



Coefficient Estimates for Certain Subclasses of m -Fold Symmetric Bi-univalent Functions Associated with Pseudo-Starlike Functions

Timilehin G. Shaba^{1,*} and Amol B. Patil²

¹ Department of Mathematics, University of Ilorin, P. M. B. 1515, Ilorin, Nigeria

e-mail: shabatimilehin@gmail.com

² Department of First Year Engineering, AISSMS College of Engineering, Pune-411001, India

e-mail: amol223patil@yahoo.co.in

Abstract

In the present investigation, we introduce the subclasses $A_{\Sigma}^m(\eta, \lambda, \phi)$ and $A_{\Sigma}^m(\eta, \lambda, \delta)$ of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent function class Σ_m , which are associated with the pseudo-starlike functions and defined in the open unit disk \mathbb{U} . Moreover, we obtain estimates on the initial coefficients $|b_{m+1}|$ and $|b_{2m+1}|$ for the functions belong to these subclasses and identified correlations with some of the earlier known classes.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{f : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : f \text{ is analytic in } \mathbb{U}, f(0) = 0 = f'(0) - 1\}$ be the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (1.1)$$

and \mathcal{S} be the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of all functions f univalent in \mathbb{U} . The Koebe one quarter theorem (see [6]) guarantee that every function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ has an inverse f^{-1} , defined by $f^{-1}(f(z)) = z$, ($z \in \mathbb{U}$) and

$$f(f^{-1}(w)) = w, (|w| < r_0(f), r_0(f) \geq 1/4).$$

Received: January 20, 2021; Accepted: March 2, 2021

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C50, 30C80.

Keywords and phrases: analytic function, univalent function, bi-univalent function, m -fold symmetric function, m -fold symmetric bi-univalent function, pseudo-starlike function.

*Corresponding author

Copyright © 2021 Authors

Indeed, the analytic extension of f^{-1} to \mathbb{U} is

$$f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4)w^4 + \dots \quad (1.2)$$

Let $\Sigma = \{f \in \mathcal{A} : f(z) \text{ and } f^{-1}(z) \text{ are univalent in the unit disk } \mathbb{U}\}$ denote the class of bi-univalent functions.

In 1967, Lewin [12] introduced the class Σ bi-univalent function and proved that $|a_2| < 1.51$ for the functions $f \in \Sigma$. Afterverse, Brannan and Clunie [4] conjectured that $|a_2| \leq \sqrt{2}$ and at one instance Goodman [8] claimed that $|a_n| \leq 1$ may be true for every $f \in \Sigma$ and $n \in \mathcal{N}$. However, Netanyahu [13] showed that $\max_{f \in \Sigma} |a_2| = \frac{4}{3}$, Styer and Wright [27] showed existence of $f \in \Sigma$ for which $|a_2| > \frac{4}{3}$ and Tan [28] proved that $|a_2| \leq 1.485$ for the functions in the class Σ .

In 2010, Srivastava et al. [25] revived the concept of coefficient estimation problem for the functions $f \in \Sigma$. Motivated by their work, many researchers (viz. [1], [5], [7], [9], [14], [17], [18], [20], [21], [22] etc.) obtained coefficient estimates for the functions in several subclasses of Σ . But still the sharp coefficient estimation problem of $|a_n|$, ($n = 3, 4, 5, \dots$) for the functions belong to the subclasses of Σ is open.

Functions of the form:

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{mk+1} z^{mk+1} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; m \in \mathcal{N}) \quad (1.3)$$

are said to be m -fold symmetric functions (see [11], [16]). Further, any function $h(z)$ of the form:

$$h(z) = \sqrt[m]{f(z^m)} \quad (f \in \mathcal{S}; z \in \mathbb{U}; m \in \mathcal{N})$$

is univalent and maps the unit disk into a m -fold symmetric region.

