proportion of the pension

fund invested in the bond and the stock respectively. This implies that the proportion of the pension fund invested in the risk-free asset $u_C(t) = 1 - u_B(t) - u_S(t)$. The dynamics of the pension wealth is given by

$$dW(t) = u_C W(t) \frac{dC(t)}{C(t)} + u_B W(t) \frac{dB(t, I(t))}{B(t, I(t))} + u_S W(t) \frac{dS(t)}{S(t)} + KP(t) dt. \quad (20)$$

Substituting (1), (4) and (5) in (20) we have

$$\begin{aligned} dW(t) = & W(t) [r_R(t) + \sigma_I \theta_I u_B + (\lambda_1 \sigma_s^s + \lambda_2 \sigma_s^I \theta_I) u_S] dt \\ & + KP(t) dt + W(t) (\sigma_I u_B + \sigma_s^I u_S) dW_I(t) + W(t) \sigma_s^s u_S dW_S(t). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Let the relative wealth $Y(t)$ be defined as follows

$$Y(t) = \frac{W(t)}{P(t)}. \quad (22)$$

Applying product rule and Ito's formula to (22) and making use of (6) and (21) we arrive at the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} dY(t) = & Y(t) \{ r_R(t) - \mu_p + (\sigma_p^s)^2 + (\sigma_p^I)^2 \\ & + [(\lambda_1 \sigma_s^s + \lambda_2 \sigma_s^I \theta_I) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_s^I \sigma_p^I - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_s^s \sigma_p^s] u_S \\ & + (\sigma_I \theta_I - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_I \sigma_p^I) u_B \} dt + K dt + Y(t) (\sigma_I u_B + \sigma_s^I u_S - \sigma_p^I) dW_I \\ & + Y(t) (\sigma_s^s u_S - \sigma_p^s) dW_S, \quad Y(0) = W(0)/P(0). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Simplifying,

$$\begin{aligned} dY(t) = & Y(c_1 + c_2 u_s + c_3 u_B) dt + K dt + Y(t) (\sigma_I u_B + \sigma_s^I u_S - \sigma_p^I) dW_I(t) \\ & + Y(t) (\sigma_s^s u_S - \sigma_p^s) dW_S(t), \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 = & r_R(t) - \mu_p + (\sigma_p^s)^2 + (\sigma_p^I)^2, \\ c_2 = & (\lambda_1 \sigma_s^s + \lambda_2 \sigma_s^I \theta_I) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_s^I \sigma_p^I - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_s^s \sigma_p^s, \end{aligned}$$

$$c_3 = \sigma_I \theta_I - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_I \sigma_p^I. \quad (25)$$

The Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation associated with (24) is

$$G_t + (a - br_R)G_r + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{rR}^2 G_{rRrR} + \sup_u \{y(c_1 + u_s c_2 + u_B c_3)G_y + KG_y + \frac{1}{2} y^2 [((\sigma_I u_B + \sigma_s^I u_s - \sigma_p^I))^2 + (\sigma_s^s u_s - \sigma_p^s)^2] G_{yy}\} = 0, \quad (26)$$

where G_t , G_r , G_{rRrR} , G_y and G_{yy} are partial derivatives of first and second orders with respect to time, real interest rate, and relative wealth.

Differentiating (26) with respect to u_B and u_s , we obtain the first-order maximizing conditions for the optimal strategies u_B^* and u_s^* , thus

$$c_3 G_y + y \sigma_I (\sigma_I u_B^* + \sigma_s^I u_s^* - \sigma_p^I) G_{yy} = 0, \quad (27)$$

$$c_2 G_y + y \sigma_s^I (\sigma_I u_B^* + \sigma_s^I u_s^* - \sigma_p^I) G_{yy} + y \sigma_s^s (\sigma_s^s u_s^* - \sigma_p^s) G_{yy} = 0. \quad (28)$$

Solving (27) and (28) simultaneously we have

$$u_s^* = \frac{\sigma_s^I c_3 - c_2 \sigma_I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2 \sigma_I} \frac{G_y}{G_{yy}} + \left(\frac{\sigma_p^s \sigma_s^I + \sigma_p^s \sigma_s^s - \sigma_p^I \sigma_s^I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} \right), \quad (29)$$

$$u_B^* = \frac{\sigma_p^I}{\sigma_I} - \frac{\sigma_s^I (\sigma_p^s \sigma_s^I + \sigma_p^s \sigma_s^s - \sigma_p^I \sigma_s^I)}{(\sigma_s^s)^2 \sigma_I} - \frac{\sigma_s^I (\sigma_s^I c_3 - c_2 \sigma_I)}{(\sigma_s^s)^2 y} \frac{G_y}{G_{yy}} - \frac{c_3}{\sigma_I^2 y} \frac{G_y}{G_{yy}}. \quad (30)$$

Substituting (29) and (30) into (26), and assuming independent and identically distributed volatility scale of salary for stock and inflation (i.e., $\sigma_p^I = \sigma_p^s$), we have

$$G_t + (a - br_R)G_r + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{rR}^2 G_{rRrR} + \left(K + y \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho_5 + \rho_1 \right) \right) G_y + \left(2\theta_I^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_p^I)^2 - \theta_I \sigma_p^I + \rho_2 + \rho_4 \right) \frac{G_y^2}{G_{yy}} + \frac{1}{2} y^2 \rho_3 = 0, \quad (31)$$

