

Aspects of Free Actions Based on Dependent Elements in Group Rings

Sahar Jaafar Mahmood

Department of Multimedia, College of Computer Science and Information Technology,
University of AlQadisiyah, P.O. Box 88, Al Diwaniyah, Al-Qadisiyah, Iraq
e-mail: sahar.jaafar@qu.edu.iq

Abstract

This paper contains two directions of work. The first one gives material related to free action (an inner derivation) mappings on a group ring $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ which is a construction involving a group \mathcal{G} and a ring \mathcal{R} and the dependent elements related to those mappings in $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$. The other direction deals with a generalization of the definition of dependent elements and free actions. We concentrate our study on dependent elements, free action mappings and those which satisfy $\mathcal{T}(x)\gamma = \delta x, x \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ and some fixed $\gamma, \delta \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$. In the first part we work with one dependent element. In other words, there exists an element $\gamma \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ such that $\mathcal{T}(x)\gamma = \gamma x, x \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$. In second one, we characterize the two elements $\gamma, \delta \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ which have the property $\mathcal{T}(x)\gamma = \delta x, x \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ and some fixed $\gamma, \delta \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$, when \mathcal{T} is assumed to have additional properties like generalized a derivation mappings.

1. Introduction

A group ring was done by Mihalev and Zalesskii [1], denoted by $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$, a construction involving a group \mathcal{G} and a ring \mathcal{R} . Many references are given here, but the interested readers are invited to consult the book [1] or the surveys, [2, 3, 4]. Group rings have since found applications in many different branches of algebra, and there are naturally many open problems which are areas of active researches.

For any $x \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ has the form sums $x = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} a_g g$ ($a_g \in \mathcal{R}, g \in \mathcal{G}$), for which all but finitely many coefficients $a_g = 0$. Also, $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ with addition and multiplication occurred as follows:

Received: May 23, 2022; Accepted: June 11, 2022

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 20C05; Secondary 16A26.

Keywords and phrases: group ring, inner derivations, prime group rings, dependent elements, free action.

Copyright © 2022 the Author

$$\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} a_g g + \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} b_g g = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} (a_g + b_g) g$$

and

$$\left(\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} a_g g \right) \left(\sum_{k \in \mathcal{G}} b_k k \right) = \sum_{g, k \in \mathcal{G}} a_g b_k gk.$$

The above definitions make $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ into an associative and unital ring. The multiplicative identity element is $1_{\mathcal{R}} \cdot 1_{\mathcal{G}}$, where $1_{\mathcal{R}} \in \mathcal{R}$ and $1_{\mathcal{G}} \in \mathcal{G}$, since

$$1_{\mathcal{R}} \cdot 1_{\mathcal{G}} \left(\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} a_g g \right) = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} (1_{\mathcal{R}} a_g) (1_{\mathcal{G}} g) = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} a_g g = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} (a_g 1_{\mathcal{R}}) (g 1_{\mathcal{G}}) = \left(\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} a_g g \right) 1_{\mathcal{R}} \cdot 1_{\mathcal{G}}.$$

The inverse of an element $\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} a_g g \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ has the form $\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} a_g g$. Occasionally, $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ is not commutative. It is commutative iff together \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{G} are commutative. We can also define an action of the ring \mathcal{R} on $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ by $r(\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} a_g g) = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} (ra_g)g$ ($r \in \mathcal{R}$).

Obviously, $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ is an extension of \mathcal{R} and a ring embedding $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ given by $r \rightarrow r \cdot 1_{\mathcal{G}}$. If \mathcal{R} is a commutative, then the image of \mathcal{R} in $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ is contained in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$ ($\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$ center of $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$) such that:

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]) = \left\{ \gamma = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} a_g g \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]: h \left(\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} a_g g \right) h^{-1} = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} a_{hg h^{-1}} g \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{G} \right\} [5].$$

The mapping $g \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{R}} \cdot g$ is a group embedding of \mathcal{G} in $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$. Accordingly primness, $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ is prime iff \mathcal{R} is prime ring and \mathcal{G} has no finite normal sub group. Also, $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ is a semiprime iff \mathcal{R} is semiprime ring and the order of each finite normal subgroup of \mathcal{G} is regular in \mathcal{R} . In certain references (see [5, 6, 7]) were studied the properties of group rings.

