

The Fuzzy Interpolative Berinde Weak Mapping Theorem in Metric Space

Clement Boateng Ampadu

31 Carrolton Road, Boston, MA 02132-6303, USA e-mail: drampadu@hotmail.com

Abstract

Motivated by [1], this paper obtains a fuzzy fixed point variant of the interpolative Berinde weak mapping theorem of [2] in the setting of complete metric spaces.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Definition 1.1. Let X be a nonempty set. A function $d: X \times X \mapsto \mathbb{R}^+$ is called a metric if it satisfies the following conditions, for all $x, y, z \in X$,

(a) d(x, y) = 0 iff x = y;

(b)
$$d(x,y) = d(y,x);$$

(c) $d(x,z) \le d(x,y) + d(y,z)$.

Moreover, the pair (X, d) is called a metric space.

Definition 1.2. Let (X, d) be a metric space, and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X. We say

(a) $\{x_n\}$ is a convergent sequence iff there exists $x \in X$ such that for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $n(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \ge n(\epsilon)$, we have $d(x_n, x) < \epsilon$. In particular, we write $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$.

Received: September 9, 2020; Accepted: October 2, 2020

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

Keywords and phrases: fuzzy fixed point, interpolative Berinde weak mapping, fuzzy mapping, complete metric space.

(b) $\{x_n\}$ is called a Cauchy sequence iff for all $\epsilon > 0$ there is $n(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $m, n \ge n(\epsilon)$, we have, $d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$.

Definition 1.3. [3] Let (X, d) be a metric space. The Hausdorff metric on CB(X) induced by d is defined as

$$H(A,B) = \max\{\sup_{x \in A} d(x,B), sup_{y \in B} d(A,y)\}$$

for all $A, B \in CB(X)$, where CB(X) denotes the family of closed and bounded subsets of X and

$$d(x,B) = \inf\{d(x,a) : a \in B\}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Definition 1.4. [4] A fuzzy set in X is a function with domain X and values in [0, 1].

Notation 1.5. P(X) will denote the collection of all fuzzy sets in X.

Remark 1.6. If A is a fuzzy set and $x \in X$, then the function values A(x) is called the grade of membership of x in A.

Definition 1.7. The α -level set of a fuzzy set A, is denoted by $[A]_{\alpha}$, and is defined as

$$[A]_{\alpha} = \{x : A(x) \ge \alpha\}$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1]$,

$$[A]_0 = \overline{\{x : A(x) > 0\}}.$$

Definition 1.8. Let X be a nonempty set and Y be a metric space.

- (a) A mapping T is called a fuzzy mapping, if T is a mapping from X into P(X).
- (b) A fuzzy mapping T is a fuzzy subset on $X \times Y$ with membership function T(x)(y). T(x)(y) is the grade of membership of y in Tx.

Notation 1.9. The α -level set of Tx will be denoted $[Tx]_{\alpha}$.

Definition 1.10. A point $x \in X$ is called an α -fuzzy fixed point of a fuzzy mapping $T: X \mapsto P(X)$ if there exists $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ such that $x \in [Tx]_{\alpha}$.

Lemma 1.11. [4] Let A and B be nonempty closed and bounded subsets of a metric space (X, d). If $a \in A$, then $d(a, B) \leq H(A, B)$.

Lemma 1.12. [4] Let A and B be nonempty closed and bounded subsets of a metric sapce (X,d), and $0 < \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for any $a \in A$, there exists $b \in B$ such that $d(a,b) \leq H(A,B) + \alpha$.

2 Main Result

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, and $T : X \mapsto P(X)$ be a fuzzy mapping, and for $x \in X$, there exists $\alpha(x) \in (0, 1]$ satisfying the following conditions

$$H([Tx]_{\alpha(x)}, [Ty]_{\alpha(y)}) \le \lambda d(x, y)^{\frac{1}{2}} d(x, [Tx]_{\alpha(x)})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, $x, y \notin Fix(T) = \{x \in X : x \in [Tx]_{\alpha(x)}, \alpha(x) \in (0, 1]\}$. Then the α -fuzzy fixed point of T exists.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X such that $x_1 \in [Tx_0]_{\alpha(x_0)}$. By Lemma 1.12 there exists $x_2 \in [Tx_1]_{\alpha(x_1)}$ such that

$$d(x_1, x_2) \le H([Tx_0]_{\alpha(x_0)}, [Tx_1]_{\alpha(x_1)}) + \lambda$$

$$\le \lambda d(x_0, x_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} d(x_0, [Tx_0]_{\alpha(x_0)})^{\frac{1}{2}} + \lambda$$

$$= \lambda d(x_0, x_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} d(x_0, x_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \lambda$$