Let \mathcal{S}_m denote the class of all m -fold symmetric univalent functions in \mathbb{U} , which are of the form (1.3) and for $m = 1$, these functions reduces to functions of the class \mathcal{S}_1 (or simply \mathcal{S}) and are known as *one*-fold symmetric univalent functions.

For each $m \in \mathcal{N}$, every bi-univalent function generates an m -fold symmetric bi-univalent function. Srivastava et al. [26] showed that, for the function g as

given in (1.3), the extension of g^{-1} to \mathbb{U} is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(w) = & w - b_{m+1}w^{m+1} + [(m + 1)b_{m+1}^2 - b_{2m+1}]w^{2m+1} - \\
 & \left[\frac{1}{2}(m + 1)(3m + 2)b_{m+1}^3 - (3m + 2)b_{m+1}b_{2m+1} + b_{3m+1} \right]w^{3m+1} \quad (1.4) \\
 & + \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly for $m = 1$, this equation (1.4) reduces to the equation (1.2). So that the bi-univalent function class Σ then generalized to the m -fold symmetric bi-univalent function class Σ_m . See [26] for examples of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions. Also see [2], [19], [23], [24], [29] etc. for coefficient problems of some new subclasses of Σ_m .

In order to prove our main results, we need the following lemma [15].

Lemma 1.1. *If $w(z) \in \mathcal{P}$, the class of functions which are analytic in \mathbb{U} with $\Re(w(z)) > 0$, ($z \in \mathbb{U}$) and have the form $w(z) = 1 + w_1z + w_2z^2 + w_3z^3 + \dots$, ($z \in \mathbb{U}$); then $|w_n| \leq 2$ for each $n \in \mathcal{N}$.*

We use the m -fold symmetric function w in the class \mathcal{P} (see [16]) of the form:

$$w(z) = 1 + w_mz^m + w_{2m}z^{2m} + w_{3m}z^{3m} + \dots, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

In the present investigation, with reference to the λ -pseudo-starlike function class defined by Babalola [3] and the work of Joshi and Yadav [10], we obtain estimates on the initial coefficients $|b_{m+1}|$ and $|b_{2m+1}|$ for functions belong to the new subclasses $A_{\Sigma}^m(\eta, \lambda, \phi)$ and $A_{\Sigma}^m(\eta, \lambda, \delta)$ of the function class Σ_m . Also, we have pointed out connections with some of the earlier known subclasses of the class Σ .

2 Coefficient Bounds for the Function Class $A_{\Sigma}^m(\eta, \lambda, \phi)$

Definition 2.1. A function $g(z)$ given by (1.3) is said to be in the class $A_{\Sigma}^m(\eta, \lambda, \phi)$ if the following conditions are fulfilled:

$$\left| \arg \left[\frac{z[g'(z)]^\lambda}{(1 - \eta)g(z) + \eta zg'(z)} \right] \right| < \frac{\phi\pi}{2} \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\left| \arg \left[\frac{w[h'(w)]^\lambda}{(1-\eta)h(w) + \eta wh'(w)} \right] \right| < \frac{\phi\pi}{2} \quad w \in \mathbb{U}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $g(z) \in \Sigma_m$, $m \in \mathcal{N}$, $\lambda \geq 1$, $0 < \phi \leq 1$, $0 \leq \eta < 1$ and $h = g^{-1}$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $g(z)$ given by (1.3) be in the class $\Lambda_\Sigma^m(\eta, \lambda, \phi)$, $0 < \phi \leq 1$. Then*

$$|b_{m+1}| \leq \frac{2\phi}{\sqrt{(\phi + 1)^2 + \phi(\eta^2 + 2\eta(1 - m^2 - m) - m + m(m + 1)\lambda) + \lambda(m + 1)(\lambda(m + 1) - 2\eta - 2)}} \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$|b_{2m+1}| \leq \frac{2\phi}{(2m + 1)\lambda - 2\eta m - 1} + \frac{2\phi^2(m + 1)}{((m + 1)\lambda - \eta - 1)^2}. \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. Let $g \in \Lambda_\Sigma^m(\eta, \lambda, \phi)$. Then,

