

Coefficient Bounds for a New Families of m -Fold Symmetric Bi-Univalent Functions Defined by Bazilevic Convex Functions

Bedaa Alawi Abd¹ and Abbas Kareem Wanas²

¹Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Iraq
e-mail: bedaaalawi@gmail.com

²Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Iraq
e-mail: abbas.kareem.w@qu.edu.iq

Abstract

In this paper, we find upper bounds for the first two Taylor-Maclaurin $|a_{m+1}|$ and $|a_{2m+1}|$ for two new families $L_{\Sigma_m}(\delta, \gamma; \alpha)$ and $L_{\Sigma_m}^*(\delta, \gamma; \beta)$ of holomorphic and m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions associated with the Bazilevic convex functions defined in the open unit disk U . Further, we point out several certain special cases for our results.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be the family of functions f that are holomorphic in the open unit disk $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and normalized by the conditions $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$ and having the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k. \quad (1.1)$$

We also denote by S the subfamily of \mathcal{A} consisting of functions satisfying (1.1) which are also univalent in U .

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is called starlike of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$), if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \delta, \quad (z \in U).$$

Received: October 18, 2023; Revised & Accepted: November 2, 2023; Published: November 11, 2023

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C50, 30C80.

Keywords and phrases: holomorphic functions, univalent functions, bi-univalent functions, m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, Bazilevic functions, coefficient estimates.

Singh [10] introduced and studied Bazilevic function that is the function f such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z^{1-\gamma} f'(z)}{(f(z))^{1-\gamma}} \right\} > 0, \quad (z \in U, \gamma \geq 0).$$

According to the Koebe one-quarter theorem (see [8]), every function $f \in S$ has an inverse f^{-1} which satisfies

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z, \quad (z \in U)$$

and

$$f(f^{-1}(w)) = w, \quad \left(|w| < r_0(f), r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4} \right),$$

where

$$g(w) = f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3) w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4) w^4 + \dots \quad (1.2)$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent in U if both f and f^{-1} are univalent in U . We denote by Σ the family of bi-univalent functions in U given by (1.1). For a brief history and interesting examples in the family Σ see the pioneering work on this subject by Srivastava et al. [25], which actually revived the study of bi-univalent functions in recent years. In a considerably large number of sequels to the aforementioned work of Srivastava et al. [25], several different sub families of the bi-univalent function family Σ were introduced and studied analogously by the many authors (see, for example, [1,2,3,5,9,10,11,15,19,23,28,29,30,33]).

For each function $f \in S$, the function $h(z) = \sqrt[m]{f(z^m)}$, ($z \in U, m \in \mathbb{N}$) is univalent and maps the unit disk U into a region with m -fold symmetry. A function is said to be m -fold symmetric (see [12]) if it has the following normalized form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{mk+1} z^{mk+1}, \quad (z \in U, m \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (1.3)$$

We denote by S_m the family of m -fold symmetric univalent functions in U , which are normalized by the series expansion (1.3). In fact, the functions in the family S are one-fold symmetric.

In [26] Srivastava et al. defined m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions analogues to the concept of m -fold symmetric univalent functions. They gave some important results, such as each function $f \in \Sigma$ generates an m -fold symmetric bi-univalent function

for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, for the normalized form of f given by (1.3), they obtained the series expansion for f^{-1} as follows:

$$g(w) = w - a_{m+1}w^{m+1} + [(m+1)a_{m+1}^2 - a_{2m+1}]w^{2m+1} - \left[\frac{1}{2}(m+1)(3m+2)a_{m+1}^3 - (3m+2)a_{m+1}a_{2m+1} + a_{3m+1} \right]w^{3m+1} + \dots, \quad (1.4)$$

where $f^{-1} = g$. We denote by Σ_m the family of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions in U . It is easily seen that for $m = 1$, the formula (1.4) coincides with the formula (1.2) of the family Σ . Some examples of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions are given as follows:

$$\left(\frac{z^m}{1-z^m} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}}, \quad \left[\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+z^m}{1-z^m} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{m}} \quad \text{and} \quad [-\log(1-z^m)]^{\frac{1}{m}}$$

with the corresponding inverse functions

$$\left(\frac{w^m}{1+w^m} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}}, \quad \left(\frac{e^{2w^m} - 1}{e^{2w^m} + 1} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{e^{w^m} - 1}{e^{w^m}} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}},$$

respectively.