$$\rho_1 = \frac{3}{2} (\sigma_p^I)^2 + \lambda_1 \sigma_s^s \sigma_s^I \sigma_I \theta_I + \lambda_2 (\sigma_s^I)^2 \theta_I^2 \sigma_I - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 \sigma_s^s \sigma_s^I \sigma_I \sigma_p^I$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_2(\sigma_s^I)^2\theta_I\sigma_I\sigma_p^I - \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_s^I)^2\theta_I\sigma_I\sigma_p^I - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_s^s\sigma_p^I\sigma_s^I\sigma_I\theta_I \\
& + \frac{1}{4}(\sigma_s^I)^2(\sigma_p^I)^2\sigma_I + \frac{1}{4}\sigma_s^s(\sigma_s^I)^2\sigma_s^I\sigma_I + \lambda_1\sigma_p^s + \frac{\lambda_2(\sigma_s^I)^2\theta_I\sigma_p^I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} \\
& + \frac{\lambda_2\sigma_s^I\theta_I\sigma_p^I}{\sigma_s^s} - \frac{\theta_I\lambda_2(\sigma_s^I)^2(\sigma_p^I)^2}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} - \frac{(\sigma_p^I)^2\sigma_s^I}{2\sigma_s^s} - u_p, \\
\rho_2 = & \frac{\lambda_1\sigma_s^I\sigma_p^I}{2\sigma_s^s} - \frac{2\lambda_1\lambda_2\sigma_s^I\theta_I}{\sigma_s^s} - \frac{\lambda_2^2(\sigma_s^I)^2\theta_I^2}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} + \frac{\lambda_2(\sigma_s^I)^2\theta_I\sigma_p^I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} + \frac{\lambda_2\sigma_s^I\theta_I\sigma_p^I}{\sigma_s^s} \\
& - \frac{3(\sigma_s^I)^2(\sigma_p^I)^2}{4(\sigma_s^s)^2} - \frac{3}{2}\frac{\theta_I(\sigma_s^I)^2\sigma_p^I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} - \frac{\theta_I\sigma_p^I\sigma_s^I}{2\sigma_s^s} - \frac{\theta_I^2(\sigma_s^I)^2}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} + \frac{\theta_I\sigma_s^I\lambda_1}{\sigma_s^s} \\
& + \frac{(\sigma_p^I)^2(\sigma_s^I)^2}{2(\sigma_s^s)^2} + \frac{(\sigma_p^s)^2\sigma_s^I}{4\sigma_s^s}, \\
\rho_3 = & (\sigma_s^I)^4\sigma_I\theta_I^2 - (\sigma_s^I)^4\sigma_I^2\theta_I\sigma_p^I + \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^4\sigma_I^2(\sigma_p^I)^2}{4} + (\sigma_s^s)^2(\sigma_s^I)^2\sigma_I^2\theta_I^2 \\
& - (\sigma_s^s)^2\sigma_s^I\sigma_I^2\theta_I\sigma_p^I + 2\sigma_s^I\sigma_I\theta_I\sigma_p^I - 2\sigma_s^s\sigma_s^I\sigma_I\theta_I\sigma_p^I \\
& + \frac{(\sigma_s^s)^2(\sigma_s^I)^2\sigma_I^2(\sigma_p^I)^2}{4} - \frac{2(\sigma_p^I)^2(\sigma_s^I)^2}{(\sigma_s^s)^2}, \\
\rho_4 = & \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^4\theta_I^2}{(\sigma_s^s)^4} + \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^3\theta_I\sigma_p^I}{(\sigma_s^s)^4} + \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^2(\sigma_p^I)^2}{4(\sigma_s^s)^4} - \frac{2\theta_I^2(\sigma_s^I)^2}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} + \frac{\sigma_s^I\sigma_p^I\theta_I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} \\
& + \frac{\sigma_p^I(\sigma_s^I)^2\theta_I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} - \frac{\sigma_s^I(\sigma_p^I)^2}{2(\sigma_s^s)^2} + \frac{2\lambda_1\lambda_2\sigma_s^I\theta_I}{\sigma_s^s} - \frac{\lambda_1\sigma_s^I\sigma_p^I}{\sigma_s^s} + \frac{\lambda_1^2(\sigma_s^I)^2\theta_I^2}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} \\
& - \frac{\lambda_2(\sigma_s^I)^2\theta_I\sigma_p^I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} - \frac{\lambda_2\sigma_s^I\theta_I\sigma_p^I}{\sigma_s^s} + \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^2(\sigma_p^I)^2}{4(\sigma_s^s)^2} + \frac{\sigma_s^I(\sigma_p^I)^2}{2\sigma_s^s},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_5 = & \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^3 \sigma_I \theta_I \sigma_p^I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} - \frac{2(\sigma_s^I)^4 \sigma_I \theta_I^2}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} + \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^4 \sigma_I \sigma_p^I \theta_I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} - \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^3 \sigma_I (\sigma_p^I)^2}{2(\sigma_s^s)^2} \\
& + 2\theta_I^2 \sigma_I (\sigma_s^I)^2 - \theta_I (\sigma_s^I)^2 \sigma_I \sigma_p^I + \frac{(\sigma_p^I)^2 (\sigma_s^I)^2 \sigma_I}{2} - 2\sigma_s^s \sigma_s^I \sigma_I \theta_I \lambda_1 \\
& - 2(\sigma_s^I)^2 \sigma_I \theta_I^2 \lambda_2 + \sigma_s^s \sigma_s^I \sigma_I \theta_I \sigma_p^I + \sigma_s^s \sigma_s^I \sigma_I \theta_I \sigma_p^I \lambda_1 + (\sigma_s^I)^2 \sigma_I \sigma_p^I \lambda_2 \theta_I \\
& - \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^2 \sigma_I (\sigma_p^I)^2}{2} - \frac{\sigma_s^s \sigma_s^I \sigma_I (\sigma_p^I)^2}{2} - 2\sigma_s^s \lambda_2 \sigma_s^I \theta_I \sigma_p^I + \frac{2\lambda_2 \sigma_s^I \theta_I \sigma_p^I}{\sigma_s^s} \quad (32)
\end{aligned}$$

with $G(T, r_R, y) = U(y)$.