$$\frac{z[g'(z)]^\lambda}{(1-\eta)g(z) + \eta zg'(z)} = [r(z)]^\phi, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\frac{w[h'(w)]^\lambda}{(1-\eta)h(w) + \eta wh'(w)} = [u(w)]^\phi, \tag{2.6}$$

where $h = g^{-1}$ and r, u in \mathcal{P} have the following forms:

$$r(z) = 1 + r_m z^m + r_{2m} z^{2m} + r_{3m} z^{3m} + \dots \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$u(w) = 1 + u_m w^m + u_{2m} w^{2m} + u_{3m} w^{3m} + \dots \tag{2.8}$$

Clearly,

$$[r(z)]^\phi = 1 + \phi r_m z^m + \left(\phi r_{2m} + \frac{\phi(\phi - 1)}{2} r_m^2 \right) z^{2m} + \dots$$

and

$$[u(w)]^\phi = 1 + \phi u_m w^m + \left(\phi u_{2m} + \frac{\phi(\phi - 1)}{2} u_m^2 \right) w^{2m} + \dots$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z[g'(z)]^\lambda}{(1-\eta)g(z) + \eta zg'(z)} &= 1 + ((m+1)\lambda - \eta - 1)b_{m+1}z^m + \left((2m+1)\lambda \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2\eta m - 1 \right) b_{2m+1}z^{2m} + \left(1 + 2\eta + \eta^2 - \eta\lambda(m+1) - \frac{\lambda(3+m)(m+1)}{2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\lambda^2(m+1)^2}{2} \right) b_{m+1}^2 z^{2m} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{w[h'(w)]^\lambda}{(1-\eta)h(w) + \eta wh'(w)} &= 1 - ((m+1)\lambda - \eta - 1)b_{m+1}w^m - \left((2m+1)\lambda \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2\eta m - 1 \right) b_{2m+1}w^{2m} + \left(1 + 2\eta + \eta^2 - (m+1)\lambda\eta + (\lambda - \eta)(m+1)(2m+1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (m+1)(1-\eta) - \frac{\lambda(m+1)(m+3)}{2} + \frac{\lambda^2(m+1)^2}{2} \right) b_{m+1}^2 w^{2m} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients in (2.5) and (2.6), we have:

$$((m+1)\lambda - \eta - 1)b_{m+1} = \phi r_m, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 + 2\eta + \eta^2 - \eta\lambda(m+1) - \frac{\lambda(3+m)(m+1)}{2} + \frac{\lambda^2(m+1)^2}{2} \right) b_{m+1}^2 + \\ ((2m+1)\lambda - 2\eta m - 1)b_{2m+1} = \phi r_{2m} + \frac{\phi(\phi-1)}{2} r_m^2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

$$-((m+1)\lambda - \eta - 1)b_{m+1} = \phi u_m, \tag{2.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 + 2\eta + \eta^2 - (m+1)\lambda\eta + (\lambda - \eta)(m+1)(2m+1) - (m+1)(1-\eta) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{\lambda(m+1)(m+3)}{2} + \frac{\lambda^2(m+1)^2}{2} \right) b_{m+1}^2 + (1 + 2\eta m - (2m+1)\lambda) b_{2m+1} \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad = \phi u_{2m} + \frac{\phi(\phi-1)}{2} u_m^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

From equations (2.9) and (2.11) we have:

$$r_m = -u_m \quad (2.13)$$

and

$$2((m+1)\lambda - \eta - 1)^2 b_{m+1}^2 = \phi^2(r_m^2 + u_m^2). \quad (2.14)$$

By adding equations (2.10) and (2.12) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\lambda^2(m+1)^2 + 2\eta^2 - 2(m+1)\eta\lambda + \lambda(m+1)(m-2) - (m+1)(2m\eta+1) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 4\eta + 2 \right) b_{m+1}^2 = \phi(r_{2m} + u_{2m}) + \frac{\phi(\phi-1)}{2}(r_m^2 + u_m^2). \end{aligned}$$

