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#### Abstract

In this paper, we obtain upper bounds for the first two Taylor-Maclaurin $\left|a_{m+1}\right|$ and $\left|a_{2 m+1}\right|$ for two new families $\Upsilon_{\Sigma_{m}}(\eta, \gamma ; \alpha)$ and $\Upsilon_{\Sigma_{m}}^{*}(\eta, \gamma ; \beta)$ of holomorphic and $m$-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions defined in the open unit disk $U$. Further, we point out several certain special cases for our results.


## 1. Introduction

Denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the family of functions $f$ that are holomorphicin the open unit disk $U=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ and normalized by the conditions $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)-1=0$ and having the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also denote by $S$ the subfamily of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of functions satisfying (1.1) which are also univalent in $U$. According to the Koebe one-quarter theorem (see [8]), every function $f \in S$ has an inverse $f^{-1}$ which satisfies

$$
f^{-1}(f(z))=z,(z \in U)
$$

and

$$
f\left(f^{-1}(w)\right)=w, \quad\left(|w|<r_{0}(f), r_{0}(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}\right)
$$
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where

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(w)=f^{-1}(w)=w-a_{2} w^{2}+\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) w^{3}-\left(5 a_{2}^{3}-5 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}\right) w^{4}+\cdots \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent in $U$ if both $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are univalent in $U$. We denote by $\Sigma$ the family of bi-univalent functions in $U$ given by (1.1). For a brief history and interesting examples in the family $\Sigma$ see the pioneering work on this subject by Srivastava et al. [22], which actually revived the study of bi-univalent functions in recent years. In a considerably large number of sequels to the aforementioned work of Srivastava et al. [22], several different subfamilies of the bi-univalent function family $\Sigma$ were introduced and studied analogously by the many authors (see, for example, [1,2,5,10,11, 12, 16, 18, 19, 20, 25,26,27]).

For each function $f \in S$, the function $h(z)=\sqrt[m]{f\left(z^{m}\right)},(z \in U, m \in \mathbb{N})$ is univalent and maps the unit disk $U$ into a region with $m$-fold symmetry. A function is said to be $m$ fold symmetric (see [13]) if it has the following normalized form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{m k+1} z^{m k+1}, \quad(z \in U, m \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $S_{m}$ the family of $m$-fold symmetric univalent functions in $U$, which are normalized by the series expansion (1.3). In fact, the functions in the family $S$ are onefold symmetric.

In [23] Srivastava et al. defined $m$-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions analogues to the concept of $m$-fold symmetric univalent functions. They gave some important results, such as each function $f \in \Sigma$ generates an $m$-fold symmetric bi-univalent function for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, for the normalized form of $f$ given by (1.3), they obtained the series expansion for $f^{-1}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
g(w)= & w-a_{m+1} w^{m+1}+\left[(m+1) a_{m+1}^{2}-a_{2 m+1}\right] w^{2 m+1} \\
& -\left[\frac{1}{2}(m+1)(3 m+2) a_{m+1}^{3}-(3 m+2) a_{m+1} a_{2 m+1}+a_{3 m+1}\right] w^{3 m+1}+\cdots \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f^{-1}=g$. We denote by $\Sigma_{m}$ the family of $m$-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions in $U$. It is easily seen that for $m=1$, the formula (1.4) coincides with the formula (1.2) of the family $\Sigma$. Some examples of $m$-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions are given as follows:

$$
\left(\frac{z^{m}}{1-z^{m}}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}},\left[\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+z^{m}}{1-z^{m}}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{m}} \text { and }\left[-\log \left(1-z^{m}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{m}}
$$

with the corresponding inverse functions

$$
\left(\frac{w^{m}}{1+w^{m}}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}},\left(\frac{e^{2 w^{m}}-1}{e^{2 w^{m}}+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \text { and }\left(\frac{e^{w^{m}}-1}{e^{w^{m}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}
$$

respectively.
Recently, many authors investigated bounds for various subfamilies of $m$-fold biunivalent functions (see [3,4,7,9,14,17,21,23,24,28,29]).

In order to prove our main results, we require the following lemma.
Lemma 1.1 [3]. If $h \in \mathcal{P}$, then $\left|c_{k}\right| \leq 2$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\mathcal{P}$ is the family of all $\operatorname{Re}(h(z))>0,(z \in U)$.