Applying Legendre transform to (31), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \hat{G}_t + (a - br_R(t)) \hat{G}_{r_R} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{r_R}^2 \hat{G}_{r_R r_R} + \left[K + y \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho_5 + \rho_1 \right) \right] Z \\
& - \left(2\theta_I^2 \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_p^I)^2 - \theta_I \sigma_p^I + \rho_2 + \rho_4 \right) Z^2 \hat{G}_{zz} - \frac{1}{2} y^2 \rho_3 \frac{1}{\hat{G}_{zz}} = 0, \quad (33)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_1 = & \frac{3}{2} (\sigma_p^I)^2 + \lambda_1 \sigma_s^s \sigma_s^I \sigma_I \theta_I + \lambda_2 (\sigma_s^I)^2 \theta_I^2 \sigma_I - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 \sigma_s^s \sigma_s^I \sigma_I \sigma_p^I \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 (\sigma_s^I)^2 \theta_I \sigma_I \sigma_p^I - \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_s^I)^2 \theta_I \sigma_I \sigma_p^I - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_s^s \sigma_p^I \sigma_s^I \sigma_I \theta_I \\
& + \frac{1}{4} (\sigma_s^I)^2 (\sigma_p^I)^2 \sigma_I + \frac{1}{4} \sigma_s^s (\sigma_s^I)^2 \sigma_s^I \sigma_I + \lambda_1 \sigma_p^s + \frac{\lambda_2 (\sigma_s^I)^2 \theta_I \sigma_p^I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} \\
& + \frac{\lambda_2 \sigma_s^I \theta_I \sigma_p^I}{\sigma_s^s} - \frac{\theta_I \lambda_2 (\sigma_s^I)^2 (\sigma_p^I)^2}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} - \frac{(\sigma_p^I)^2 \sigma_s^I}{2\sigma_s^s} - u_p, \\
\rho_2 = & \frac{\lambda_1 \sigma_s^I \sigma_p^I}{2\sigma_s^s} - \frac{2\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \sigma_s^I \theta_I}{\sigma_s^s} - \frac{\lambda_2^2 (\sigma_s^I)^2 \theta_I^2}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} + \frac{\lambda_2 (\sigma_s^I)^2 \theta_I \sigma_p^I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} + \frac{\lambda_2 \sigma_s^I \theta_I \sigma_p^I}{\sigma_s^s} \\
& - \frac{3(\sigma_s^I)^2 (\sigma_p^I)^2}{4(\sigma_s^s)^2} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\theta_I (\sigma_s^I)^2 \sigma_p^I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} - \frac{\theta_I \sigma_p^I \sigma_s^I}{2\sigma_s^s} - \frac{\theta_I^2 (\sigma_s^I)^2}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} + \frac{\theta_I \sigma_s^I \lambda_1}{\sigma_s^s}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{(\sigma_p^I)^2 (\sigma_s^I)^2}{2(\sigma_s^s)^2} + \frac{(\sigma_p^s)^2 \sigma_s^I}{4\sigma_s^s}, \\
\rho_3 = & (\sigma_s^I)^4 \sigma_I \theta_I^2 - (\sigma_s^I)^4 \sigma_I^2 \theta_I \sigma_p^I + \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^4 \sigma_I^2 (\sigma_p^I)^2}{4} + (\sigma_s^s)^2 (\sigma_s^I)^2 \sigma_I^2 \theta_I^2 \\
& - (\sigma_s^s)^2 \sigma_s^I \sigma_I^2 \theta_I \sigma_p^I + 2\sigma_s^I \sigma_I \theta_I \sigma_p^I - 2\sigma_s^s \sigma_s^I \sigma_I \theta_I \sigma_p^I \\
& + \frac{(\sigma_s^s)^2 (\sigma_s^I)^2 \sigma_I^2 (\sigma_p^I)^2}{4} - \frac{2(\sigma_p^I)^2 (\sigma_s^I)^2}{(\sigma_s^s)^2}, \\
\rho_4 = & \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^4 \theta_I^2}{(\sigma_s^s)^4} + \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^3 \theta_I \sigma_p^I}{(\sigma_s^s)^4} + \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^2 (\sigma_p^I)^2}{4(\sigma_s^s)^4} - \frac{2\theta_I^2 (\sigma_s^I)^2}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} + \frac{\sigma_s^I \sigma_p^I \theta_I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} \\
& + \frac{\sigma_p^I (\sigma_s^I)^2 \theta_I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} - \frac{\sigma_s^I (\sigma_p^I)^2}{2(\sigma_s^s)^2} + \frac{2\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \sigma_s^I \theta_I}{\sigma_s^s} - \frac{\lambda_1 \sigma_s^I \sigma_p^I}{\sigma_s^s} + \frac{\lambda_1^2 (\sigma_s^I)^2 \theta_I^2}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} \\
& - \frac{\lambda_2 (\sigma_s^I)^2 \theta_I \sigma_p^I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} - \frac{\lambda_2 \sigma_s^I \theta_I \sigma_p^I}{\sigma_s^s} + \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^2 (\sigma_p^I)^2}{4(\sigma_s^s)^2} + \frac{\sigma_s^I (\sigma_p^I)^2}{2\sigma_s^s}, \\
\rho_5 = & \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^3 \sigma_I \theta_I \sigma_p^I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} - \frac{2(\sigma_s^I)^4 \sigma_I \theta_I^2}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} + \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^4 \sigma_I \sigma_p^I \theta_I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} - \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^3 \sigma_I (\sigma_p^I)^2}{2(\sigma_s^s)^2} \\
& + 2\theta_I^2 \sigma_I (\sigma_s^I)^2 - \theta_I (\sigma_s^I)^2 \sigma_I \sigma_p^I + \frac{(\sigma_p^I)^2 (\sigma_s^I)^2 \sigma_I}{2} - 2\sigma_s^s \sigma_s^I \sigma_I \theta_I \lambda_1 \\
& - 2(\sigma_s^I)^2 \sigma_I \theta_I^2 \lambda_2 + \sigma_s^s \sigma_s^I \sigma_I \theta_I \sigma_p^I + \sigma_s^s \sigma_s^I \sigma_I \theta_I \sigma_p^I \lambda_1 + (\sigma_s^I)^2 \sigma_I \sigma_p^I \lambda_2 \theta_I \\
& - \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^2 \sigma_I (\sigma_p^I)^2}{2} - \frac{\sigma_s^s \sigma_s^I \sigma_I (\sigma_p^I)^2}{2} - 2\sigma_s^s \lambda_2 \sigma_s^I \theta_I \sigma_p^I + \frac{2\lambda_2 \sigma_s^I \theta_I \sigma_p^I}{\sigma_s^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

Differentiating equation (33) for \hat{G} with respect to z we obtain a linear PDE in terms of h and its derivatives and using $y = h = -\hat{G}_z$, we have

$$h_t + (a - br_R) h_{r_R} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{r_R}^2 h_{r_R r_R} - Zh_2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho_5 + \rho_1 \right) - \left[k + h \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho_5 + \rho_1 \right) \right]$$