Derivations of group rings have been a topic for studies by Smith in [8], was one of the first to study the derivations in group rings. On the other hand, motivated by the works in [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15] to see more results about derivation mappings on a group rings.

In recent papers [16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22], properties of generalized derivations, central derivations and derivations of group rings over finite rings were studied.

In our study, we study generalized derivations of an $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$, where \mathcal{G} is a finite group over an integral domain \mathcal{R} with 1. As an application, we determine the characterizations of dependent elements of generalized derivations in $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ by taking a certain assumptions, we also show that for a derivations are free action associated with an automorphism and give some results about that.

2. Main Results

In this section $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ is a prime group ring, unless otherwise stated. Also, $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$ denotes the set of all derivation mappings on $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ in our main results.

Definition 2.1. An element $\gamma \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ is a dependent of $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{D}er(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$, if $\mathcal{T}(x)\gamma = \gamma x \ \forall x \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$. The set of all dependent elements of \mathcal{T} denotes $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T})$. If there exist no non-zero element $\gamma \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ which satisfies that if $\mathcal{T}(x)\gamma = \gamma x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$, then we say that \mathcal{T} is a free action on $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$, in other words, $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}) = 0$. The set of all free action mappings on $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ denotes $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$.

Example 2.2. Take \mathcal{R} be a field, $\mathcal{G} = \{1_{\mathcal{G}}, g, g^2, \dots, g^{n-1}\}$ (\mathcal{G} cyclic group of order n) and the group ring $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$. Consider $\gamma \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$. We can define a mapping $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ by $\mathcal{T}(x) = \gamma x \gamma^{-1} \forall x \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ with a fixed γ . Then $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{D}er(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$ such that $\gamma \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T})$ (since $\mathcal{T}(x)\gamma = \gamma x \gamma^{-1} \gamma = \gamma x$).

Theorem 2.3. Let $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{D}er(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$. Then $\gamma \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T})$ if and only if $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$ and $\mathcal{T}(\gamma) = \gamma$.

Proof. Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T})$. Then,

$$\mathcal{T}(x)\gamma = \gamma x \ \forall x = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} a_g g \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]. \quad (1)$$

Replacing x by xy in (1), we get:

$$\mathcal{T}(xy)\gamma = \gamma xy \ \text{for all } x, y \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]. \quad (2)$$

Multiplying (2) by z on the right, we get:

$$\mathcal{T}(x)yz\gamma = \gamma xyz \ \text{for all } x, y, z \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]. \quad (3)$$

Replacing y by yz in (2), we get:

$$\mathcal{T}(x)yz\gamma = \gamma xyz \ \text{for all } x, y, z \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]. \quad (4)$$

Subtracting (4) from (3), we get:

$$\mathcal{T}(x)y\gamma z - \mathcal{T}(x)y z\gamma = 0, \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}].$$

So,

$$\mathcal{T}(x)y(\gamma z - z\gamma) = 0, \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}].$$

Replacing y by γy and then:

$$\mathcal{T}(x)\gamma y[\gamma, z] = 0, \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}].$$

From (1), implies that:

$$\gamma x y[\gamma, z] = 0, \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}].$$

By using semi-primeness of $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$, we have:

$$\mathcal{T}(x)\gamma[\gamma, z] = 0, \quad \forall x, z \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}].$$

That is, $[\gamma, z] = 0, \forall x, z \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$. From semi-primeness of $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ again, we have $\gamma[\gamma, z] = 0, \forall z = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} c_g g \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$. This implies that, $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$.

Now, $\gamma = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} d_g g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$, we have $\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} d_{hg} h^{-1} g = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} d_g g$ for all $z \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$. This implies that:

$$(\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} d_g g)(\sum_{h \in \mathcal{G}} b_h h) = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}, h \in \mathcal{G}} a_g d_{g^{-1}h} g.$$

Thus, $\mathcal{T}(\gamma y) = \mathcal{T}(y\gamma)$ for all $y \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ and hence, $\mathcal{T}(\gamma y) = \mathcal{T}(y\gamma) = \gamma y$. This implies that:

$$\mathcal{T}(\gamma)y = \mathcal{T}(y)\gamma = \gamma y = (\mathcal{T}(\gamma) - \gamma)y = 0.$$

Thus, $\mathcal{T}(\gamma) = \gamma$.