Here

$$
h(z)=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots, \quad(z \in U)
$$

## 2. Coefficient Bounds for the Function Family $\Upsilon_{\Sigma_{m}}(\eta, \gamma ; \alpha)$

Definition 2.1. A function $f \in \Sigma_{m}$ given by (1.3) is said to be in the family $\Upsilon_{\Sigma_{m}}(\eta, \gamma ; \alpha)(0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, \eta \geq 0,0 \leq \gamma \leq 1)$ if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\arg \left((1-\eta)\left[(1-\gamma) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\gamma\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right]+\eta \frac{\gamma z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)+z f^{\prime}(z)}{\gamma z f^{\prime}(z)+(1-\gamma) f(z)}\right)\right| \\
<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}, \quad(z \in U) \tag{2.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\lvert\, \arg \left(( 1 - \eta ) \left[(1-\gamma) \frac{w g^{\prime}(w)}{g^{\prime}(w)}\right.\right.\right.\left.\left.+\gamma\left(1+\frac{w g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{g^{\prime}(w)}\right)\right]+\eta \frac{\gamma w^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(w)+w g^{\prime}(w)}{\gamma w g^{\prime}(w)+(1-\gamma) g(w)}\right) \mid \\
&<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}, \quad(w \in U) \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where the function $g=f^{-1}$ is given by (1.4).

In particular, for one-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, we denote the family $\Upsilon_{\Sigma_{1}}(\eta, \gamma ; \alpha)=\Upsilon_{\Sigma}(\eta, \gamma ; \alpha)$.

Remark 2.1. It should be remarked that the families $\Upsilon_{\Sigma_{m}}(\eta, \gamma ; \alpha)$ and $\Upsilon_{\Sigma}(\eta, \gamma ; \alpha)$ are a generalization of well-known families consider earlier. These families are:

1. For $\eta=\gamma=0$, the family $\Upsilon_{\Sigma_{m}}(\eta, \gamma ; \alpha)$ reduces to the family $S_{\Sigma_{m}}^{\alpha}$ which was considered by Altinkaya and Yalcin [3].
2. For $\eta=0$, the family $\Upsilon_{\Sigma}(\eta, \gamma ; \alpha)$ reduces to the family $M_{\Sigma}(\alpha, \gamma)$ which was introduced by Liu and Wang [15].
3. For $\eta=\gamma=0$, the family $\Upsilon_{\Sigma}(\eta, \gamma ; \alpha)$ reduces to the family $S_{\Sigma}^{*}(\alpha)$ which was given by Brannan and Taha [6].

Theorem 2.1. Let $f \in \Upsilon_{\Sigma_{m}}(\eta, \gamma ; \alpha)(0<\alpha \leq 1, \eta \geq 0,0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, m \in \mathbb{N})$ be given by (1.3). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{m+1}\right| \leq \frac{2 \alpha}{\sqrt{\alpha\left[2 m(2 \gamma m+1)+2 m\left[\eta \gamma m^{2}(\gamma-1)+\gamma m(m+2)+1\right]+\left(m^{2} \alpha-m^{2}\right)(1+\gamma m)^{2}\right.}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2 m+1}\right| \leq \frac{4 \alpha^{2}}{m^{2}(1+\gamma m)^{2}}+\frac{\alpha}{m(2 \gamma m+1)} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It follows from conditions (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left((1-\eta)\left[(1-\gamma) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\gamma\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right]+\eta \frac{\gamma z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)+z f^{\prime}(z)}{\gamma z f^{\prime}(z)+(1-\gamma) f(z)}\right)=[p(z)]^{\alpha} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left((1-\eta)\left[(1-\gamma) \frac{w g^{\prime}(w)}{g(w)}+\gamma\left(1+\frac{w g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{g^{\prime}(w)}\right)\right]+\eta \frac{\gamma w^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(w)+w g^{\prime}(w)}{\gamma w g^{\prime}(w)+(1-\gamma) g(w)}\right)=[q(w)]^{\alpha}, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g=f^{-1}$ and $p, q$ in $\mathcal{P}$ have the following series representations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=1+p_{m} z^{m}+p_{2 m} z^{2 m}+p_{3 m} z^{3 m}+\cdots \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(w)=1+q_{m} w^{m}+q_{2 m} w^{2 m}+q_{3 m} w^{3 m}+\cdots \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing the corresponding coefficients of (2.5) and (2.6) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& m(\gamma m+1) a_{m+1}=\alpha p_{m}  \tag{2.9}\\
& \begin{aligned}
& m\left[2(2 \gamma m+1) a_{2 m+1}-\left(\eta \gamma m^{2}(\gamma-1)+\gamma m(m+2)+1\right) a_{m+1}^{2}\right] \\
&=\alpha p_{2 m}+\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} p_{m}^{2} \\
&-m(\gamma m+1) a_{m+1}=\alpha q_{m}
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 m\left[(2 \gamma m+1)\left(2 a^{2} m+1-a_{2 m+1}\right)-m\left(\eta \gamma m^{2}(\gamma-1)+\gamma m(m+1)\right]\right] a_{m+1}^{2} \\
=\alpha q_{2 m}+\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} q_{m}^{2} \tag{2.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