$$-\left(2\theta_I^2 \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_p^I)^2 - \theta_I \sigma_p^I + \rho_2 + \rho_4\right)(h_z 2z + z^2 h_{zz}) + \rho_3 \left(2h + \frac{h^2 h_{zz}}{h_z^2}\right) = 0, \quad (34)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 &= \frac{3}{2}(\sigma_p^I)^2 + \lambda_1 \sigma_s^s \sigma_s^I \sigma_I \theta_I + \lambda_2 (\sigma_s^I)^2 \theta_I^2 \sigma_I - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 \sigma_s^s \sigma_s^I \sigma_I \sigma_p^I \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 (\sigma_s^I)^2 \theta_I \sigma_I \sigma_p^I - \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_s^I)^2 \theta_I \sigma_I \sigma_p^I - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_s^s \sigma_p^I \sigma_s^I \sigma_I \theta_I \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} (\sigma_s^I)^2 (\sigma_p^I)^2 \sigma_I + \frac{1}{4} \sigma_s^s (\sigma_s^I)^2 \sigma_s^I \sigma_I + \lambda_1 \sigma_p^s + \frac{\lambda_2 (\sigma_s^I)^2 \theta_I \sigma_p^I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda_2 \sigma_s^I \theta_I \sigma_p^I}{\sigma_s^s} - \frac{\theta_I \lambda_2 (\sigma_s^I)^2 (\sigma_p^I)^2}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} - \frac{(\sigma_p^I)^2 \sigma_s^I}{2\sigma_s^s} - u_p, \\ \rho_2 &= \frac{\lambda_1 \sigma_s^I \sigma_p^I}{2\sigma_s^s} - \frac{2\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \sigma_s^I \theta_I}{\sigma_s^s} - \frac{\lambda_2^2 (\sigma_s^I)^2 \theta_I^2}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} + \frac{\lambda_2 (\sigma_s^I)^2 \theta_I \sigma_p^I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} + \frac{\lambda_2 \sigma_s^I \theta_I \sigma_p^I}{\sigma_s^s} \\ &\quad - \frac{3(\sigma_s^I)^2 (\sigma_p^I)^2}{4(\sigma_s^s)^2} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\theta_I (\sigma_s^I)^2 \sigma_p^I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} - \frac{\theta_I \sigma_p^I \sigma_s^I}{2\sigma_s^s} - \frac{\theta_I^2 (\sigma_s^I)^2}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} + \frac{\theta_I \sigma_s^I \lambda_1}{\sigma_s^s} \\ &\quad + \frac{(\sigma_p^I)^2 (\sigma_s^I)^2}{2(\sigma_s^s)^2} + \frac{(\sigma_p^I)^2 \sigma_s^I}{4\sigma_s^s}, \\ \rho_3 &= (\sigma_s^I)^4 \sigma_I \theta_I^2 - (\sigma_s^I)^4 \sigma_I^2 \theta_I \sigma_p^I + \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^4 \sigma_I^2 (\sigma_p^I)^2}{4} + (\sigma_s^s)^2 (\sigma_s^I)^2 \sigma_I^2 \theta_I^2 \\ &\quad - (\sigma_s^s)^2 \sigma_s^I \sigma_I^2 \theta_I \sigma_p^I + 2\sigma_s^I \sigma_I \theta_I \sigma_p^I - 2\sigma_s^s \sigma_s^I \sigma_I \theta_I \sigma_p^I \\ &\quad + \frac{(\sigma_s^s)^2 (\sigma_s^I)^2 \sigma_I^2 (\sigma_p^I)^2}{4} - \frac{2(\sigma_p^I)^2 (\sigma_s^I)^2}{(\sigma_s^s)^2}, \\ \rho_4 &= \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^4 \theta_I^2}{(\sigma_s^s)^4} + \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^3 \theta_I \sigma_p^I}{(\sigma_s^s)^4} + \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^2 (\sigma_p^I)^2}{4(\sigma_s^s)^4} - \frac{2\theta_I^2 (\sigma_s^I)^2}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} + \frac{\sigma_s^I \sigma_p^I \theta_I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{\sigma_p^I (\sigma_s^I)^2 \theta_I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} - \frac{\sigma_s^I (\sigma_p^I)^2}{2(\sigma_s^s)^2} + \frac{2\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \sigma_s^I \theta_I}{\sigma_s^s} - \frac{\lambda_1 \sigma_s^I \sigma_p^I}{\sigma_s^s} + \frac{\lambda_1^2 (\sigma_s^I)^2 \theta_I^2}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} \\
 & - \frac{\lambda_2 (\sigma_s^I)^2 \theta_I \sigma_p^I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} - \frac{\lambda_2 \sigma_s^I \theta_I \sigma_p^I}{\sigma_s^s} + \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^2 (\sigma_p^I)^2}{4(\sigma_s^s)^2} + \frac{\sigma_s^I (\sigma_p^I)^2}{2\sigma_s^s}, \\
 \rho_5 = & \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^3 \sigma_I \theta_I \sigma_p^I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} - \frac{2(\sigma_s^I)^4 \sigma_I \theta_I^2}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} + \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^4 \sigma_I \sigma_p^I \theta_I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} - \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^3 \sigma_I (\sigma_p^I)^2}{2(\sigma_s^s)^2} \\
 & + 2\theta_I^2 \sigma_I (\sigma_s^I)^2 - \theta_I (\sigma_s^I)^2 \sigma_I \sigma_p^I + \frac{(\sigma_p^I)^2 (\sigma_s^I)^2 \sigma_I}{2} - 2\sigma_s^s \sigma_s^I \sigma_I \theta_I \lambda_1 \\
 & - 2(\sigma_s^I)^2 \sigma_I \theta_I^2 \lambda_2 + \sigma_s^s \sigma_s^I \sigma_I \theta_I \sigma_p^I + \sigma_s^s \sigma_s^I \sigma_I \theta_I \sigma_p^I \lambda_1 + (\sigma_s^I)^2 \sigma_I \sigma_p^I \lambda_2 \theta_I \\
 & - \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^2 \sigma_I (\sigma_p^I)^2}{2} - \frac{\sigma_s^s \sigma_s^I \sigma_I (\sigma_p^I)^2}{2} - 2\sigma_s^s \lambda_2 \sigma_s^I \theta_I \sigma_p^I + \frac{2\lambda_2 \sigma_s^I \theta_I \sigma_p^I}{\sigma_s^s}, \quad \sigma_p^I = \sigma_p^s \\
 & u_C = 1 - u_B - u_S \tag{35}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$u_S^* = \frac{\sigma_p^I}{\sigma_s^s} - \left(\frac{-\lambda_1 \sigma_s^s - \lambda_2 \sigma_s^I \theta_2 + \sigma_s^I \sigma_p^I + \sigma_s^I \left(\theta_I - \frac{\sigma_p^I}{2} \right)}{y(\sigma_s^s)^2} \right) zh_z \tag{36}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_B^* = & \frac{\sigma_p^I}{\sigma_I} - \frac{\sigma_p^I \sigma_s^I}{\sigma_s^s \sigma_I} \\
 & + \left[\frac{(\sigma_s^I)^2 \theta_I - \sigma_s^I \sigma_I \sigma_p^I - \sigma_s^I \lambda_1 \sigma_s^s - (\sigma_s^I)^2 \lambda_2 \theta_I + \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^2 \sigma_p^I}{2} + \frac{\sigma_s^s \sigma_s^I \sigma_p^I}{2}}{h(\sigma_s^s)^2} \right] zh_z \\
 & + \frac{\theta_I zh_z}{h\sigma_I}, \tag{37}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\sigma_p^I = \sigma_p^s. \tag{38}$$

We will now solve (34) for h and substitute into (36) and (37) to obtain the optimal investment strategies.

5. Explicit Solution of the Optimal Investment Strategies for the CRRA Utility Function

Assume the investor takes a power utility function

$$U(y) = \frac{y^p}{p}, \quad p < 1, \quad p \neq 0. \quad (39)$$

The relative risk aversion of an investor with utility described in (39) is constant and (39) is a CRRA utility.