Recently, many authors investigated bounds for various subfamilies of m -fold bi-univalent functions (see [4,7,13,18,20,21,24,26,27,31,32]).

In order to prove our main results, we require the following lemma.

Lemma 1.1 [3]. *If $h \in \mathcal{P}$, then $|c_k| \leq 2$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where \mathcal{P} is the family of all f all functions h holomorphic in U for which*

$$\operatorname{Re}(h(z)) > 0, \quad (z \in U),$$

where

$$h(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots, \quad (z \in U).$$

2. Coefficient Estimates for the Function Family $L_{\Sigma_m}(\delta, \gamma; \alpha)$

Definition 2.1. A function $f \in \Sigma_m$ given by (1.3) is said to be in the family $L_{\Sigma_m}(\delta, \gamma; \alpha)$ ($0 < \alpha \leq 1, 0 \leq \delta \leq 1, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$) if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$\left| \arg \left((1 - \delta) \frac{z^{1-\gamma} f'(z)}{(f(z))^{1-\gamma}} + \delta \left(1 + \frac{z^{2-\gamma} f''(z)}{(z f'(z))^{1-\gamma}} \right) \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}, \quad (z \in U) \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\left| \arg \left((1 - \delta) \frac{w^{1-\gamma} g'(w)}{(g(w))^{1-\gamma}} + \delta \left(1 + \frac{w^{2-\gamma} g''(w)}{(w g'(w))^{1-\gamma}} \right) \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}, \quad (w \in U), \quad (2.2)$$

where the function $g = f^{-1}$ is given by (1.4).

In particular, for one-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, we denote the family $L_{\Sigma_1}(\delta, \gamma; \alpha) = L_{\Sigma}(\delta, \gamma; \alpha)$.

Remark 2.1. It should be remarked that the family $L_{\Sigma}(\delta, \gamma; \alpha)$ is a generalization of well-known families consider earlier. These families are:

- (1) For $\delta = 0$, the family $L_{\Sigma}(\delta, \gamma; \alpha)$ reduce to the family $P_{\Sigma}(\alpha, \gamma)$ which was introduced by Prema and Keerthi [17];
- (2) For $\delta = 1$, the family $L_{\Sigma}(\delta, \gamma; \alpha)$ reduce to the family $B_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \alpha)$ which was introduced by Sakar and Wanas [22];
- (3) For $\delta = \gamma = 0$, the family $L_{\Sigma}(\delta, \gamma; \alpha)$ reduce to the family $S_{\Sigma}^*(\alpha)$ which was given by Brannan and Taha [6];
- (4) $\delta = 0$ and $\gamma = 1$, the family $L_{\Sigma}(\delta, \gamma; \alpha)$ reduce to the family H_{Σ}^{α} which was investigated by Srivastava et al. [25].

Theorem 2.1. Let $f \in L_{\Sigma_m}(\delta, \gamma; \alpha)$ ($0 < \alpha \leq 1, 0 \leq \delta \leq 1, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, m \in \mathbb{N}$) be given by (1.3). Then

$$|a_{m+1}| \leq \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{\left| \left[2\alpha \left[(1 - \delta)(2m + \gamma) + 2m\delta(2m + 1) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \delta)(\gamma - 1)(2(m + \gamma) + \gamma) \right] + (1 - \alpha)[(1 - \delta)(m + \gamma) + \delta m(m + 1)]^2 \right] \right|}} \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$|a_{2m+1}| \leq \frac{4\alpha^2}{[(1 - \delta)(m + \gamma) + \delta m(m + 1)]^2} + \frac{2\alpha}{(1 - \delta)(2m + \gamma) + 2m\delta(2m + 1)}. \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. It follows from conditions (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$\left((1 - \delta) \frac{z^{1-\gamma} f'(z)}{(f(z))^{1-\gamma}} + \delta \left(1 + \frac{z^{2-\gamma} f''(z)}{(zf'(z))^{1-\gamma}} \right) \right) = [p(z)]^\alpha \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\left((1 - \delta) \frac{w^{1-\gamma} g'(w)}{(g(w))^{1-\gamma}} + \delta \left(1 + \frac{w^{2-\gamma} g''(w)}{(wg'(w))^{1-\gamma}} \right) \right) = [q(w)]^\alpha, \quad (2.6)$$

where $g = f^{-1}$ and p, q in \mathcal{P} have the following series representations:

$$p(z) = 1 + p_m z^m + p_{2m} z^{2m} + p_{3m} z^{3m} + \dots \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$q(w) = 1 + q_m w^m + q_{2m} w^{2m} + q_{3m} w^{3m} + \dots \quad (2.8)$$

