

Results of Semigroup of Linear Operator Generating a Continuous Time Markov Semigroup

Akinola Yussuff Akinyele^{1,*}, Omotoni Ezekiel Jimoh², Jude Babatunde Omosowon³ and Kareem Akanbi Bello⁴

¹ Department of Mathematics, University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria

e-mail: olaakinyele04@gmail.com¹

e-mail: 16-56eb167@students.unilorin.edu.ng²

e-mail: jbo0011@mix.wvu.edu³

e-mail: bello.ak@unilorin.edu.ng⁴

Abstract

In this paper, we present results of ω -order preserving partial contraction mapping creating a continuous time Markov semigroup. We use Markov and irreducible operators and their integer powers to describe the evolution of a random system whose state changes at integer times, or whose state is only inspected at integer times. We concluded that a linear operator $P: \ell^1(X_+) \to \ell^1(X_+)$ is a Markov operator if its matrix satisfies $P_{x,y} \ge 0$ and $\sum_{x \in X_+} P_{x,y=1}$ for all $y \in X$.

1 Introduction

In the context of Markov semigroups the relevant norm is the ℓ^1 norm. All of the probabilistic properties of Markov operators are naturally formulated in terms of this norm, and they need not even be bounded with respect to the ℓ^2 norm. Because Markov semigroup is the study of dynamical systems with stochastic

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 06F15, 06F05, 20M05.

Keywords and phrases: ω -OCP_n, irreducible operator, C₀-semigroup, Markov semigroup.

^{*}Corresponding author

Copyright © 2022 Authors

Received: April 13, 2022; Accepted: May 20, 2022

perturbations, we can make use of it to obtain a linear transformation of the space of integrable functions which preserves the set of densities. Suppose X is a Banach space, $X_n \subseteq X$ is a finite set, T(t) the C_0 -semigroup, $\omega - OCP_n$ the ω -order preserving partial contraction mapping, M_m be a matrix, L(X) be a bounded linear operator on X, P_n a partial transformation semigroup, $\rho(A)$ a resolvent set, $\sigma(A)$ a spectrum of A and $A \in \omega - OCP_n$ is a generator of C_0 -semigroup. This paper consists of results of ω -order preserving partial contraction mapping generating a continuous time Markov semigroup.

Akinyele et al. [1], obtained perturbation results of infinitesimal generator in semigroup of linear operator. Batty [2], introduced some spectral conditions for stability of one-parameter semigroup and also in [3] Batty *et al.*, showed some asymptotic behavior of semigroup of operator. Balakrishnan [4], obtained an operator calculus for infinitesimal generators of semigroup. Banach [5], established and introduced the concept of Banach spaces. Chill and Tomilov [6], deduced some resolvent approach to stability operator semigroup. Davies [7], established linear operators and their spectra. Engel and Nagel [8], introduced one-parameter semigroup for linear evolution equations. Räbiger and Wolf [9] introduced some spectral and asymptotic properties of dominated operator. Rauf and Akinyele [10], established ω -order preserving partial contraction mapping and established its properties, also in [11], Rauf *et al.* presented some results of stability and spectra properties on semigroup of linear operator. Vrabie [12], proved some results of C_0 -semigroup and its applications. Yosida [13], obtained some results on differentiability and representation of one-parameter semigroup of linear operators.

2 Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 (C_0 -semigroup) [8]

A C_0 -semigroup is a strongly continuous one parameter semigroup of bounded linear operator on Banach space.

Definition 2.2 $(\omega$ - $OCP_n)$ [11]

A transformation $\alpha \in P_n$ is called ω -order preserving partial contraction mapping if $\forall x, y \in \text{Dom}\alpha : x \leq y \implies \alpha x \leq \alpha y$ and at least one of its transformation must satisfy $\alpha y = y$ such that T(t+s) = T(t)T(s) whenever t, s > 0 and otherwise for T(0) = I.

Definition 2.3 (Perturbation) [1]

Let $A: D(A) \subseteq X \to X$ be the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ and consider a second operator $B: D(B) \subseteq X \to X$ such that the sum A + B generates a strongly continuous semigroup $(S(t))_{t\geq 0}$. We say that A is perturbed by operator B or that B is a perturbation of A.