From (19) we have $h(T, r_R, z) = (V')^{-1}(z)$ and from (39), we have

$$h(T, r_R, z) = z^{\frac{1}{p-1}}. \quad (40)$$

We assume a solution to (34) with the following form

$$h(t, r_R, z) = g(t, r_R) \left[z^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right] + v(t), \quad v(T) = 0, \quad g(T, s) = 1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} h_t &= g_t z^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + v', \quad h_z = -\frac{g}{1-p} z^{\left(\frac{1}{p-1}-1\right)}, \quad h_{r_R z} = -\frac{g_{r_R}}{1-p} z^{\left(\frac{1}{p-1}-1\right)}, \\ h_{zz} &= \frac{(2-p)g}{(1-p)^2} z^{\left(\frac{1}{p-1}-1\right)}, \quad h_{r_R} = g_{r_R} z^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad h_{r_R r_R} = g_{r_R r_R} z^{\frac{1}{p-1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Substituting (41) into (34), we have

$$\left\{ g_t + (a - br_R) g_{r_R} - \frac{g_{r_R r_R} \sigma_{r_R}^2}{2} + \frac{g \left(\frac{\rho_5}{2} + \rho_1 \right)}{1-p} - \frac{g \rho_5}{2} - g \rho_1 \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{2g\left(2\theta_I^2 \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_p^I)^2 - \theta_I \sigma_p^I + \rho_2 + \rho_4\right)}{1-p} \\
 & - \frac{(2-p)g\left(2\theta_I^2 \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_p^I)^2 - \theta_I \sigma_p^I + \rho_2 + \rho_4\right)}{(1-p)^2} \left. \vphantom{\frac{(2-p)g\left(2\theta_I^2 \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_p^I)^2 - \theta_I \sigma_p^I + \rho_2 + \rho_4\right)}{(1-p)^2}} \right\} z^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + v^I(t) - k - \frac{1}{2}v\rho_5 - v\rho_1 \\
 & = 0, \tag{42}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_3 = 0$.

Splitting (42), we have

$$v^I(t) - \left(\frac{1}{2}\rho_5 + \rho_1\right)v(t) - k = 0, \tag{43}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & g_t + (a - br_R)g_{r_R} - \frac{g_{r_R r_R} \sigma_{r_R}^2}{2} + \frac{g\left(\frac{\rho_5}{2} + \rho_1\right)}{1-p} - \frac{g\rho_5}{2} - g\rho_1 \\
 & + \frac{2g\left(2\theta_I^2 \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_p^I)^2 - \theta_I \sigma_p^I + \rho_2 + \rho_4\right)}{1-p} \\
 & - \frac{(2-p)g\left(2\theta_I^2 \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_p^I)^2 - \theta_I \sigma_p^I + \rho_2 + \rho_4\right)}{(1-p)^2} = 0. \tag{44}
 \end{aligned}$$

Considering the boundary condition, $v(T) = 0$, (43) yields the solution

$$v(t) = -\frac{k}{\rho_*} (1 - e^{-\rho_*(T-t)}), \tag{45}$$

where $\rho_3 = 0$, $\rho_* = \frac{1}{3}\rho_5 + \rho_1$.

Next, obtain the solution of (44), by assuming, a solution of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 & g(t, r_R) = M(t)e^{N(t)r_R}, \quad M(T) = 1, \quad N(T) = 0 \\
 & g_{r_R} = M(t)N(t)e^{N(t)r_R}, \quad g_{r_R r_R} = M(t)N^2(t)e^{N(t)r_R}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$g_t = r_R M(t) N^I(t) e^{N(t)r_R} + M^I(t) e^{N(t)r_R}. \quad (46)$$

Substituting (46) into (44), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & N_t r_R + \frac{M_t}{M} + Na - Nbr_R + \frac{1}{2} N^2 k_1 r_R + \frac{1}{2} N^2 k_2 \\ & + \frac{\rho_5}{2(1-p)} + \frac{\rho_1}{1-p} - \frac{1}{2} \rho_5 - \frac{1}{2} \rho_1 \\ & + \frac{2 \left(2\theta_I^2 \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_p^I)^2 - \theta_I \sigma_p^I + \rho_2 + \rho_4 \right)}{1-p} \\ & - \frac{(2-p) \left(2\theta_I^2 \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_p^I)^2 - \theta_I \sigma_p^I + \rho_2 + \rho_4 \right)}{(1-p)^2} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Splitting (47), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{M_t}{M} + Na + \frac{1}{2} N^2 k_1 + \frac{\rho_5}{2(1-p)} + \frac{\rho_1}{1-p} - \frac{1}{2} \rho_5 - \frac{1}{2} \rho_1 \\ & + \frac{2 \left(2\theta_I^2 \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_p^I)^2 - \theta_I \sigma_p^I + \rho_2 + \rho_4 \right)}{1-p} \\ & - \frac{(2-p) \left(2\theta_I^2 \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_p^I)^2 - \theta_I \sigma_p^I + \rho_2 + \rho_4 \right)}{(1-p)^2} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

$$N_t - Nb + \frac{1}{2} N^2 k_1 = 0. \quad (49)$$

Solving (48) and (49), we obtain

$$N(t) = \frac{2b[t-T]}{k_1} \quad (50)$$

$$M(t) = c_1 e^{\left\{ a \pm (a^2 - 2k_2 H) \frac{1}{2} k_2^{-1} t \right\}}, \quad c_1 = e^c, \quad (51)$$

$$H = \frac{\rho_5}{2(1-p)} + \frac{\rho_1}{1-p} - \frac{1}{2}\rho_5 - \frac{1}{2}\rho_1 + \frac{2\left(2\theta_I^2 \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_p^I)^2 - \theta_I \sigma_p^I + \rho_2 + \rho_4\right)}{1-p}$$

$$- \frac{(2-p)\left(2\theta_I^2 \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_p^I)^2 - \theta_I \sigma_p^I + \rho_2 + \rho_4\right)}{(1-p)^2} M(T) = 1, \quad (52)$$

where

$$d_1 = \frac{4b}{2k_1} \quad (53)$$

$$d_2 = 0 \quad (54)$$

$$g(r_R, t) = \frac{e^{\left\{a \pm (a^2 - 2k_2 H) \frac{1}{2} k_2^{-1} t\right\}}}{e^{\left\{a \pm (a^2 - 2k_2 H) \frac{1}{2} k_2^{-1} T\right\}}} \exp \frac{2b(t-T)}{k_1} r_R. \quad (55)$$

Therefore, the solution of (34) becomes

$$h(t, r_R, z) = \frac{e^{\left\{a \pm (a^2 - 2k_2 H) \frac{1}{2} k_2^{-1} t\right\}}}{e^{\left\{a \pm (a^2 - 2k_2 H) \frac{1}{2} k_2^{-1} T\right\}}} z^{\frac{1}{p-1}} - \frac{k}{\rho_*} (1 - e^{-\rho_*(T-t)}), \quad (56)$$

where $\rho_3 = 0$, $\rho_* = \frac{1}{2}\rho_5 + \rho_1$.