Comparing the corresponding coefficients of (2.5) and (2.6) yields

$$[(1 - \delta)(m + \gamma) + \delta m(m + 1)] a_{m+1} = \alpha p_m \quad (2.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [(1 - \delta)(2m + \gamma) + 2m\delta(2m + 1)] a_{2m+1} + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \delta)(\gamma - 1)(2(m + \gamma) + \gamma) a_{m+1}^2 \\ = \alpha p_{2m} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{2} p_m^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

$$-[(1 - \delta)(m + \gamma) + \delta m(m + 1)] a_{m+1} = \alpha q_m \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [(1 - \delta)(2m + \gamma) + 2m\delta(2m + 1)](2a_{m+1}^2 - a_{2m+1}) \\ + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \delta)(\gamma - 1)(2(m + \gamma) + \gamma) a_{m+1}^2 = \alpha q_{2m} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{2} q_m^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Making use of (2.9) and (2.11), we obtain

$$p_m = -q_m \quad (2.13)$$

and

$$2[(1 - \delta)(m + \gamma) + \delta m(m + 1)]^2 a_{m+1}^2 = \alpha^2 (p_m^2 + q_m^2). \quad (2.14)$$

Also, from (2.10), (2.12) and (2.14), we find that

$$2 \left[(1 - \delta)(2m + \gamma) + 2m\delta(2m + 1) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \delta)(\gamma - 1)(2(m + \gamma) + \gamma) \right] a_{m+1}^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \alpha(p_{2m} + q_{2m}) + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{2} (p_m^2 + q_m^2) \\
&= \alpha(p_{2m} + q_{2m}) + \frac{(\alpha - 1)[(1 - \delta)(m + \gamma) + \delta m(m + 1)]^2}{\alpha} a_{m+1}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$a_{m+1}^2 = \frac{\alpha^2(p_{2m} + q_{2m})}{2\alpha \left[(1 - \delta)(2m + \gamma) + 2m\delta(2m + 1) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \delta)(\gamma - 1)(2(m + \gamma) + \gamma) \right] + (1 - \alpha)[(1 - \delta)(m + \gamma) + \delta m(m + 1)]^2}. \quad (2.15)$$

Now, taking the absolute value of (2.15) and applying Lemma 1.1 for the coefficients p_{2m} and q_{2m} , we obtain

$$|a_{m+1}| \leq \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{2\alpha \left[(1 - \delta)(2m + \gamma) + 2m\delta(2m + 1) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \delta)(\gamma - 1)(2(m + \gamma) + \gamma) \right] + (1 - \alpha)[(1 - \delta)(m + \gamma) + \delta m(m + 1)]^2}}.$$

This gives the desired estimate for $|a_{m+1}|$ as asserted in (2.3).

In order to find the bound on $|a_{2m+1}|$, by subtracting (2.12) from (2.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&2[(1 - \delta)(2m + \gamma) + 2m\delta(2m + 1)](a_{2m+1} - a_{m+1}^2) \\
&= \alpha(p_{2m} - q_{2m}) + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{2} (p_m^2 - q_m^2). \quad (2.16)
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.13), (2.14) and (2.16) that

$$a_{2m+1} = \frac{\alpha^2(p_m^2 + q_m^2)}{2[(1 - \delta)(m + \gamma) + \delta m(m + 1)]^2} + \frac{\alpha(p_{2m} - q_{2m})}{2[(1 - \delta)(2m + \gamma) + 2m\delta(2m + 1)]}. \quad (2.17)$$

Taking the absolute value of (2.17) and applying Lemma 1.1 once again for the coefficients p_m , p_{2m} , q_m and q_{2m} , we obtain

$$|a_{2m+1}| \leq \frac{4\alpha^2}{[(1 - \delta)(m + \gamma) + \delta m(m + 1)]^2} + \frac{2\alpha}{(1 - \delta)(2m + \gamma) + 2m\delta(2m + 1)}.$$

For one-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, Theorem 2.1 reduces to the following corollary:

Corollary 2.1. *Let $f \in L_{\Sigma}(\delta, \gamma; \alpha)$ ($0 < \alpha \leq 1, 0 \leq \delta \leq 1, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$) be given by (1.1). Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{|2\alpha[(1-\delta)(2+\gamma) + 6\delta + \frac{1}{2}(1-\delta)(\gamma-1)(2(1+\gamma) + \gamma) + (1-\alpha)[(1-\delta)(1+\gamma) + 2\delta]^2|}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{4\alpha^2}{[(1-\delta)(1+\gamma) + 2\delta]^2} + \frac{2\alpha}{(1-\delta)(2+\gamma) + 6\delta}.$$

Remark 2.2. In Corollary 2.1, if we choose

- (1) $\delta = 0$, then we have the results obtained by Prema and Keerthi [17, Theorem 2.2];
- (2) $\delta = 1$, then we have the results obtained by Sakar and Wanas [22, Theorem 2.2];
- (3) $\delta = \gamma = 0$, then we have the results obtained by Murugusundaramoorthy et al. [16, Corollary 6];
- (4) $\delta = 0$ and $\gamma = 1$, then we have the results obtained by Srivastava et al. [25, Theorem 1].

3. Coefficient Estimates for the Function Family $L_{\Sigma_m}^*(\delta, \gamma; \beta)$

Definition 3.1. A function $f \in \Sigma_m$ given by (1.3) is said to be in the family $L_{\Sigma_m}^*(\delta, \gamma; \beta)$ ($0 \leq \beta < 1, 0 \leq \delta \leq 1, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$) if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1-\delta) \frac{z^{1-\gamma} f'(z)}{(f(z))^{1-\gamma}} + \delta \left(1 + \frac{z^{2-\gamma} f''(z)}{(z f'(z))^{1-\gamma}} \right) \right\} > \beta, \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1-\delta) \frac{w^{1-\gamma} g'(w)}{(g(w))^{1-\gamma}} + \delta \left(1 + \frac{w^{2-\gamma} g''(w)}{(w g'(w))^{1-\gamma}} \right) \right\} > \beta, \quad (3.2)$$

where the function $g = f^{-1}$ is given by (1.4).

In particular, for one-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, we denote the family $L_{\Sigma_1}^*(\delta, \gamma; \beta) = L_{\Sigma}^*(\delta, \gamma; \beta)$.

Remark 3.1. It should be remarked that the family $L_{\Sigma}^*(\delta, \gamma; \beta)$ is a generalization of well-known families consider earlier. These families are:

- (1) For $\delta = 0$, the family $L_{\Sigma}^*(\delta, \gamma; \beta)$ reduce to the family $P_{\Sigma}(\beta, \gamma)$ which was introduced by Prema and Keerthi [17];
- (2) For $\delta = 1$, the family $L_{\Sigma}^*(\delta, \gamma; \beta)$ reduce to the family $\beta_{\Sigma(\gamma; \beta)}^*$ which was introduced by Sakar and Wanas [22];
- (3) For $\delta = \gamma = 0$, the family $L_{\Sigma}^*(\delta, \gamma; \beta)$ reduce to the family $S_{\Sigma}^*(\beta)$ which was given by Brannan and Taha [6];
- (4) For $\delta = 0$ and $\gamma = 1$, the family $L_{\Sigma}^*(\delta, \gamma; \beta)$ reduce to the family $H_{\Sigma}(\beta)$ which was investigated by Srivastava et al. [25].

Theorem 3.1. Let $f \in L_{\Sigma_m}^*(\delta, \gamma; \beta)$ ($0 \leq \beta < 1, 0 \leq \delta \leq 1, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, m \in \mathbb{N}$) be given by (1.3). Then

$$|a_{m+1}| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\beta)}{\left| (1-\delta)(2m+\gamma) + 2m\delta(2m+1) + \frac{1}{2}(1-\delta)(\gamma-1)(2(m+\gamma)+\gamma) \right|}} \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$|a_{2m+1}| \leq \frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{\left[(1-\delta)(m+\gamma) + \delta m(m+1) \right]^2} + \frac{4(1-\beta)}{(1-\delta)(2m+\gamma) + 2m\delta(2m+1)}. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. It follows from conditions (3.1) and (3.2) that there exist $p, q \in \mathcal{P}$ such that