By using (2.14) and simplifying we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[(\phi+1)^2 + \phi(\eta^2 + 2\eta(1-m^2-m) - m + m(m+1)\lambda) + \lambda(m+1)(\lambda(m+1) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2\eta - 2) \right] b_{m+1}^2 = \phi^2(r_{2m} + u_{2m}). \end{aligned}$$

By applying Lemma 1.1 for the coefficients r_{2m} and u_{2m} , then we have

$$|b_{m+1}| \leq \frac{2\phi}{\sqrt{(\phi+1)^2 + \phi(\eta^2 + 2\eta(1-m^2-m) - m + m(m+1)\lambda) + \lambda(m+1)(\lambda(m+1) - 2\eta - 2)}}.$$

Further, to obtain $|b_{2m+1}|$, we subtract (2.12) from (2.10), we get

$$(m + 1)[(1 - \eta) - (\lambda - \eta)(2m + 1)]b_{m+1}^2 + 2[(2m + 1)\lambda - 2\eta m - 1]b_{2m+1} = \phi(r_{2m} - u_{2m}) + \frac{\phi(\phi - 1)}{2}(r_m^2 - u_m^2).$$

Then, in view of (2.13) and (2.14) and applying Lemma 1.1 for coefficients r_m, r_{2m}, u_m, u_{2m} , we have

$$|b_{2m+1}| \leq \frac{2\phi}{(2m + 1)\lambda - 2\eta m - 1} + \frac{2\phi^2(m + 1)}{((m + 1)\lambda - \eta - 1)^2}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 2.2. □

3 Coefficient Bounds for the Function Class $\Lambda_{\Sigma}^m(\eta, \lambda, \delta)$

Definition 3.1. A function $g(z)$ given by (1.3) is said to be in the class $\Lambda_{\Sigma}^m(\eta, \lambda, \delta)$ if the following condition are fulfilled:

$$\Re \left[\frac{z[g'(z)]^{\lambda}}{(1 - \eta)g(z) + \eta z g'(z)} \right] > \delta \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\Re \left[\frac{w[h'(w)]^{\lambda}}{(1 - \eta)h(w) + \eta w h'(w)} \right] > \delta \quad w \in \mathbb{U}, \tag{3.2}$$

where $g(z) \in \Sigma_m, m \in \mathcal{N}, \lambda \geq 1, 0 \leq \delta < 1, 0 \leq \eta < 1$ and $h = g^{-1}$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $g(z)$ given by (1.3) be in the class $\Lambda_{\Sigma}^m(\eta, \lambda, \delta), 0 \leq \delta < 1$. Then,

$$|b_{m+1}| \leq \frac{2\sqrt{1 - \delta}}{\sqrt{\lambda^2(m + 1)^2 + 2\eta^2 - 2(m + 1)\eta\lambda + \lambda(m + 1)(m - 2) - (m + 1)(2m\eta + 1) + 4\eta + 2}} \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$|b_{2m+1}| \leq \frac{2(m + 1)(1 - \delta)^2}{((m + 1)\lambda - \eta - 1)^2} + \frac{2(1 - \delta)}{(2m + 1)\lambda - 2\eta m - 1}. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Let $g \in \Lambda_{\Sigma}^m(\eta, \lambda, \delta)$. Then,

$$\frac{z[g'(z)]^\lambda}{(1 - \eta)g(z) + \eta zg'(z)} = \delta + (1 - \delta)r(z), \tag{3.5}$$

$$\frac{w[h'(w)]^\lambda}{(1 - \eta)h(w) + \eta wh'(w)} = \delta + (1 - \delta)u(z), \tag{3.6}$$