Proposition 5.1. *The optimal investment strategies for cash, bond and stock is given as follows:*

$$u_C^* = 1 - u_B^* - u_S^*$$

$$u_S^* = \frac{\sigma_p^I}{\sigma_s^s} - \left(\frac{-\lambda_1 \sigma_s^s - \lambda_2 \sigma_s^I \theta_I + \sigma_s^I \sigma_p^I + \sigma_s^I \left(\theta_I - \frac{\sigma_p^I}{2} \right)}{\sigma_s^s} \right) \frac{1}{p-1}$$

$$\times \frac{e^{\left\{a \pm (a^2 - 2k_2H) \frac{1}{2} k_2^{-1} t\right\}}}{e^{\left\{a \pm (a^2 - 2k_2H) \frac{1}{2} k_2^{-1} T\right\}}} \left(\frac{e^{\left\{a \pm (a^2 - 2k_2H) \frac{1}{2} k_2^{-1} T\right\}}}{e^{\left\{a \pm (a^2 - 2k_2H) \frac{1}{2} k_2^{-1} t\right\}}} - \frac{\frac{1}{\rho_* z^{p-1}}}{k(1 - e^{-\rho_*(T-t)})} \right), \quad (57)$$

$$u_B^* = \frac{\sigma_p^I}{\sigma_I} - \frac{\sigma_p^I \sigma_s^I}{\sigma_s^s \sigma_I} + \frac{\left[(\sigma_s^I)^2 \theta_I - \sigma_s^I \sigma_I \sigma_p^I - \sigma_s^I \lambda_1 \sigma_s^s - (\sigma_s^I)^2 \lambda_2 \theta_I + \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^2 \sigma_p^I}{2} + \frac{\sigma_s^s \sigma_s^I \sigma_p^I}{2} \right]}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} \frac{1}{p-1} \times \frac{e^{\left\{a \pm (a^2 - 2k_2H) \frac{1}{2} k_2^{-1} t\right\}}}{e^{\left\{a \pm (a^2 - 2k_2H) \frac{1}{2} k_2^{-1} T\right\}}} \left(\frac{e^{\left\{a \pm (a^2 - 2k_2H) \frac{1}{2} k_2^{-1} T\right\}}}{e^{\left\{a \pm (a^2 - 2k_2H) \frac{1}{2} k_2^{-1} t\right\}}} - \frac{\frac{1}{\rho_* z^{p-1}}}{k(1 - e^{-\rho_*(T-t)})} \right) + \frac{\theta_I}{p-1} \frac{e^{\left\{a \pm (a^2 - 2k_2H) \frac{1}{2} k_2^{-1} t\right\}}}{e^{\left\{a \pm (a^2 - 2k_2H) \frac{1}{2} k_2^{-1} T\right\}}} \left(\frac{e^{\left\{a \pm (a^2 - 2k_2H) \frac{1}{2} k_2^{-1} T\right\}}}{e^{\left\{a \pm (a^2 - 2k_2H) \frac{1}{2} k_2^{-1} t\right\}}} - \frac{\frac{1}{\rho_* z^{p-1}}}{\sigma_I k(1 - e^{-\rho_*(T-t)})} \right), \quad (58)$$

$$\rho_3 = 0, \quad \rho_* = \frac{1}{2} \rho_5 + \rho_1, \quad \sigma_p^I = \sigma_p^s \quad (59)$$

$$H = \frac{\rho_5}{2(1-p)} + \frac{\rho_1}{1-p} - \frac{1}{2} \rho_5 - \frac{1}{2} \rho_1 + \frac{2 \left(2\theta_I^2 \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_p^I)^2 - \theta_I \sigma_p^I + \rho_2 + \rho_4 \right)}{1-p} - \frac{(2-p) \left(2\theta_I^2 \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_p^I)^2 - \theta_I \sigma_p^I + \rho_2 + \rho_4 \right)}{(1-p)^2}, \quad (60)$$