$$\left((1-\delta) \frac{z^{1-\gamma} f'(z)}{(f(z))^{1-\gamma}} + \delta \left(1 + \frac{z^{2-\gamma} f''(z)}{(zf'(z))^{1-\gamma}} \right) \right) = \beta + (1-\beta)p(z) \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\left((1-\delta) \frac{w^{1-\gamma} g'(w)}{(g(w))^{1-\gamma}} + \delta \left(1 + \frac{w^{2-\gamma} g''(w)}{(wg'(w))^{1-\gamma}} \right) \right) = \beta + (1-\beta)q(w), \quad (3.6)$$

where $p(z)$ and $q(w)$ have the forms (2.7) and (2.8), respectively. Equating coefficients (3.5) and (3.6) yields

$$[(1-\delta)(m+\gamma) + \delta m(m+1)]a_{m+1} = (1-\beta)p_m, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [(1-\delta)(2m+\gamma) + 2m\delta(2m+1)]a_{2m+1} + \frac{1}{2}(1-\delta)(\gamma-1)(2(m+\gamma)+\gamma)a_{m+1}^2 \\ = (1-\beta)p_{2m}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

$$-[(1 - \delta)(m + \gamma) + \delta m(m + 1)]a_{m+1} = (1 - \beta)q_m \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & [(1 - \delta)(2m + \gamma) + 2m\delta(2m + 1)](2a_{m+1}^2 - a_{2m+1}) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \delta)(\gamma - 1)(2(m + \gamma) + \gamma)a_{m+1}^2 = (1 - \beta)q_{2m}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

From (3.7) and (3.9), we get

$$p_m = -q_m \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$2[(1 - \delta)(m + \gamma) + m\delta(m + 1)]^2 a_{m+1}^2 = (1 - \beta)^2(p_m^2 + q_m^2). \quad (3.12)$$

Adding (3.8) and (3.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \left[(1 - \delta)(2m + \gamma) + 2m\delta(2m + 1) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \delta)(\gamma - 1)(2(m + \gamma) + \gamma) \right] a_{m+1}^2 \\ & = (1 - \beta)(p_{2m} + q_{2m}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Therefore, we have

$$a_{m+1}^2 = \frac{(1 - \beta)(p_{2m} + q_{2m})}{2 \left[(1 - \delta)(2m + \gamma) + 2m\delta(2m + 1) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \delta)(\gamma - 1)(2(m + \gamma) + \gamma) \right]}.$$

Applying Lemma 1.1 for the coefficients p_{2m} and q_{2m} , we obtain

$$|a_{m+1}| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2(1 - \beta)}{\left[(1 - \delta)(2m + \gamma)(2m\delta(2m + 1) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \delta)(\gamma - 1)(2(m + \gamma) + \gamma) \right]}}.$$

This gives the desired estimate for $|a_{m+1}|$ as asserted in (3.3).

In order to find the bound on $|a_{2m+1}|$, by subtracting (3.10) from (3.8), we get

$$[(1 - \delta)(2m + \gamma) + 2m\delta(2m + 1)](a_{2m+1} - a_{m+1}^2) = (1 - \beta)(p_{2m} - q_{2m}),$$

or equivalently

$$a_{2m+1} = a_{m+1}^2 + \frac{(1 - \beta)(p_{2m} - q_{2m})}{(1 - \delta)(2m + \gamma) + 2m\delta(2m + 1)}.$$

Upon substituting the value of a_{m+1}^2 from (3.12), it follows that

$$a_{2m+1} = \frac{(1-\beta)^2(p_m^2 + q_m^2)}{2[(1-\delta)(m+\gamma) + \delta m(m+1)]^2} + \frac{(1-\beta)(p_{2m} - q_{2m})}{(1-\delta)(2m+\gamma) + 2m\delta(2m+1)}.$$

Applying Lemma 1.1 once again for the coefficients p_m, p_{2m}, q_m and q_{2m} , we obtain

$$|a_{2m+1}| \leq \frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{[(1-\delta)(m+\gamma) + \delta m(m+1)]^2} + \frac{4(1-\beta)}{(1-\delta)(2m+\gamma) + 2m\delta(2m+1)},$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

For one-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, Theorem 3.1 reduces to the following corollary:

Corollary 3.1. Let $f \in L_{\Sigma}^*(\delta, \gamma; \beta)$ ($0 \leq \beta < 1, 0 \leq \delta \leq 1, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$) be given by (1.1). Then