Making use of (2.9) and (2.11), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{m}=-q_{m} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 m^{2}(\gamma m+1)^{2} a_{m+1}^{2}=\alpha^{2}\left(p_{m}^{2}+q_{m}^{2}\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, from (2.10), (2.12) and (2.14), we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[2 m(2 \gamma m+1)} & +m\left[\eta \gamma m^{2}(\gamma-1)+\gamma m(m+1)\right] a_{m+1}^{2} \\
& =\alpha\left(p_{2 m}+q_{2 m}\right)+\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2}\left(p_{m}^{2}+q_{m}^{2}\right) \\
& =\alpha\left(p_{2 m}+q_{2 m}\right)+\frac{m^{2}(\alpha-1)(1+\gamma m)^{2}}{\alpha} a_{m+1}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m+1}^{2}=\frac{\alpha^{2}\left(p_{2 m}+q_{2 m}\right)}{\gamma\left[2 m(2 \gamma m+1)+m\left[\eta \gamma m^{2}(\gamma-1)+\gamma m(m+1)\right]-m^{2}(\gamma-1)(1+\gamma m)^{2}\right.} . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, taking the absolute value of (2.15) and applying Lemma 1.1 for the coefficients $p_{2 m}$ and $q_{2 m}$, we obtain

$$
\left|a_{m+1}\right| \leq \frac{2 \alpha}{\sqrt{\alpha\left[2 m(2 \gamma m+1)+2 m\left[\eta \gamma m^{2}(\gamma-1)+\gamma m(m+2)+1\right]-m^{2}(\gamma-1)(1+\gamma m)^{2}\right.}}
$$

This gives the desired estimate for $\left|a_{m+1}\right|$ as asserted in (2.3).

In order to find the bound on $\left|a_{2 m+1}\right|$, by subtracting (2.12) from (2.10), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 m(2 \gamma m+1)\left[2 a_{m+1}^{2}-2 a_{2 m+1}\right]=\alpha\left(p_{2 m}-q_{2 m}\right)+\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2}\left(p_{m}^{2}-q_{m}^{2}\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.13), (2.14) and (2.16) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2 m+1}=\frac{2 \alpha^{2}\left(p_{m}^{2}+q_{m}^{2}\right)}{4 m^{2}(1+\gamma m)^{2}}+\frac{\alpha\left(p_{2 m}-q_{2 m}\right)}{4 m(2 \gamma m+1)} . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the absolute value of (2.17) and applying Lemma 1.1 once again for the coefficients $p_{m}, p_{2 m}, q_{m}$ and $q_{2 m}$, we obtain

$$
\left|a_{2 m+1}\right| \leq \frac{2 \alpha^{2}(m+1)}{m^{2}(1+\gamma m)^{2}}+\frac{\alpha}{m(2 \gamma m+1)}
$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.
For one-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, Theorem 2.1 reduces to the following corollary:

Corollary 2.1. Let $f \in \Upsilon_{\Sigma}(\eta, \gamma ; \alpha)(0<\alpha \leq 1, \eta \geq 0,0 \leq \gamma \leq 1)$ be given by (1.1). Then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{2 \alpha}{\sqrt{\alpha\left[2(2 \gamma+1)+2[\eta \gamma(\gamma-1)+3 \gamma+1]+(\alpha-1)(1+\gamma)^{2}\right.}}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{4 \alpha^{2}}{(1+\gamma)^{2}}+\frac{\alpha}{2 \gamma+1} .
$$