Definition 2.4 (Analytic Semigroup) [12]

We say that a C_0 -semigroup $\{T(t); t \ge 0\}$ is *analytic* if there exists $0 < \theta \le \pi$, and a mapping $S : \overline{\mathbb{C}}_{\theta} \to L(X)$ such that:

(i) T(t) = S(t) for each $t \ge 0$;

(ii) $S(z_1 + z_2) = S(z_1)S(z_2)$ for $z_1, z_2 \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_{\theta}$;

(iii) $\lim_{z_1\in \bar{\mathbb{C}}_{\theta}, z_1\to 0} S(z_1)x = x$ for $x\in X$; and

(iv) the mapping $z_1 \to S(z_1)$ is analytic from $\overline{\mathbb{C}}_{\theta}$ to L(X).

In addition, for each $0 < \delta < \theta$, the mapping $z_1 \to S(z_1)$ is bounded from \mathbb{C}_{δ} to L(X), then the C_0 -semigroup $\{T(t); t \ge 0\}$ is called *analytic and uniformly bounded*.

Definition 2.5 (Markov generator) [7]

Let $A : D(A) \subset \ell(X) \to \ell(X)$ be a linear operator, (X, ||||) be a locally compact normed space. We say that A is a *Markov generator* if:

(i) D(A) is dense in $\ell(X)$;

(ii) A fulfills the positive maximum principle; and

(iii) $R(\lambda I - A) = \ell(X)$ for some $\lambda > 0$.

Example 1

 2×2 matrix $[M_m(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})]$

Suppose

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0\\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and let $T(t) = e^{tA}$, then

$$e^{tA} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{2t} & e^I \\ e^t & e^{2t} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example 2

 3×3 matrix $[M_m(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})]$ Suppose

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and let $T(t) = e^{tA}$, then

$$e^{tA} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{2t} & e^{2t} & e^{3t} \\ e^{2t} & e^{2t} & e^{2t} \\ e^{t} & e^{2t} & e^{2t} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example 3

 3×3 matrix $[M_m(\mathbb{C})]$, we have for each $\lambda > 0$ such that $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ where $\rho(A)$ is a resolvent set on X.

Suppose we have

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and let $T(t) = e^{tA_{\lambda}}$, then

$$e^{tA_{\lambda}} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{2t\lambda} & e^{2t\lambda} & e^{3t\lambda} \\ e^{2t\lambda} & e^{2t\lambda} & e^{2t\lambda} \\ e^{t\lambda} & e^{2t\lambda} & e^{2t\lambda} \end{pmatrix}$$

3 Main Results

This section presents results of continuous time Markov semigroup generated by ω -OCP_n using Markov and irreducible operators:

Theorem 3.1

Assume $A \in \omega - OCP_n$ is the infinitesimal generator of a continuous time Markov semigroup. Let $A_R : \ell_R^p(X) \to \ell_R^p(X)$ be real and let A_C be its complexification, where $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then A_C has the same norm as A_R .

Proof:

For p = 1, we have that

$$||A|| = \sup_{y \in X} \left\{ \sum_{x \in X} |A_{x,y}| \right\}$$
 (3.1)

so that an infinite matrix $A_{x,y}$ determines a bounded operator A on $\ell(X)$ if and only if the RHS of (3.1) is finite.

If p > 1, then the inequality

$$\|A_R\| \leqslant \|A_C\|$$

follows directly from the definition of the norm of an operator. To prove the converse we use the fact that

$$|a+ib|^p = c^{-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |a\cos\theta + b\sin\theta|^p d\theta$$
(3.2)

for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, where

$$c := \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\cos\theta|^p d\theta.$$
(3.3)