where $h = g^{-1}$ and r, u in \mathcal{P} have the following forms:

$$r(z) = 1 + r_m z^m + r_{2m} z^{2m} + r_{3m} z^{3m} + \dots$$

and

$$u(w) = 1 + u_m w^m + u_{2m} w^{2m} + u_{3m} w^{3m} + \dots .$$

Clearly,

$$\delta + (1 - \delta)r(z) = 1 + (1 - \delta)r_m z^m + (1 - \delta)r_{2m} z^{2m} + \dots$$

and

$$\delta + (1 - \delta)u(w) = 1 + (1 - \delta)u_m w^m + (1 - \delta)u_{2m} w^{2m} + \dots .$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z[g'(z)]^\lambda}{(1 - \eta)g(z) + \eta zg'(z)} &= 1 + ((m + 1)\lambda - \eta - 1)b_{m+1}z^m + \left((2m + 1)\lambda \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2\eta m - 1 \right) b_{2m+1}z^{2m} + \left(1 + 2\eta + \eta^2 - \eta\lambda(m + 1) - \frac{\lambda(3 + m)(m + 1)}{2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\lambda^2(m + 1)^2}{2} \right) b_{m+1}^2 z^{2m} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{w[h'(w)]^\lambda}{(1 - \eta)h(w) + \eta wh'(w)} &= 1 - ((m + 1)\lambda - \eta - 1)b_{m+1}w^m - \left((2m + 1)\lambda \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2\eta m - 1 \right) b_{2m+1}w^{2m} + \left(1 + 2\eta + \eta^2 - (m + 1)\lambda\eta + (\lambda - \eta)(m + 1)(2m + 1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (m + 1)(1 - \eta) - \frac{\lambda(m + 1)(m + 3)}{2} + \frac{\lambda^2(m + 1)^2}{2} \right) b_{m+1}^2 w^{2m} + \dots . \end{aligned}$$

From (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain

$$((m + 1) \lambda - \eta - 1)b_{m+1} = (1 - \delta)r_m, \tag{3.7}$$

$$\left(1 + 2\eta + \eta^2 - \eta \lambda (m + 1) - \frac{\lambda(3 + m)(m + 1)}{2} + \frac{\lambda^2(m + 1)^2}{2}\right) b_{m+1}^2 + ((2m + 1) \lambda - 2\eta m - 1)b_{2m+1} = (1 - \delta)r_{2m}, \tag{3.8}$$

$$-((m + 1) \lambda - \eta - 1)b_{m+1} = (1 - \delta)u_m, \tag{3.9}$$

$$\left(1 + 2\eta + \eta^2 - (m + 1) \lambda \eta + (\lambda - \eta)(m + 1)(2m + 1) - (m + 1)(1 - \eta) - \frac{\lambda(m + 1)(m + 3)}{2} + \frac{\lambda^2(m + 1)^2}{2}\right) b_{m+1}^2 + (1 + 2\eta m - (2m + 1)\lambda)b_{2m+1} = (1 - \delta)u_{2m}. \tag{3.10}$$

From (3.7) and (3.9), we have

$$r_m = -u_m \tag{3.11}$$

and

$$2((m + 1) \lambda - \eta - 1)^2 b_{m+1}^2 = (1 - \delta)^2(r_m^2 + u_m^2). \tag{3.12}$$

Adding (3.8) and (3.10), we have

$$\left(\lambda^2(m + 1)^2 + 2\eta^2 - 2(m + 1)\eta \lambda + \lambda(m + 1)(m - 2) - (m + 1)(2m\eta + 1) + 4\eta + 2\right) b_{m+1}^2 = (1 - \delta)(r_{2m} + u_{2m}).$$

Therefore, we get

$$b_{m+1}^2 = \frac{(1 - \delta)(r_{2m} + u_{2m})}{\lambda^2(m + 1)^2 + 2\eta^2 - 2(m + 1)\eta \lambda + \lambda(m + 1)(m - 2) - (m + 1)(2m\eta + 1) + 4\eta + 2}. \tag{3.13}$$