$$\rho_1 = \frac{3}{2} (\sigma_p^I)^2 + \lambda_1 \sigma_s^s \sigma_s^I \sigma_I \theta_I + \lambda_2 (\sigma_s^I)^2 \theta_I^2 \sigma_I - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 \sigma_s^s \sigma_s^I \sigma_I \sigma_p^I - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 (\sigma_s^I)^2 \theta_I \sigma_I \sigma_p^I - \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_s^I)^2 \theta_I \sigma_I \sigma_p^I - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_s^s \sigma_p^I \sigma_s^I \sigma_I \theta_I$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{4}(\sigma_s^I)^2(\sigma_p^I)^2\sigma_I + \frac{1}{4}\sigma_s^s(\sigma_s^I)^2\sigma_s^I\sigma_I + \lambda_1\sigma_p^s + \frac{\lambda_2(\sigma_s^I)^2\theta_I\sigma_p^I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} \\
& + \frac{\lambda_2\sigma_s^I\theta_I\sigma_p^I}{\sigma_s^s} - \frac{\theta_I\lambda_2(\sigma_s^I)^2(\sigma_p^I)^2}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} - \frac{(\sigma_p^I)^2\sigma_s^I}{2\sigma_s^s} - u_p, \\
\rho_2 = & \frac{\lambda_1\sigma_s^I\sigma_p^I}{2\sigma_s^s} - \frac{2\lambda_1\lambda_2\sigma_s^I\theta_I}{\sigma_s^s} - \frac{\lambda_2^2(\sigma_s^I)^2\theta_I^2}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} + \frac{\lambda_2(\sigma_s^I)^2\theta_I\sigma_p^I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} + \frac{\lambda_2\sigma_s^I\theta_I\sigma_p^I}{\sigma_s^s} \\
& - \frac{3(\sigma_s^I)^2(\sigma_p^I)^2}{4(\sigma_s^s)^2} - \frac{3}{2}\frac{\theta_I(\sigma_s^I)^2\sigma_p^I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} - \frac{\theta_I\sigma_p^I\sigma_s^I}{2\sigma_s^s} - \frac{\theta_I^2(\sigma_s^I)^2}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} + \frac{\theta_I\sigma_s^I\lambda_1}{\sigma_s^s} \\
& + \frac{(\sigma_p^I)^2(\sigma_s^I)^2}{2(\sigma_s^s)^2} + \frac{(\sigma_p^s)^2\sigma_s^I}{4\sigma_s^s}, \\
\rho_3 = & (\sigma_s^I)^4\sigma_I\theta_I^2 - (\sigma_s^I)^4\sigma_I^2\theta_I\sigma_p^I + \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^4\sigma_I^2(\sigma_p^I)^2}{4} + (\sigma_s^s)^2(\sigma_s^I)^2\sigma_I^2\theta_I^2 \\
& - (\sigma_s^s)^2\sigma_s^I\sigma_I^2\theta_I\sigma_p^I + 2\sigma_s^I\sigma_I\theta_I\sigma_p^I - 2\sigma_s^s\sigma_s^I\sigma_I\theta_I\sigma_p^I \\
& + \frac{(\sigma_s^s)^2(\sigma_s^I)^2\sigma_I^2(\sigma_p^I)^2}{4} - \frac{2(\sigma_p^I)^2(\sigma_s^I)^2}{(\sigma_s^s)^2}, \\
\rho_4 = & \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^4\theta_I^2}{(\sigma_s^s)^4} + \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^3\theta_I\sigma_p^I}{(\sigma_s^s)^4} + \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^2(\sigma_p^I)^2}{4(\sigma_s^s)^4} - \frac{2\theta_I^2(\sigma_s^I)^2}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} + \frac{\sigma_s^I\sigma_p^I\theta_I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} \\
& + \frac{\sigma_p^I(\sigma_s^I)^2\theta_I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} - \frac{\sigma_s^I(\sigma_p^I)^2}{2(\sigma_s^s)^2} + \frac{2\lambda_1\lambda_2\sigma_s^I\theta_I}{\sigma_s^s} - \frac{\lambda_1\sigma_s^I\sigma_p^I}{\sigma_s^s} + \frac{\lambda_1^2(\sigma_s^I)^2\theta_I^2}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} \\
& - \frac{\lambda_2(\sigma_s^I)^2\theta_I\sigma_p^I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} - \frac{\lambda_2\sigma_s^I\theta_I\sigma_p^I}{\sigma_s^s} + \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^2(\sigma_p^I)^2}{4(\sigma_s^s)^2} + \frac{\sigma_s^I(\sigma_p^I)^2}{2\sigma_s^s}, \\
\rho_5 = & \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^3\sigma_I\theta_I\sigma_p^I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} - \frac{2(\sigma_s^I)^4\sigma_I\theta_I^2}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} + \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^4\sigma_I\sigma_p^I\theta_I}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} - \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^3\sigma_I(\sigma_p^I)^2}{2(\sigma_s^s)^2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 2\theta_I^2\sigma_I(\sigma_s^I)^2 - \theta_I(\sigma_s^I)^2\sigma_I\sigma_p^I + \frac{(\sigma_p^I)^2(\sigma_s^I)^2\sigma_I}{2} - 2\sigma_s^s\sigma_s^I\sigma_I\theta_I\lambda_1 \\
& - 2(\sigma_s^I)^2\sigma_I\theta_I^2\lambda_2 + \sigma_s^s\sigma_s^I\sigma_I\theta_I\sigma_p^I + \sigma_s^s\sigma_s^I\sigma_I\theta_I\sigma_p^I\lambda_1 + (\sigma_s^I)^2\sigma_I\sigma_p^I\lambda_2\theta_I \\
& - \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^2\sigma_I(\sigma_p^I)^2}{2} - \frac{\sigma_s^s\sigma_s^I\sigma_I(\sigma_p^I)^2}{2} - 2\sigma_s^s\lambda_2\sigma_s^I\theta_I\sigma_p^I + \frac{2\lambda_2\sigma_s^I\theta_I\sigma_p^I}{\sigma_s^s}
\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

$$N(t) = \frac{2b[t - T]}{k_1} \tag{62}$$

$$d_1 = \frac{4b}{2k_1}$$

$$d_2 = 0.$$

Remark 5.1. If we let $\sigma_p^I = \sigma_s^I = \theta_I = 0$, the optimal strategies (57) and (58) would be of the form of the Zhang and Rong [4].

Result 1

Recall from Zhang and Rong [4], the coefficients d_1, d_2 degenerates to $\frac{4b}{2k_1}$ and zero, in the absence of the coefficient of the CRRA (i.e., as $p \rightarrow 0$), however, in this work, even in the presence of the coefficient of CRRA the coefficients d_1, d_2 are already degenerate. We therefore, conclude that, under the inflationary market, the CRRA utility function has little or no effect on the investment strategy. This depicts the effect of Inflation on optimal investment strategy.

Result 2

More so, in this our work, in the absence of the coefficient of the CRRA (i.e., as $\rightarrow 0$), the coefficients d_1, d_2 , still retains its value (i.e., will never degenerate further than this). This shows the hedging role of the Inflation linked Bond and Stock in the optimal investment strategy in a DC Pension scheme.

The associated optimal investment strategy for a logarithmic utility function, as $p \rightarrow 0$ is given by

$$u_S^* = \frac{\sigma_p^I}{\sigma_s^s} + \left(\frac{-\lambda_1 \sigma_s^s - \lambda_2 \sigma_s^I \theta_2 + \sigma_s^I \sigma_p^I + \sigma_s^I \left(\theta_I - \frac{\sigma_p^I}{2} \right)}{\sigma_s^s} \right) \frac{e^{\left\{ a \pm (a^2 - 2k_2 \rho_1) \frac{1}{2} k_2^{-1} t \right\}}}{e^{\left\{ a \pm (a^2 - 2k_2 \rho_1) \frac{1}{2} k_2^{-1} T \right\}}} \times \left(\frac{e^{\left\{ a \pm (a^2 - 2k_2 \rho_1) \frac{1}{2} k_2^{-1} T \right\}}}{e^{\left\{ a \pm (a^2 - 2k_2 \rho_1) \frac{1}{2} k_2^{-1} t \right\}}} - \frac{\rho_*}{zk(1 - e^{-\rho_*(T-t)})} \right), \quad (63)$$