If $f, g \in \ell^p_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$, then

$$\begin{split} \|A_{C}(f+ig)\|^{p} &= \sum_{x \in X} |(A_{R}f)(x) + i(A_{R}g)(x)|^{p} \\ &= C^{-1} \sum_{x \in X} \int_{\pi}^{\pi} |(A_{R}f)(x)\cos(\theta) + (A_{p}g)(x)\sin(\theta)|^{p}d\theta \\ &= C^{-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{x \in X} |(A_{R}f)(x)\cos(\theta) + (A_{R}g)(x)\sin(\theta)|^{p}d\theta \\ &= C^{-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \|A_{R}(f\cos(\theta) + g\sin(\theta))\|^{p}d\theta \\ &\leq \|A_{R}\|^{p}C^{-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \|f(x)\cos(\theta) + g(x)\sin\|^{p}d\theta \\ &= \|A_{R}\|^{p}C^{-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{x \in X} |f(x)\cos(\theta) + g(x)\sin(\theta)|^{p}d\theta \\ &= \|A_{R}\|^{p}C^{-1} \sum_{x \in X} |f(x)\cos(\theta) + g(x)\sin(\theta)|^{p}d\theta \\ &= \|A_{R}\|^{p} \sum_{x \in X} |f(x) + ig(x)|^{p} \\ &= \|A_{R}\|^{p} \|f + ig\|^{p}. \end{split}$$
(3.4)

Hence, the proof is completed.

Theorem 3.2

Let $A: X \to X$ be a positive operator. Then

$$||A|| = \sup\left\{\frac{||Af||}{||f||} : 0 \neq f \in X_+\right\}.$$
(3.5)

If A is positive and has norm 1, then

$$\mathcal{L} := \{ f \in X, \ A \in \omega - OCP_n : Af = f \}$$

is a linear sublattice of X.

Proof:

Suppose $f \in X$, then $-|f| \leq f \leq |f|$ and $A \ge 0$ together implies $-A(|f|) \le A(|f|)$

 $A(f) \leq A(|f|)$, so that

 $|A(f)| \leqslant A(|f|).$

If c denotes the RHS of (3.5), then one can see immediately that $c \leq ||A||$.

Conversely,

$$|Af|| = |||A(f)||| \le ||A(|f|)|| \le c|||f||| = ||f||$$
(3.6)

for all $f \in X$ and $A \in \omega - OCP_n$. Therefore, $||A|| \leq c$.

If ||A|| = 1, and Af = f, then

$$|||f||| = ||f|| = ||A(f)|| = |||A(f)||| \le ||A(|f|)|| \le |||f|||.$$

Since the two extreme quantities are equal, we have that if $0 \leq f \leq g \in X_+$. Then

 $\|f\| \leqslant \|g\|,$

and

$$\|f\| = \|g\| \tag{3.7}$$

implies

f = g.

We define a linear sublattice \mathcal{L} of X to be linear subspace such that $f \in \mathcal{L}$ implies $|f| \in \mathcal{L}$ and implies

$$A(|f|) = |A(f)| = |f|,$$
(3.8)

so \mathcal{L} is a linear sublattice.

Given $f \in X$, we define:

$$\sup(f) := \{x \in X : f(x) \neq 0\}.$$

Assume A is a positive operator on X, we say that $E \subseteq X$ is an invariant set if for every $f \in X_+$ such that $\sup(f) \subseteq E$, and we have $\sup(Af) \subseteq E$.

This is equivalent to the condition that $x \in E$ and $(x, y) \in E$ implies $y \in E$. We say that A is irreducible if the only invariant sets are X and ϕ . This is equivalent to the operator-theoretic condition that for all $x, y \in X$ there exists n > 0 such that $(A^n)_{x,y} > 0$. From a graph-theoretic perspective, irreducibility demands for all $x, y \in X$ there exists a path

$$w := (y = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n = x) \tag{3.9}$$

such that $(x_{r-1}, x_r) \in E$ for all relevant r, and this achieved the proof.

Theorem 3.3

Suppose A is the infinitesimal generator of a continuous time Markov semigroup. If $A: X \to X$ is a positive, irreducible operator and ||A|| = 1, then the subspace $\mathcal{L} := \{f : Af = f\}$ is of dimension at most 1. If \mathcal{L} is one-dimensional, then the associated eigenfunction satisfies f(x) > 0 for all $x \in X$ and $A \in \omega - OCP_n$. (Possible after replacing f by -f).