By applying Lemma 1.1 for the coefficients r_{2m} and u_{2m} , then we have

$$|b_{m+1}| \leq \frac{2\sqrt{1-\delta}}{\sqrt{\lambda^2(m+1)^2 + 2\eta^2 - 2(m+1)\eta\lambda + \lambda(m+1)(m-2) - (m+1)(2m\eta+1) + 4\eta+2}}$$

Also, to find the bound on $|b_{2m+1}|$, using the relations (3.8) and (3.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &-(m+1)[(2m+1)\lambda - 2\eta m - 1]b_{m+1}^2 + 2[(2m+1)\lambda - 2\eta m - 1]b_{2m+1} \\ &= (1-\delta)(r_{2m} - u_{2m}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, in view of (3.11) and (3.12), also applying Lemma 1.1 for the coefficients r_m, r_{2m}, u_m, u_{2m} , we have

$$|b_{2m+1}| \leq \frac{2(m+1)(1-\delta)^2}{((m+1)\lambda - \eta - 1)^2} + \frac{2(1-\delta)}{(2m+1)\lambda - 2\eta m - 1}, \tag{3.14}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 3.2. □

Choosing $\eta = 0$ in Theorems 2.2 and 3.2, the classes $A_{\Sigma}^m(\eta, \lambda, \phi)$ and $A_{\Sigma}^m(\eta, \lambda, \delta)$ reduces to classes $A_{\Sigma}^m(\lambda, \phi)$ and $A_{\Sigma}^m(\lambda, \delta)$ and thus we get the following corollaries.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $g(z)$ given by (1.3) be in the class $A_{\Sigma}^m(\lambda, \phi)$, $0 < \phi \leq 1$. Then*

$$|b_{m+1}| \leq \frac{2\phi}{\sqrt{1 + \phi(m^2 + m\lambda - m) + \lambda(m+1)(\lambda m + \lambda - 2)}}$$

and

$$|b_{2m+1}| \leq \frac{2\phi}{(2m+1)\lambda - 1} + \frac{2\phi^2(m+1)}{((m+1)\lambda - 1)^2}.$$

Corollary 3.4. *Let $g(z)$ given by (1.3) be in the class $A_{\Sigma}^m(\lambda, \delta)$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$. Then,*

$$|b_{m+1}| \leq \frac{2\sqrt{1-\delta}}{\sqrt{\lambda^2(1+m)^2 + \lambda(m+1)(m-2) - (m+1) + 2}}$$

and

$$|b_{2m+1}| \leq \frac{2(m+1)(1-\delta)^2}{((m+1)\lambda - 1)^2} + \frac{2(1-\delta)}{(2m+1)\lambda - 1}.$$

The following classes $\Lambda_{\Sigma}^m(\lambda, \phi)$ and $\Lambda_{\Sigma}^m(\lambda, \delta)$ are defined as follows:

Definition 3.5. A function $g(z)$ given by (1.3) is said to be in the class $\Lambda_{\Sigma}^m(\lambda, \phi)$ if the following condition are fulfilled:

$$\left| \arg \left[\frac{z[g'(z)]^{\lambda}}{g(z)} \right] \right| < \frac{\phi\pi}{2} \quad z \in \mathbb{U}$$

and

$$\left| \arg \left[\frac{w[h'(w)]^{\lambda}}{h(w)} \right] \right| < \frac{\phi\pi}{2} \quad w \in \mathbb{U},$$

where $g(z) \in \Sigma_m$, $m \in \mathcal{N}$, $\lambda \geq 1$, $0 < \phi \leq 1$ and $h = g^{-1}$.