Conversely, let $\mathcal{T}(\gamma) = \gamma$ and $\gamma = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} a_g g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$. Therefore,

$$h(\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} a_g g)h^{-1} = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} a_{hg} h^{-1} g \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{G}.$$

Since $\mathcal{T} \in \mathfrak{D}er(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$,

$$\mathcal{T}(\gamma) = \mathcal{T}(h(\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} a_g g)h^{-1}) = \mathcal{T}(\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} a_{hg} h^{-1} g).$$

That is,

$$\mathcal{T}(x\gamma) = \mathcal{T}(x)\gamma = \gamma x = \mathcal{T}(\gamma x) = \mathcal{T}(\gamma)x = \gamma x \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}].$$

This implies that $\gamma \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T})$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $\mathcal{T} \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$, ($\mathcal{T} \neq \mathcal{J}$, \mathcal{J} an identity derivation). Then $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$.

Proof. Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T})$. Then, $\mathcal{T}(x\gamma) = \gamma x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$. By using Theorem 2.3, it follows that, $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$ and $\mathcal{T}(\gamma) = \gamma$. Then, $\mathcal{T}(x)\gamma = \gamma x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$.

That is, $(\mathcal{T}(x) - x)\gamma = 0$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$. Since $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$, we get $(\mathcal{T}(x) - x)z\gamma = 0$, $\forall z \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$. Since $\mathcal{T} \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$ with the condition above, and from primeness of $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$, so $\gamma = 0$. So, $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$.

Theorem 2.5. Let $\mathcal{T} \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$, \mathcal{T} be an injective. Then $\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$.

Proof. It is clear that $\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{J} \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$. Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{J})$. By using Theorem 2.3, it follows that $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$ and $(\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{J})(\gamma) = \gamma$. So, $\mathcal{T}(\gamma) + \gamma = \gamma$ and hence $\mathcal{T}(\gamma) = 0$. Consequently, $\gamma \in \ker(\mathcal{T})$. Since \mathcal{T} be an injective, we have $\ker(\mathcal{T}) = 0$ and hence $\gamma = 0$. This implies that $\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$.

Theorem 2.6. Let $\mathcal{T} \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$. If $\varphi \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$ defined by $\varphi(x) = [\mathcal{T}(x), x]$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$, then $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$.

Proof. Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T})$. Then,

$$\varphi(x\gamma) = [\mathcal{T}(x), x]\gamma = \gamma x \text{ for all } x = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} a_g g \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]. \quad (1)$$

Since $\varphi \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$ and replacing x by $x + y$ and from properties of the commutators, we have:

$$\varphi(x + y) = [\mathcal{T}(x + y), x + y]\gamma = [\mathcal{T}(x), y]\gamma + [\mathcal{T}(y), x]\gamma = 0, \forall x, y \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]. \quad (2)$$

Now, replacing y by x in (2) and from properties of the commutators again, implies that:

$$0 = [\mathcal{T}(x), x]\gamma + [\mathcal{T}(x), x]\gamma = 2[\mathcal{T}(x), x]\gamma, \forall x \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]. \quad (3)$$

Replacing y by xy in(2), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [\mathcal{T}(x), xy]\gamma + [\mathcal{T}(xy), x]\gamma \\ &= x[\mathcal{T}(x), y]\gamma + [\mathcal{T}(x), x]y\gamma + [\mathcal{T}(x)y + x\mathcal{T}(y), x]\gamma \\ &= x[\mathcal{T}(x), y]\gamma + [\mathcal{T}(x), x]y\gamma + \mathcal{T}(x)[y, x]\gamma + [\mathcal{T}(x), x]y\gamma + x[\mathcal{T}(y), x]\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$. That is,

$$0 = x([\mathcal{T}(x), y]\gamma + [\mathcal{T}(y), x]\gamma) + 2[\mathcal{T}(x), x]y\gamma + \mathcal{T}(x)[y, x]\gamma, \forall x, y \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]. \quad (4)$$

Consequently, from (2) and (4), we have:

$$2[\mathcal{T}(x), x]y\gamma + \mathcal{T}(x)[y, x]\gamma = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]. \quad (5)$$

Replacing y by $y\gamma$ in (5), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 2[\mathcal{T}(x), x]y\gamma^2 + \mathcal{T}(x)[y\gamma, x]\gamma \\ &= 2[\mathcal{T}(x), x]y\gamma^2 + \mathcal{T}(x)[y, x]\gamma^2 + \mathcal{T}(x)y[\gamma, x]\gamma^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$.