## 3. Coefficient Bounds for the Function Family $\Upsilon_{\Sigma_{m}}^{*}(\eta, \gamma ; \beta)$

Definition 3.1. A function $f \in \Sigma_{m}$ given by (1.3) is said to be in the family $\Upsilon_{\Sigma_{m}}^{*}(\eta, \gamma ; \beta)(0 \leq \beta<1, \eta \geq 0,0 \leq \gamma \leq 1)$ if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{(1-\eta)\left[(1-\gamma) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\gamma\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right]+\eta \frac{\gamma z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)+z f^{\prime}(z)}{\gamma z f^{\prime}(z)+(1-\gamma) f(z)}\right\}>\beta \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{(1-\eta)\left[(1-\gamma) \frac{w g^{\prime}(w)}{g(w)}+\gamma\left(1+\frac{w g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{g^{\prime}(w)}\right)\right]+\eta \frac{\gamma w^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(w)+w g^{\prime}(w)}{\gamma w g^{\prime}(w)+(1-\gamma) g(w)}\right\}>\beta  \tag{3.2}\\
(0 \leq \beta<1, \eta \geq 0,0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, m \in \mathbb{N}, z, w \in U)
\end{gather*}
$$

where the function $g=f^{-1}$ is given by (1.4).

In particular, for one-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, we denote the family $\Upsilon_{\Sigma_{1}}^{*}(\eta, \gamma ; \beta)=\Upsilon_{\Sigma}^{*}(\eta, \gamma ; \beta)$.

Remark 3.1. It should be remarked that the families $\Upsilon_{\Sigma_{m}}^{*}(\eta, \gamma ; \beta)$ and $\Upsilon_{\Sigma}^{*}(\eta, \gamma ; \beta)$ are a generalization of well-known families consider earlier. These families are:

1. For $\eta=\gamma=0$, the family $\Upsilon_{\Sigma_{m}}^{*}(\eta, \gamma ; \beta)$ reduce to the family $S_{\Sigma_{m}}^{\beta}$ which was considered by Altinkaya and Yalcin [3].
2. For $\eta=0$, the family $\Upsilon_{\Sigma}^{*}(\eta, \gamma ; \beta)$ reduce to the family $B_{\Sigma}(\beta, \gamma)$ which was introduced by Liu and Wang [15].
3. For $\eta=\gamma=0$ and $\gamma=1$, the family $\Upsilon_{\Sigma}^{*}(\eta, \gamma ; \beta)$ reduce to the family $S_{\Sigma}^{*}(\beta)$ which was given by Brannan and Taha [6].

Theorem 3.1. Let $f \in \Upsilon_{\Sigma_{m}}^{*}(\eta, \gamma ; \beta)(0 \leq \beta<1, \eta \geq 0,0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, m \in \mathbb{N})$, be given by (1.3). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{m+1}\right| \leq \frac{2}{m} \sqrt{\frac{1-\beta}{(1+\delta)(\delta+2 \gamma(1+\lambda m))+\gamma(\gamma-1)(1+\lambda m)^{2}}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2 m+1}\right| \leq \frac{2(m+1)(1-\beta)^{2}}{m^{2}(\delta+\gamma(1+\lambda m))^{2}}+\frac{1-\beta}{m(\delta+\gamma(1+2 \lambda m))} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It follows from conditions (3.1) and (3.2) that there exist $p, q \in \mathcal{P}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(( 1 - \eta ) \left[(1-\gamma) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.=\gamma\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right]+\eta \frac{\gamma z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)+z f^{\prime}(z)}{\gamma z f^{\prime}(z)+(1-\gamma) f(z)}\right)  \tag{3.5}\\
\\
=\beta+(1-\beta) p(z)
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left((1-\eta)\left[(1-\gamma) \frac{w g^{\prime}(w)}{g(w)}+\gamma\left(1+\frac{w g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{g^{\prime}(w)}\right)\right]+\eta \frac{\gamma w^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(w)+w g^{\prime}(w)}{\gamma w g^{\prime}(w)+(1-\gamma) g(w)}\right) \\
=\beta+(1-\beta) q(w) \tag{3.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $p(z)$ and $q(w)$ have the forms (2.7) and (2.8), respectively. Equating coefficients (3.5) and (3.6) yields