Definition 3.6. A function $g(z)$ given by (1.3) is said to be in the class $\Lambda_{\Sigma}^m(\lambda, \delta)$ if the following condition are fulfilled:

$$\Re \left[\frac{z[g'(z)]^{\lambda}}{g(z)} \right] > \delta \quad z \in \mathbb{U}$$

and

$$\Re \left[\frac{w[h'(w)]^{\lambda}}{h(w)} \right] > \delta \quad w \in \mathbb{U},$$

where $g(z) \in \Sigma_m$, $m \in \mathcal{N}$, $\lambda \geq 1$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$ and $h = g^{-1}$.

For $m = 1$ (*one-fold*) symmetric bi-univalent function, Theorems 2.2 and 3.2 gives Corollaries 3.7 and 3.8, respectively, which were investigated by Joshi and Yadav [10].

Corollary 3.7. Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\Lambda_{\Sigma}(\eta, \lambda, \phi)$, $0 < \phi \leq 1$.

Then

$$|b_2| \leq \frac{2\phi}{\sqrt{[(\eta + 1)^2 + \phi(\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2\lambda - 1) + 4\lambda(\lambda - \eta - 1)]}}$$

and

$$|b_3| \leq \frac{2\phi}{3\lambda - 2\eta - 1} + \frac{4\phi^2}{(2\lambda - \eta - 1)^2}.$$

Corollary 3.8. Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\Lambda_{\Sigma}(\eta, \lambda, \delta)$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$.

Then,

$$|b_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2(1 - \delta)}{\eta^2 + 2\lambda^2 - 2\lambda\eta - \lambda}}$$

and

$$|b_3| \leq \frac{4(1-\delta)^2}{(2\lambda-\eta-1)^2} + \frac{2(1-\delta)}{3\lambda-2\eta-1}.$$

Choosing $\eta = 0$ into Corollaries 3.9 and 3.10, we have:

Corollary 3.9. [9] Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\Lambda_\Sigma(\lambda, \phi)$, $0 < \phi \leq 1$.

Then

$$|b_2| \leq \frac{2\phi}{\sqrt{1 + \phi(2\lambda - 1) + 4\lambda(\lambda - 1)}}$$

and

$$|b_3| \leq \frac{2\phi}{3\lambda - 1} + \frac{4\phi^2}{(2\lambda - 1)^2}.$$

Corollary 3.10. [9] Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\Lambda_\Sigma(\lambda, \delta)$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$.

Then,

$$|b_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\delta)}{2\lambda^2 - \lambda}}$$

and

$$|b_3| \leq \frac{4(1-\delta)^2}{(2\lambda-1)^2} + \frac{2(1-\delta)}{3\lambda-1}.$$

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to express their sincere thanks to the referees of this paper for valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] R. M. Ali, S. K. Lee, V. Ravichandran and S. Supramaniam, Coefficient estimates for bi-univalent Ma-Minda starlike and convex functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 25 (2012), 344-351. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2011.09.012>
- [2] Ş. Altinkaya and S. Yalçın, On some subclasses of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, 2018. arXiv:1603.01120
- [3] K. O. Babalola, On λ -pseudo-starlike functions, *J. Class. Anal.* 3(2) (2013), 137-147. <https://doi.org/10.7153/jca-03-12>