That is

$$(2[\mathcal{T}(x), x]y\gamma + \mathcal{T}(x)[y, x]\gamma)\gamma + \mathcal{T}(x)y[\gamma, x]\gamma^2 = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]. \quad (6)$$

From (5) and (6), we have

$$\mathcal{T}(x)y[\gamma, x]\gamma = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]. \quad (7)$$

Replacing y by xy in (7), we have

$$\mathcal{T}(x)xy[\gamma, x]\gamma = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]. \quad (8)$$

Multiplying (7) by x , we have

$$x\mathcal{T}(x)y[\gamma, x]\gamma = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]. \quad (9)$$

Subtracting (9) from (8), we obtain

$$[\mathcal{T}(x), x]y[\gamma, x]\gamma = 0 \forall x, y \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]. \quad (10)$$

Replacing y by γy and from (1) and (10), we have:

$$\gamma xy[\gamma, x]\gamma = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]. \quad (11)$$

Replacing y by $\gamma^2 y$ in (11), we have:

$$\gamma x\gamma^2 y[\gamma, x]\gamma = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]. \quad (12)$$

Multiplying (11) by γ and replacing y by γy , we have:

$$\gamma^2 x\gamma y[\gamma, x]\gamma = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]. \quad (13)$$

Subtracting (12) from (13), we have:

$$\gamma(\gamma x - x\gamma)\gamma y[\gamma, x]\gamma = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]. \quad (14)$$

Replacing y by $y\gamma$ in (14), we have:

$$\gamma[\gamma, x]\gamma y\gamma[\gamma, x]\gamma = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}].$$

From semi-primeness of $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$, it implies that $\gamma[\gamma, x]\gamma = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$, but $\gamma[\mathcal{T}(\gamma), \gamma]\gamma = 0$. From (1), it implies that $\gamma^3 = 0$, hence $\gamma = 0$. Consequently, $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}) = 0$, so $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$.

Theorem 2.7. *If $\gamma\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}] \subseteq \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]\gamma$ for some $\gamma \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$. Then there exists $\mathcal{T} \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$ such that $\gamma \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T})$.*

Proof. Let $\gamma = \sum_{\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{G}} a_{\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$. Given any $x = \sum_{\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{G}} b_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$. Then, there exists $\mathcal{Y} = \sum_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{G}} b_{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ such that

$$\sum_{\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{G}} \sum_{\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{G}} a_{\mathcal{G}} b_{\mathcal{G}^{-1}\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{G} = \sum_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{G}} \sum_{\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{G}} b_{\mathcal{L}} a_{\mathcal{L}^{-1}\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{L}.$$

Define, $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ by $\mathcal{T}(x) = \mathcal{Y}$, $\forall x = \sum_{\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{G}} b_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$. Then $\mathcal{T} \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$ with $\gamma \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T})$, since $\gamma(\mathcal{T}(x))\gamma = \mathcal{Y}\gamma = \gamma x$.

Definition 2.8. Let $\mathcal{T} \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$. An element $\gamma \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ is said to be associated with an element $\eta \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$, if $\mathcal{T}(x)\gamma = \eta x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$. We shall denote $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T}) = \{(\gamma, \eta) : \mathcal{T}(x)\gamma = \eta x \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]\}$ the set associated pairs of \mathcal{T} .

Example 2.9. Take $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathcal{G} = D_8 = \{\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{s} : \text{ord}(\mathfrak{r}) = 8, \text{ord}(\mathfrak{s}) = 2, \mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{r}^{-1}\}$, that is, $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ has the form the dihedral group ring of order 8. Consider elements $\gamma, \eta \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$. Consider $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ as a mapping defined by $\mathcal{T}(x) = \eta x \gamma^{-1}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$. Then $(\gamma, \eta) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $\mathcal{T} \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$. Then $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T})$ leads to $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$.*

Proof. According to Definition 2.8, and we put $\gamma = \eta$ it is trivial to see that any $\gamma \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T})$ leads to $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$.