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\beta)}{\left| (1-\delta)(2+\gamma) + 6\delta + \frac{1}{2}(1-\delta)(\gamma-1)(2(1+\gamma)+\gamma) \right|}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{[(1-\delta)(1+\gamma) + 2\delta]^2} + \frac{4(1-\beta)}{(1-\delta)(2+\gamma) + 6\delta}.$$

Remark 3.1. In Corollary 3.1, if we choose

- (1) $\delta = 0$, then we have the results obtained by Prema and Keerthi [17, Theorem 3.2];
- (2) $\delta = 1$, then we have the results obtained by Sakar and Wanas [22, Theorem 3.2];
- (3) $\delta = \gamma = 0$, then we have the results obtained by Murugusundaramoorthy et al. [16, Corollary 7];
- (4) $\delta = 0$ and $\gamma = 1$, then we have the results obtained by Srivastava et al. [25, Theorem 2].

4. Conclusion

The present study has introduced a new subfamilies $L_{\Sigma_m}(\delta, \gamma; \alpha)$ and $L_{\Sigma_m}^*(\delta, \gamma; \beta)$ of Σ_m for normalized holomorphic and m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions defined by the Bazilevic convex functions and investigated the initial coefficient bounds $|a_{m+1}|$ and $|a_{2m+1}|$ for functions in each of these new subfamilies.

References

- [1] Adegani, E. A., Bulut, S., & Zireh, A. A. (2018). Coefficient estimates for a subclass of analytic bi-univalent functions. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, 55(2), 405-413.
- [2] Aldawish, I., Swamy, S. R., & Frasin, B. A. (2022). A special family of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions satisfying subordination condition. *Fractal Fractional*, 6, 271. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fractalfract6050271>
- [3] Al-Shbeil, I., Wanas, A. K., Saliu, A., & Catas, A. (2022). Applications of beta negative binomial distribution and Laguerre polynomials on Ozaki bi-close-to-convex functions. *Axioms*, 11(10), Art. ID 451, 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms11090451>
- [4] Altinkaya, S., & Yaçın, S. (2018). On some subclasses of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions. *Communications in the Faculty of Sciences, University of Ankara. Series A1*, 67(1), 29-36. https://doi.org/10.1501/Commua1_0000000827
- [5] Amourah, A., Alamoush, A., & Al-Kaseasbeh, M. (2021). Gegenbauer polynomials and bi univalent functions. *Palestine Journal of Mathematics*, 10(2), 625-632. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math10142462>
- [6] Brannan, D. A., & Taha, T. S. (1986). On some classes of bi-univalent functions. *Studia Universitatis Babes-Bolyai Mathematica*, 31(2), 70-77.
- [7] Bulut, S. (2016). Coefficient estimates for general subclasses of m -fold symmetric analytic bi-univalent functions. *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*, 40, 1386-1397. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1511-41>
- [8] Duren, P. L. (1983). Univalent Functions. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 259, Springer Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, and Tokyo.
- [9] Frasin, B. A., & Aouf, M. K. (2014). Coefficient bounds for certain classes of bi-univalent functions. *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, 43(3), 383-389.
- [10] Hamzat, J. O., Oluwayemi, M. O., Lupas, A. A., & Wanas, A. K. (2022). Bi-univalent problems involving generalized multiplier transform with respect to symmetric and conjugate points. *Fractal Fract.*, 6, Art. ID 483, 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fractalfract6090483>
- [11] Khan, B., Srivastava, H. M., Tahir, M., Darus, M., Ahmad, Q. Z., & Khan, N. (2021). Applications of a certain q -integral operator to the subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions. *AIMS Mathematics*, 6, 1024-1039. <https://doi.org/10.3934/math.2021061>
- [12] Koepf, W. (1989). Coefficients of symmetric functions of bounded boundary rotations. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 105(1989), 324-329. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1989-0930244-7>