- [4] D. A. Brannan and J. G. Clunie, *Aspects of Contemporary Complex Analysis*, Academic Press, London, 1980.
- [5] D. A. Brannan and T. S. Taha, On some classes of bi-univalent functions, *Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.* 31(2) (1986), 70-77.
- [6] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer, New York, 1983.
- [7] B. A. Frasin and M. K. Aouf, New subclasses of bi-univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 24 (2011), 1569-1573. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2011.03.048>
- [8] A. W. Goodman, An invitation to the study of univalent and multivalent functions, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 2 (1979), 163-186.
<https://doi.org/10.1155/S016117127900017X>
- [9] S. Joshi, S. Joshi and H. Pawar, On some subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with pseudo-starlike functions, *J. Egyptian Math. Soc.* 24 (2016), 522-525.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joems.2016.03.007>
- [10] S. B. Joshi and P. P. Yadav, Coefficient bounds for new subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with pseudo-starlike functions, *Ganita* 69(1) (2019), 67-74.
- [11] W. Koepf, Coefficients of symmetric functions of bounded boundary rotations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 105 (1989), 324-329.
<https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1989-0930244-7>
- [12] M. Lewin, On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 18 (1967), 63-68. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1967-0206255-1>
- [13] E. Netanyahu, The minimal distance of the image boundary from the origin and the second coefficient of a univalent function in $|z| < 1$, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 32 (1969), 100-112. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00247676>
- [14] A. B. Patil and U. H. Naik, Bounds on initial coefficients for a new subclass of bi-univalent functions, *New Trends Math. Sci.* 6(1) (2018), 85-90.
<https://doi.org/10.20852/ntmsci.2018.248>
- [15] Ch. Pommerenke, *Univalent Functions*, Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.

- [16] Ch. Pommerenke, On the coefficients of close-to-convex functions, *Michigan Math. J.* 9 (1962), 259-269. <https://doi.org/10.1307/mmj/1028998726>
- [17] S. Porwal and M. Darus, On a new subclass of bi-univalent functions, *J. Egyptian Math. Soc.* 21(3) (2013), 190-193.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joems.2013.02.007>
- [18] T. G. Shaba, On some new subclass of bi-univalent functions associated with the Opoola differential operator, *Open J. Math. Anal.* 4(2) (2020), 74-79.
<https://doi.org/10.30538/psrp-oma2020.0064>
- [19] T. G. Shaba, Certain new subclasses of m -fold symmetric bi-pseudo-starlike functions using Q -derivative operator, *Open J. Math. Anal.* 5(1) (2021), 42-50.
- [20] T. G. Shaba, Subclass of bi-univalent functions satisfying subordinate conditions defined by Frasin differential operator, *Turkish Journal of Inequalities* 4(2) (2020), 50-58.
- [21] T. G. Shaba, On some subclasses of bi-pseudo-starlike functions defined by Salagean differential operator, *Asia Pac. J. Math.* 8(6) (2021), 1-11.
<https://doi.org/10.28924/APJM/8-6>
- [22] H. M. Srivastava and D. Bansal, Coefficient estimates for a subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *J. Egyptian Math. Soc.* 23(2) (2015), 242-246.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joems.2014.04.002>
- [23] H. M. Srivastava, S. Gaboury and F. Ghanim, Coefficient estimates for some subclasses of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, *Acta Univ. Apulensis Math.* 41 (2015), 153-164. <https://doi.org/10.17114/j.aua.2015.41.12>
- [24] H. M. Srivastava, S. Gaboury and F. Ghanim, Initial coefficient estimates for some subclasses of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed.* 36(3) (2016), 863-871. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602\(16\)30045-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602(16)30045-5)
- [25] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra and P. Gochhayat, Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 23 (2010), 1188-1192.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2010.05.009>
- [26] H. M. Srivastava, S. Sivasubramanian and R. Sivakumar, Initial coefficient bounds for a subclass of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, *Tbilisi Math. J.* 7(2) (2014), 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.2478/tmj-2014-0011>

-
- [27] D. Styer and D. J. Wright, Results on bi-univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 82(2) (1981), 243-248. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1981-0609659-5>
- [28] D.-L. Tan, Coefficient estimates for bi-univalent functions, *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser.* 5 (1984), 559-568.
- [29] H. Tang, H. M. Srivastava, S. Sivasubramanian and P. Gurusamy, The Fekete-Szegő functional problems for some subclasses of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, *J. Math. Inequal.* 10(4) (2016), 1063-1092.
<https://doi.org/10.7153/jmi-10-85>

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted, use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, or format for any purpose, even commercially provided the work is properly cited.