$$
\begin{gather*}
m(\gamma m+1) a_{m+1}=(1-\beta) p_{m}  \tag{3.7}\\
m\left[2(2 \gamma m+1) a_{2 m+1}-\left(\eta \gamma m^{2}(\gamma-1)+\gamma m(m+2)+1\right) a_{m+1}^{2}\right]=(1-\beta) p_{2 m}  \tag{3.8}\\
-m(\gamma m+1) a_{m+1}=(1-\beta) q_{m} \tag{3.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
2 m(2 \gamma m+1)\left(2 a_{m+1}^{2}-a_{2 m+1}\right) & -m\left(\eta \gamma m^{2}(\gamma-1)+\gamma m(m+2)+1\right) a_{m+1}^{2} \\
= & (1-\beta) q_{2 m} . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.7) and (3.9), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{m}=-q_{m} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 m^{2}(\gamma m+1)^{2} a_{m+1}^{2}=(1-\beta)^{2}\left(p_{m}^{2}+q_{m}^{2}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding (3.8) and (3.10), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[4 m(2 \gamma m+1)+2 m\left(\eta \gamma m^{2}(\gamma-1)+\gamma m(m+2)+1\right] a_{m+1}^{2}=(1-\beta)\left(p_{2 m}+q_{2 m}\right)\right. \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
a_{m+1}^{2}=\frac{(1-\beta)\left(p_{2 m}+q_{2 m}\right)}{4 m(2 \gamma m+1)+2 m\left(\eta \gamma m^{2}(\gamma-1)+\gamma m(m+2)+1\right)} .
$$

Applying Lemma 1.1 for the coefficients $p_{2 m}$ and $q_{2 m}$, we obtain

$$
\left|a_{m+1}\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{1-\beta}{m(2 \gamma m+1)+2 m\left(\eta \gamma m^{2}(\gamma-1)+\gamma m(m+2)+1\right.}} .
$$

This gives the desired estimate for $\left|a_{m+1}\right|$ as asserted in (3.3).
In order to find the bound on $\left|a_{2 m+1}\right|$, by subtracting (3.10) from (3.8), we get

$$
2 m(2 \gamma m+1)\left[2 a_{m+1}^{2}-2 a_{2 m+1}\right]=(1-\beta)\left(p_{2 m}-q_{2 m}\right),
$$

or equivalently

$$
a_{2 m+1}=\frac{2}{2} a_{m+1}^{2}+\frac{(1-\beta)\left(p_{2 m}-q_{2 m}\right)}{4 m(2 \gamma m+1)} .
$$

Upon substituting the value of $a_{m+1}^{2}$ from (3.12), it follows that

$$
a_{2 m+1}=\frac{2(1-\beta)^{2}\left(p_{m}^{2}+q_{m}^{2}\right)}{4 m^{2}(\gamma m+1)^{2}}+\frac{(1-\beta)\left(p_{2 m}-q_{2 m}\right)}{4 m(2 \gamma m+1)}
$$

Applying Lemma 1.1 once again for the coefficients $p_{m}, p_{2 m}, q_{m}$ and $q_{2 m}$, we obtain

$$
\left|a_{2 m+1}\right| \leq \frac{4(1-\beta)^{2}}{m^{2}(\gamma m+1)^{2}}+\frac{1-\beta}{m(2 \gamma m+1)}
$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.
For one-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, Theorem 3.1 reduces to the following corollary:

Corollary 3.1. Let $f \in \Upsilon_{\Sigma}^{*}(\eta, \gamma ; \beta)(0 \leq \beta<1, \eta \geq 0,0 \leq \gamma \leq 1)$, be given by (1.1). Then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq 2 \sqrt{\frac{1-\beta}{(2 \gamma+1)+2(\eta \gamma(\gamma-1)+3 \gamma+1}}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{4(1-\beta)^{2}}{(\gamma+1)^{2}}+\frac{1-\beta}{2 \gamma+1}
$$

## 4. Conclusion

This paper has introduced a new subfamilies $\Upsilon_{\Sigma_{m}}(\eta, \gamma ; \alpha)$ and $\Upsilon_{\Sigma_{m}}^{*}(\eta, \gamma ; \beta)$ of $\Sigma_{m}$ and find estimates on the coefficients $\left|a_{m+1}\right|$ and $\left|a_{2 m+1}\right|$ for functions in each of these new subfamilies.
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