- [13] Kumar, T. R. K., Karthikeyan, S., Vijayakumar, S., & Ganapathy, G. (2021). Initial coefficient estimates for certain subclasses of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions. *Advances in Dynamical Systems and Applications*, 16(2), 789-800.
- [14] Li, X. F., & Wang, A. P. (2012). Two new subclasses of bi-univalent functions. *International Mathematics Forum*, 7(2), 1495-1504.
- [15] Magesh, N., & Yamini, J. (2018). Fekete-Szego problem and second Hankel determinant for a class of bi-univalent functions. *Tbilisi Mathematical Journal*, 11(1), 141-157. <https://doi.org/10.32513/tbilisi/1524276036>
- [16] Murugusundaramoorthy, G., Magesh, N., & Prameela, V. (2013). Coefficient bounds for certain subclasses of bi-univalent function. *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, Art. ID 573017, 1-3. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/573017>
- [17] Prema, S., & Keerthi, B. S. (2013). Coefficient bounds for certain subclasses of analytic function. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, 4(1), 22-27.
- [18] Sakar, F. M., & Aydogan, S. M. (2018). Coefficient bounds for certain subclasses of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions defined by convolution. *Acta Universitatis Apulensis*, 55, 11-21. <https://doi.org/10.17114/j.aula.2018.55.02>
- [19] Sakar, F. M., & Aydogan, S. M. (2019). Bounds on initial coefficients for a certain new subclass of bi-univalent functions by means of Faber polynomial expansions. *Mathematics in Computer Science*, 13, 441-447. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11786-019-00406-7>
- [20] Sakar, F. M., & Canbulat, A. (2019). Inequalities on coefficients for certain classes of m -fold symmetric and bi-univalent functions equipped with Faber polynomials. *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*, 43, 293-300. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1808-82>
- [21] Sakar, F. M., & Tasar, N. (2019). Coefficient bounds for certain subclasses of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions. *New Trends in Mathematical Sciences*, 7(1), 62-70. <https://doi.org/10.20852/ntmsci.2019.342>
- [22] Sakar, F. M., & Wanas, A. K. (2023). Upper bounds for initial Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients of new families of bi-univalent functions. *International Journal of Open Problems in Complex Analysis*, 15(1), 1-9.
- [23] Srivastava, H. M., Eker, S. S., & Ali, R. M. (2015). Coefficient bounds for a certain class of analytic and bi-univalent functions. *Filomat*, 29, 1839-1845. <https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1508839S>
- [24] Srivastava, H. M., Gaboury, S., & Ghanim, F. (2016). Initial coefficient estimates for some subclasses of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions. *Acta Mathematica Scientia. Series B. English Edition*, 36, 863-871. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602\(16\)30045-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602(16)30045-5)

- [25] Srivastava, H. M., Mishra, A. K., & Gochhayat, P. (2010). Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 23, 1188-1192. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2010.05.009>
- [26] Srivastava, H. M., Sivasubramanian, S., & Sivakumar, R. (2014). Initial coefficient bounds for a subclass of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions. *Tbilisi Mathematical Journal*, 7(2), 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.2478/tmj-2014-0011>
- [27] Srivastava, H. M., Wanas, A. K., & Murugusundaramoorthy, G. (2021). Certain family of bi-univalent functions associated with Pascal distribution series based on Horadam polynomials. *Surveys in Mathematics and its Applications*, 16, 193-205.
- [28] Swamy, S. R., & Cotirla, L-I. (2022). On τ -pseudo- ν -convex κ -fold symmetric bi-univalent function family. *Symmetry*, 14(10), 1972. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym14101972>
- [29] Swamy, S. R., Frasin, B. A., & Aldawish, I. (2022). Fekete-Szego functional problem for a special family of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions. *Mathematics*, 10, 1165. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math10071165>
- [30] Tang, H., Srivastava, H. M., Sivasubramanian, S., & Gurusamy, P. (2016). The Fekete-Szego functional problems for some subclasses of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions. *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities*, 10, 1063-1092. <https://doi.org/10.7153/jmi-10-85>
- [31] Wanas, A. K., & Tang, H. (2020). Initial coefficient estimates for a classes of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions involving Mittag-Leffler function. *Mathematica Moravica*, 24(2), 51-61. <https://doi.org/10.5937/MatMor2002051K>
- [32] Yalçın, S., Muthunagai, K., & Saravanan, G. (2020). A subclass with bi-univalence involving (p, q) -Lucas polynomials and its coefficient bounds. *Boletín de la Sociedad Matemática Mexicana*, 26, 1015-1022. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40590-020-00294-z>

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted, use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, or format for any purpose, even commercially provided the work is properly cited.