$$u_B^* = \frac{\sigma_p^I}{\sigma_I} - \frac{\sigma_p^I \sigma_s^I}{\sigma_s^s \sigma_I} - \frac{\left[(\sigma_s^I)^2 \theta_I - \sigma_s^I \sigma_I \sigma_p^I - \sigma_s^I \lambda_1 \sigma_s^s - (\sigma_s^I)^2 \lambda_2 \theta_I + \frac{(\sigma_s^I)^2 \sigma_p^I}{2} + \frac{\sigma_s^s \sigma_s^I \sigma_p^I}{2} \right]}{(\sigma_s^s)^2} \times \frac{e^{\left\{ a \pm (a^2 - 2k_2 \rho_1) \frac{1}{2} k_2^{-1} t \right\}}}{e^{\left\{ a \pm (a^2 - 2k_2 \rho_1) \frac{1}{2} k_2^{-1} T \right\}}} \left(\frac{e^{\left\{ a \pm (a^2 - 2k_2 \rho_1) \frac{1}{2} k_2^{-1} T \right\}}}{e^{\left\{ a \pm (a^2 - 2k_2 \rho_1) \frac{1}{2} k_2^{-1} t \right\}}} - \frac{\rho_*}{zk(1 - e^{-\rho_*(T-t)})} \right) + \frac{\theta_I}{p-1} \frac{e^{\left\{ a \pm (a^2 - 2k_2 \rho_1) \frac{1}{2} k_2^{-1} t \right\}}}{e^{\left\{ a \pm (a^2 - 2k_2 \rho_1) \frac{1}{2} k_2^{-1} T \right\}}} \left(\frac{e^{\left\{ a \pm (a^2 - 2k_2 \rho_1) \frac{1}{2} k_2^{-1} T \right\}}}{e^{\left\{ a \pm (a^2 - 2k_2 \rho_1) \frac{1}{2} k_2^{-1} t \right\}}} - \frac{\rho_*}{z\sigma_I k(1 - e^{-\rho_*(T-t)})} \right), \quad (64)$$

$$\rho_3 = 0, \quad \rho_* = \frac{1}{2} \rho_5 + \rho_1, \quad \sigma_p^I = \sigma_p^s,$$

$$H = \frac{\rho_5}{2(1-p)} + \frac{\rho_1}{1-p} - \frac{1}{2} \rho_5 - \frac{1}{2} \rho_1 + \frac{2 \left(2\theta_I^2 \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_p^I)^2 - \theta_I \sigma_p^I + \rho_2 + \rho_4 \right)}{1-p}$$

$$-\frac{(2-p)\left(2\theta_I^2 \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_p^I)^2 - \theta_I \sigma_p^I + \rho_2 + \rho_4\right)}{(1-p)^2}.$$

6. Discussion and Conclusion

6.1. Discussion

From Proposition 5.1, we deduced that in the absence of inflation, proportions of the pension wealth invested in stock and bond would be at least at minimal returns, and the optimal investment strategy, with CRRA utility function would be constant. From (60) and (61), we observe that the optimal investment process is lumped with a lot of inflation radicals, which in turn serves as catalyst in the hedging of inflation effects, during the optimization of the pension wealth. More so, from Remark 5.1, we discovered that the CRRA utility function does not have much effect on inflation and its effect on wealth investment, whereas, the inflation linked bond and stock serves as a hedging mechanism against adverse effect of Inflation on the optimization of pension wealth. From the analysis, we see that the returns on investment of the pension wealth will reduce to an extent, as a result of depreciated wealth allocation, therefore, the contributor require extra measure to dampen the effect of inflation on the investment strategy. From this analysis, we deduce also that the more the returns on optimal investment degenerates, the more the price of stock reduces, then the need for more wealth investment in both stock and bond becomes necessary, in order to recover for the lost times, hence the need for an amortization fund by the plan member becomes necessary.

6.2. Conclusion

The wealth investment strategies for a prospective investor in a DC pension scheme, under inflationary market, with stochastic salary, under the geometric Brownian motion model has been studied. Relevant to this work, the CRRA utility function was used and we obtained the wealth investment strategies for cash, inflation-indexed bond and inflation-linked stock using the Legendre transform and dual theory. More so, the effects of inflation parameters and coefficient of CRRA utility function and the role of the inflation-indexed bond and inflation-linked stock were analyzed, with insignificant input on the investment strategies. We conclude therefore, inflation have significant negative effect on wealth investment strategies, particularly, the $RRA(w)$ is not constant with the investment strategy. More so, the inflation-indexed bond and inflation-linked stock plays

a vital role in hedging the fund investments against severe economic damage (devaluation).

6.3. Recommendation

Based on our results so far, we recommend the investigation of the effect of extra stochastic contribution on optimal investment strategy, in DC pension scheme, under inflationary market.

References

- [1] P. Battocchio and F. Menoncin, Optimal pension management in a stochastic framework, *Insurance Math. Econom.* 34(1) (2004), 79-95.
- [2] J. F. Boulier, S. Huang and G. Taillard, Optimal management under stochastic interest rates: the case of a protected defined contribution pension fund, *Insurance Math. Econom.* 28(2) (2001), 173-189.
- [3] A. J. G. Cairns, D. Blake and K. Dowd, Stochastic lifestyle: optimal dynamic asset allocation for defined contribution pension plans, *Journal of Economic Dynamics & Control* 30(5) (2006), 843-877.
- [4] C. Zhang and X. Rong, Optimal investment strategies for DC pension with stochastic salary under affine interest rate model, *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society* (2013), Article ID 297875, 11 pages. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/297875>
- [5] J. C. Cox, J. E. Ingersoll and S. A. Ross, A theory of the term structure of interest rates, *Econometrica* 53(2) (1985), 385-407.
- [6] G. Deelstra, M. Grasselli and P. F. Koehl, Optimal investment strategies in the presence of a minimum guarantee, *Insurance Math. Econom.* 33(1) (2003), 189-207.
- [7] D. Duffie and R. Kan, A yield-factor model of interest rates, *Mathematical Finance* 6(4) (1996), 379-406.
- [8] J. Gao, Stochastic optimal control of DC pension funds, *Insurance Math. Econom.* 42(3) (2008), 1159-1164.
- [9] J. Gao, Optimal portfolios for DC pension plans under a CEV model, *Insurance Math. Econom.* 44 (2009), 479-490.
- [10] J. Gao, Optimal investment strategy for annuity contracts under the constant elasticity of variance (CEV) model, *Insurance Math. Econom.* 45(1) (2009), 9-18.
- [11] M. Jonsson and R. Sircar, Optimal investment problems and volatility homogenization

-
- approximations, in: *Modern Methods in Scientific Computing and Applications*, vol. 75, NATO Sci. Ser. II, pp. 255-281, Berlin, Germany: Springer, 2002.
- [12] Nan-wei Han and Mao-wei Hung, Optimal asset allocation for DC pension plans under inflation, *Insurance Math. Econom.* 51 (2012), 172-181.
- [13] Othusitse Basimanebotlhe and Xiaoping Xue, Stochastic optimal investment under inflationary market with minimum guarantee for DC pension plans, *Journal of Mathematics* 7(3) (2015).
- [14] O. Vasicek, An equilibrium characterization of the term structure, *Journal of Financial Economics* 5(2) (1977), 177-188.
- [15] J. Xiao, Z. Hong and C. Qin, The constant elasticity of variance (CEV) model and the Legendre transform-dual solution for annuity contracts, *Insurance Math. Econom.* 40(2) (2007), 302-310.