Proof:

Let $f \in \mathcal{L}_+ = \mathcal{L} \cap X_+$ and f(y) > 0 and $A_{x,y} > 0$, then

$$f(x) = (Af)(x) = \sum_{u \in X} A_{x,u} f(u) \ge A_{x,y} f(y) > 0.$$
(3.10)

This implies that the set $E := \sup(f)$ is invariant with respect to A. Using the irreducibility assumption, we deduce that $f \in \mathcal{L}_+$ implies $\sup(f) = X$, unless f vanishes identically. If $f \in \mathcal{L}$, then $f_+ \in \mathcal{L}$ because \mathcal{L} is a sublattice. It follows that either $f_+ = 0$ or $f_- = 0$. This establishes that every non-zero $f \in \mathcal{L}$ is strictly positive, possibly after multiplying it by -1. If $f, g \in \mathcal{L}_+$ and $\lambda = f(a)/g(a)$ for some choice of $a \in X$, then $h = f - \lambda g$ lies in \mathcal{L} and vanishes at a. Hence h is identically zero, and f, g are linearly dependent. We conclude that $\dim(\mathcal{L}) = 1$ and this achieved the proof.

Theorem 3.4

Let $A : \ell^1(X) \to \ell^1(X)$ be a bounded linear operator. Then e^{At} is a positive operator for all $t \ge 0$ if and ony if $A(x,y) \ge 0$ for all $x \ne y$. It is a Markov operator for all $t \ge 0$ if and only if in addition to the above condition, we have

$$A(y,y) = -\sum_{\{x:x \neq y\}} A(x,y)$$

for all $y \in X$ and $A \in \omega - OCP_n$.

Proof:

Assume e^{At} is positive and $x \neq y$. Then

$$A(x,y) = \lim_{t \to 0_+} t^{-1} \langle e^{At} \delta y \delta x \rangle$$

and the RHS is non-negative. Conversely, suppose that $A(x, y) \ge 0$ for all $x \ne y$. We may write A := B + cl where $B \ge 0$ and $c := \inf\{A(x, x) : x \in X\}$. Note that $|c| \le ||A||$. It follows that

$$e^{At} = e^{ct} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n B^n}{n!} \ge 0$$

for all $t \ge 0$. If e^{At} is positive for all $t \ge 0$ and $A \in \omega - OCP_n$, then it is a Markov semigroup if and only if

$$\langle e^{At}f,1\rangle = \langle f,1\rangle$$

for all $f \in \ell^1(X)$ and $t \ge 0$. Differentiating this at t = 0 implies that $\langle Af, 1 \rangle = 0$ for all $f \in \ell(x)$, or equivalently that

$$\sum_{x \in X} A(x, y) = 0$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $A \in \omega - OCP_n$.

Conversely, if this holds, then

$$\langle e^{At}f,1\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} \langle A^n f,1\rangle = \langle f,1\rangle$$
(3.11)

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

We need to note that a continuous time Markov semigroup $P_t := e^{At}$ acting on $\ell^1(X)$ with a bounded generator A is actually defined for all $t \in \mathbb{C}$, not just for $t \ge 0$. The Markov property implies that $||P_t|| = 1$ for all $t \ge 0$. However, for t < 0 the operators P_t are generally not positie. If X is finite, then all of the eigenvalue of A must satisfy $Re(\lambda) \le 0$ because $|e^{\lambda t}| \le ||e^{At}|| = 1$ for all $t \ge 0$. By evaluating the trace of A one sees that at least one eigenvalue λ must satisfy $Re(\lambda) < 0$, unless A is identically zero. Since $||e^{At}|| \ge |e^{\lambda t}|$, it follows that the norm grows exponentially as $t \to -\infty$. Hence, the proof is complete.

Theorem 3.5

If A is the bounded infinitesimal generator of a continuouns time Markov semigroup P_t acting on $\ell^1(X)$ and Q is a Markov operator acting on $\ell^1(X)$ and c is a positive constant operator, then A := c(Q - I) is the generator of a Markov semigroup P_t .