Remark 3.11. $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$ does not lead to $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T})$, see Example 2.9.

Theorem 3.12. *Let $\mathcal{T} \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$. If $(\gamma, \eta) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$, then $(\gamma^n, \eta^n) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T}^n)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. We need to prove that $\mathcal{T}^n(x)\gamma^n = \eta^n x$ for all $x = \sum_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{G}} b_{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using the induction principal: For $n = 1$, we already have $\mathcal{T}(x)\gamma = \eta x$. For $n = 2$, $\mathcal{T}^2(x)\gamma^2 = \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{T}(x)\gamma)\gamma = \eta\mathcal{T}(x)\gamma = \eta^2 x$. Now, if $\mathcal{T}^k(x)\gamma^k = \eta^k x$, then $\mathcal{T}^{k+1}(x)\gamma^{k+1} = \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{T}^k(x)\gamma^k)\gamma = \mathcal{T}(\eta^k x)\gamma = \eta^{k+1} x$.

Definition 2.13. Let $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S} \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$ and $\gamma = \sum_{\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{G}} a_{\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$. Then, \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{S} is said to be *dependently equivalent*, if $\mathcal{T}(x)\gamma = \mathcal{S}(x)\gamma$ for all $x \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$.

Recall that, $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ is a simple iff \mathcal{R} is simple ring and \mathcal{G} is finite with $|\mathcal{G}|$ is invertible in \mathcal{R} , [6].

Example 2.14. For the same $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ data in Example 2.2 and $\mathcal{T} \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$, where $\mathcal{T}(x)\gamma = \gamma x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ with a fixed γ . Therefore, \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{S} are dependently equivalent for any $\mathcal{S} \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$ such that $\mathcal{S}(x) = \gamma x \eta$ for some $\eta \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$.

That is, if $\gamma = 0$, then $\mathcal{T}(x)\gamma = 0 = \gamma x$ and hence $\mathcal{T}(x)\gamma = \mathcal{S}(x)\gamma = 0$. If $\gamma \neq 0$, from simpleness of \mathcal{R} , we obtain $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}] = \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]\gamma\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$.

Now, since $y\gamma x \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]\mathcal{T}(x)\gamma \subseteq \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]\gamma$, we have that $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]\gamma = \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$. Then, there exists $\eta \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ such that $\eta\gamma = 1$. Then $\mathcal{S}(x) = \gamma x \eta$. So, $\mathcal{S}(x)\gamma = (\gamma x \eta)\gamma = \gamma x$, but $(\mathcal{T}(x) - \mathcal{S}(x))\gamma = \gamma x - \gamma x = 0$. Therefore, \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{S} are dependently equivalent.

Remark 2.15. It might not be true that $\mathcal{T}(x) = \mathcal{S}(x)$ for all $x = \sum_{h \in \mathcal{G}} b_h h \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$, but then at least $(\mathcal{T}(x) - \mathcal{S}(x))\gamma = 0$, see Example 2.9.

Theorem 2.16. Let $\mathcal{T} \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$. If $(\gamma, \eta) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$, then $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$.

Proof. Suppose that $(\gamma, \eta) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{T}(x)\gamma = \eta x \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]. \quad (1)$$

Replacing x by $x\psi$ in (1), where $\psi \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$, we get

$$\mathcal{T}(x\psi)\gamma = \mathcal{T}(x)\psi\gamma = \eta x\psi. \quad (2)$$

Right multiplication of (2) by $z \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$, we get

$$\mathcal{T}(x\psi)\gamma z = \mathcal{T}(x)\psi\gamma z = \eta x\psi z. \quad (3)$$

Replacing ψ by ψz in (2), we get

$$\mathcal{T}(x)\psi z \gamma = \eta x\psi z. \quad (4)$$

Subtracting (4) from (3), we get

$$\mathcal{T}(x)\psi[\gamma, z] = 0 \text{ for all } x, \psi, z \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]. \quad (5)$$

From primeness of $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$, $\mathcal{T} \neq \emptyset$ and (5) gives $[\gamma, z] = 0$ and this means $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$.

Corollary 2.17. Let $\mathcal{T} \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$. If $(\gamma, \eta) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$, then $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$.

Proof. The result is obtained according to Theorem 2.16.

For the next theorem we need the following well known, a mapping $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ is a (σ, τ) -derivation, if $\mathcal{T}(x\psi) = \mathcal{T}(x)\sigma(\psi) + \tau(x)\mathcal{T}(\psi)$ for all $x, \psi \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$,

where σ and τ are two automorphisms on $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$. The set of all (σ, τ) -derivation mappings on a $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{D}_{(\sigma, \tau)}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$, [22].

Theorem 2.18. *Let $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{D}_{(\sigma, \tau)}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$. Then $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$.*

Proof. Easily we get, $\sigma + \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{D}_{(\sigma, \tau)}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$ and $\sigma + \mathcal{T}$ has dependent element $\gamma \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$ that gives the facts, $(\sigma + \mathcal{T})(x)\gamma = \sigma(x)\gamma + \mathcal{T}(x)\gamma = \gamma x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$. From dependentness of γ , we have $\sigma(x)\gamma = 0, \forall x \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$. Consequently, $\sigma(x) = 0, \forall x \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$. Thus, $\gamma x \gamma = 0$ and hence $\gamma = 0$ and so $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$.

Theorem 2.19. *Let $\mathcal{T}, \tau \in \mathcal{D}_{(\sigma)}(\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}])$ such that $\mathcal{T}(x) = \sigma(x) + \tau(x), \forall x \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$. If $(\gamma, \eta) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$, then $(\gamma, \eta) \in \mathcal{A}(\tau)$ or $\gamma\eta = \eta\gamma$.*

Proof. Assume that $(\gamma, \eta) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$, then we have $\mathcal{T}(x)\gamma = \eta x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$. This gives:

$$\mathcal{T}(x)\gamma = \sigma(x)\gamma + \tau(x)\gamma = \eta x \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]. \quad (1)$$

Replacing x by $x\mathcal{Y}$ in (1), we have $\mathcal{T}(x\mathcal{Y})\gamma = \sigma(x)\mathcal{Y}\gamma + \tau(x)\mathcal{Y}\gamma + x\tau(\mathcal{Y})\gamma = \eta x\mathcal{Y}$. So we have:

$$\sigma(x)\mathcal{Y}\gamma + \tau(x)\sigma(\mathcal{Y})\gamma + \tau(x)\tau(\mathcal{Y})\gamma = \eta x\mathcal{Y} \text{ for all } x, \mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}].$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(x)\mathcal{Y}\gamma + \tau(x)(\sigma(\mathcal{Y}) + \tau(\mathcal{Y}))\gamma &= \sigma(x)\mathcal{Y}\gamma + \tau(x)\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{Y})\gamma \\ &= \sigma(x)\mathcal{Y}\gamma + \tau(x)\eta x = \eta x\mathcal{Y} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, \mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$.

$$\sigma(x)\mathcal{Y}\gamma + \tau(x)\eta x - \eta x\mathcal{Y} = 0, \forall x, \mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]. \quad (2)$$

Replacing \mathcal{Y} by $\gamma\mathcal{Y}$ in (2) and from (1) using $\tau(x)\gamma = \eta x - \sigma(x)\gamma$, we get

$$\eta x\mathcal{Y}\gamma - \sigma(x)\gamma\mathcal{Y}\gamma + \sigma(x)\eta\gamma\mathcal{Y} - \eta x\gamma\mathcal{Y} = 0 \text{ for all } x, \mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}] \quad (3)$$

In (3), replace \mathcal{Y} by $\mathcal{Y}\eta$ to get

$$\eta x\mathcal{Y}\eta\gamma - \sigma(x)\gamma\mathcal{Y}\eta\gamma + \sigma(x)\eta\gamma\mathcal{Y}\eta - \eta x\gamma\mathcal{Y}\eta = 0 \text{ for all } x, \mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]. \quad (4)$$

Right multiplication of (3) by η , we get

$$\eta x\mathcal{Y}\eta\gamma - \sigma(x)\gamma\mathcal{Y}\eta\gamma + \sigma(x)\eta\gamma\mathcal{Y}\eta - \eta x\gamma\mathcal{Y}\eta = 0, \forall x, \mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}] \quad (5)$$

Subtracting (4) from (5), we get

$$\eta x \psi[\gamma, \eta] - \sigma(x) \gamma \psi[\gamma, \eta] = 0, \quad \forall x, \psi \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]. \quad (6)$$

That is

$$(\eta x - \sigma(x) \gamma) \psi[\gamma, \eta] = 0, \quad \forall x, \psi \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]. \quad (7)$$

From primeness of $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$, we get $\sigma(x) \gamma = \eta x$ or $[\gamma, \eta] = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{G}]$.