Proof:

First, we have to check that $A(x, y) \ge 0$ for all $x \ne y$ and that $\langle Af, 1 \rangle = 0$ for all $f \in \ell^1(X)$ and $A \in \omega - OCP_n$. Suppose the semigroup operator is given by

$$P_t := e^{ctQ - ctI} = e^{-ct} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c^n t^n Q^n}{n!}.$$

Expressing further, we have

$$P_t = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(t,n)Q^n$$

where a(t,n) > 0 for all $t,n \ge 0$ and $\sum_{n=o}^{\infty} a(t,n) = 1$. Thus equation can be interpreted as describing a particle which makes jumps at random real times according to the Poisson law a(t,n) and when it jumps it does so from one point of X to another according to the law of Q. If $c := \sup\{-A(x,x) : x \in X\}$, then $0 \le c \le ||A||$. The case c = 0 implies A = 0, for which we can make any choice of Q. Now suppose that c > 0, it is immediate that B := A + cI is a positive operator and that

$$\langle Bf, 1 \rangle = \langle Af, 1 \rangle + c \langle f, 1 \rangle = c \langle f, 1 \rangle$$
(3.12)

for all $\ell^1(X)$ and $A, B \in \omega - OCP_n$. Therefore $Q := c^{-1}B$ is a Markov operator and A = c(Q - I).

If $P_t(x, y) > 0$ for all $x, y \in X$, $A, B \in \omega - OCP_n$ and t > 0, we say that P_t is irreducible. Hence, the proof is achieved.

Conclusion

In this paper, it has been established that ω -order preserving partial contraction mapping generates a continuous time Markov semigroup using a Markov and irreducible in Banach space.

Acknowledgment

The authors acknowledged the management of the University of Ilorin for providing us with a suitable research laboratory and library to enable us carried out this research.

References

- A. Y. Akinyele, O. Y. Saka-Balogun and O. A. Adeyemo, Perturbation of infinitesimal generator in semigroup of linear operator, *South East Asian J. Math. Math. Sci.* 15(3) (2019), 53-64.
- [2] C. J. K. Batty, Spectral conditions for stability of one-parameter semigroups, J. Differential Equations 127 (1996), 87-96.
 https://doi.org/10.1006/jdeq.1996.0062
- C. J. K. Batty, R. Chill and Y. Tomilov, Strong stability of bounded evolution families and semigroup, J. Funct. Anal. 193 (2002), 116-139. https://doi.org/10.1006/jfan.2001.3917
- [4] A. V. Balakrishnan, An operator calculus for infinitesimal generators of semigroup, *Trans Amer. Math. Soc.* 91 (1959), 330-353. https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-1959-0107179-0
- [5] S. Banach, Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales, *Fund. Math.* 3 (1922), 133-181. https://doi.org/10.4064/fm-3-1-133-181

- [6] R. Chill and Y. Tomilov, Stability of operator semigroups: ideas and results, Banach Center Publ., 75, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2007, pp. 71-109.
- [7] E. B. Davies, Linear operator and their spectra, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2007.
- [8] K. Engel and R. Nagel, One-parameter semigroups for linear equations, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 194, Springer, New York, 2000.
- [9] F. R\"abiger and M. P. H. Wolff, Spectral and asymptotic properties of resolvent-dominated operators, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 68 (2000), 181-201. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1446788700001944
- [10] K. Rauf and A. Y. Akinyele, Properties of ω -order-preserving partial contraction mapping and its relation to C_0 -semigroup, Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci. 14(1) (2019), 61-68.
- [11] K. Rauf, A. Y. Akinyele, M. O. Etuk, R. O. Zubair and M. A. Aasa, Some result of stability and spectra properties on semigroup of linear operator, Advances in Pure Mathematics 9 (2019), 43-51. https://doi.org/10.4236/apm.2019.91003
- [12] I. I. Vrabie, C_0 -semigroup and application, Mathematics Studies, 191, Elsevier, North-Holland, 2003.
- K. Yosida, On the differentiability and representation of one-parameter semigroups of linear operators, J. Math. Soc. Japan 1 (1948), 15-21. https://doi.org/10.2969/jmsj/00110015

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted, use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, or format for any purpose, even commercially provided the work is properly cited.