3. Conclusion

In this paper, we presented the concept of dependent elements of a derivation mappings on a prime group rings and the concept of free action mappings. We chose the center of a prime group ring as a tool to obtain the necessary and sufficient condition of dependent elements and study of the special characteristics of its approved elements and a statement.

Acknowledgments

The authors are extremely thankful to the learned referee for his comments, critical review and suggestions, which improved the overall quality of the paper.

References

- [1] A. V. Mihalev and A. E. Zalesskii, Group Rings (in Russian), *Modern Problems of Mathematics*, Vol. 2, VINITI, Moscow, 1973.
- [2] D. S. Passman, *Infinite Group Rings*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1973.
- [3] D. S. Passman, Advances in group rings, *Israel J. Math.* 19 (1974), 67-107. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02756627>
- [4] Richard M. Low, *Units in integral group rings for direct products*, Dissertations, Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo, Michigan, 1998.
- [5] D. S. Passman, *The Algebraic Structure of Group Rings*, Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, New York, 2011.
- [6] Bartosz Malman, *Zero-divisors and idempotent in group rings*, Master's thesis, Lund University, Faculty of Engineering, Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Mathematics, 2014.
- [7] F. Benjamin, G. Anthony, K. Martin, R. Gerhard and S. Dennis, The axiomatics of free group rings, *Journal of Groups, Complexity, Cryptology* 13(2) (2021), 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.46298/jgcc.2021.13.2.8796>
- [8] M. Smith, Derivations of group algebras of finitely-generated, torsion-free, nilpotent groups, *Houston J. Math.* 4(2) (1978), 277-288.

- [9] V. Burkov, Derivations of group rings, *Abelian Groups and Modules*, Tomsk. Gos. Univ., Tomsk, 1981, pp. 46-55.
- [10] M. Ferrero, A. Giamb Bruno and C. Milies, A note on derivations of group rings, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 38(4) (1995), 434-437. <https://doi.org/10.4153/CMB-1995-063-8>
- [11] E. Spiegel, Derivations of integral group rings, *Comm. Algebra* 22(8) (1994), 2955-2959. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927879408825003>
- [12] A. Arutyunov, S. Mishchenko and I. Shtern, Derivations of group algebras, *Fundam. Prikl. Mat.* 21(6) (2016), 65-78.
- [13] V. Bavula, The group of automorphisms of the Lie algebra of derivations of a polynomial algebra, *J. Algebra Appl.* 16(5) (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498817500888>
- [14] V. Kharchenko, Automorphisms and derivations of associative rings, *Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series)* 69 (2018).
- [15] G. Kiss and M. Laczkovich, Derivations and differential operators on rings and fields, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Sect. Comput.* 48 (2018), 31-43.
- [16] C. Wrenn, *Group rings*, M.Sc. Thesis, John Carroll University, 2018.
- [17] O. Artemovych, Derivation rings of Lie rings, *Sao Paulo J. Math. Sci.* 13(2) (2019), 597-614. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40863-017-0077-5>
- [18] O. D. Artemovych, Victor A. Bovdi and Mohamed A. Salim, Derivations of group rings, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* 86(1) (2020), 51-72. <https://doi.org/10.14232/actasm-019-664-x>
- [19] A. Arutyunov, Derivation algebra in noncommutative group algebras, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* 308 (2020), 22-34. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0081543820010022>
- [20] A. Arutyunov and V. Alekseev, Complex of n-categories and derivations in group algebras, *Topology and its Applications* 275 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2019.107002>
- [21] A. Arutyunov and L. Kosolapov, Derivations of group rings for finite and FC groups, *Mathematics Rings and Algebras. (Szeged)* 13(48) (2021), 1-30.
- [22] D. Chaudhuri, (σ, τ) -derivations of group rings, arXiv:1803.09418v3 [math. RA] 9, 2018.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted, use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, or format for any purpose, even commercially provided the work is properly cited.