$$= \lambda d(x_0, x_1) + \lambda.$$

Similarly, there exists $x_3 \in [Tx_2]_{\alpha(x_2)}$ such that

$$d(x_{2}, x_{3}) \leq H([Tx_{1}]_{\alpha(x_{1})}, [Tx_{2}]_{\alpha(x_{2})}) + \lambda$$

$$\leq \lambda d(x_{1}, x_{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} d(x_{1}, [Tx_{1}]_{\alpha(x_{1})})^{\frac{1}{2}} + \lambda$$

$$= \lambda d(x_{1}, x_{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} d(x_{1}, x_{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} + \lambda$$

$$= \lambda d(x_{1}, x_{2}) + \lambda$$

$$\leq \lambda (\lambda d(x_{0}, x_{1}) + \lambda) + \lambda$$

$$= \lambda^{2} d(x_{0}, x_{1}) + \lambda^{2} + \lambda$$

$$\leq \lambda^{2} d(x_{0}, x_{1}) + 2\lambda^{2}.$$

Continuing the same way by induction, we obtain a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{n-1} \in [Tx_n]_{\alpha(x_n)}$ and $x_n \in [Tx_{n+1}]_{\alpha(x_{n+1})}$, and

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le \lambda^n d(x_0, x_1) + n\lambda^n.$$

Now for any positive integer m and n with m > n, we have

$$d(x_m, x_n) \leq d(x_m, x_{m+1}) + d(x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}) + \dots + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

$$\leq \lambda^m d(x_0, x_1) + m\lambda^m + \dots + \lambda^{n-1} d(x_0, x_1) + (n-1)\lambda^{n-1}$$

$$\leq \lambda^m (1 + \lambda + \dots + \lambda^{n-m-1}) d(x_0, x_1) + \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} i\lambda^i$$

$$\leq \frac{\lambda^m}{1 - \lambda} d(x_0, x_1) + \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} i\lambda^i.$$

Since $\lambda < 1$, by Cauchy root test $\sum i\lambda^i$ is convergent, which implies $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. As X is complete there exists $z \in X$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = z$.

Finally, we show existence of the α -fuzzy fixed point. Observe we have the following

$$d(z, [Tz]_{\alpha(z)}) \leq d(z, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, [Tz]_{\alpha(z)})$$

= $d(z, x_{n+1}) + H([Tx_n]_{\alpha(x_n)}, [Tz]_{\alpha(z)})$
 $\leq d(z, x_{n+1}) + \lambda d(x_n, z)^{\frac{1}{2}} d(x_n, [Tx_n]_{\alpha(x_n)})^{\frac{1}{2}}$
= $d(z, x_{n+1}) + \lambda d(x_n, z)^{\frac{1}{2}} d(x_n, x_{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$

Taking limit in the above, we get that

$$d(z, [Tz]_{\alpha(z)}) \le 0$$

which implies

$$z \in [Tz]_{\alpha(z)}.$$

Hence, it follows that $z \in X$ is an α -fuzzy fixed point of T, and the proof is finished.

3 Open Problem

We begin with the following

Definition 3.1. Let $S, T : X \mapsto P(X)$ be two fuzzy mappings and for $x \in X$, there exists $\alpha_S(x), \alpha_T(x) \in (0, 1]$. A point x is said to be an α -fuzzy common fixed point of S and T if $x \in [Sx]_{\alpha_S(x)} \cap [Tx]_{\alpha_T(x)}$.

The main goal is to prove or disprove the following

Conjecture 3.2. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Let $S, T : X \mapsto P(X)$ be two fuzzy mappings, and for $x \in X$, there exists $\alpha_S(x), \alpha_T(x) \in (0, 1]$ satisfying the following conditions

$$H([Tx]_{\alpha_T(x)}, [Sy]_{\alpha_S(y)}) \le \lambda d(x, y)^{\frac{1}{2}} d(x, [Tx]_{\alpha_T(x)})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, $x, y \notin Fix(S \cap T) = \{x \in X : x \in [Sx]_{\alpha_S(x)} \cap [Tx]_{\alpha_T(x)}\}$, where $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Then S and T have a α -fuzzy common fixed point.

References

- Wiyada Kumam, Pakeeta Sukprasert, Poom Kumam, Abdullah Shoaib, Aqeel Shahzad and Qasim Mahmood, Some fuzzy fixed point results for fuzzy mappings in complete b-metric spaces, Cogent Mathematics & Statistics 5(1) (2018), 1458933. https://doi.org/10.1080/25742558.2018.1458933
- [2] Clement Boateng Ampadu, Some fixed point theory results for the interpolative-Berinde weak operator, *Earthline Journal of Mathematical Sciences* 4(2) (2020), 253-271. https://doi.org/10.34198/ejms.4220.253271
- B. Nadler, Multi-valued contraction mappings, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 30 (1969), 475-488. https://doi.org/10.2140/pjm.1969.30.475
- [4] A. Azam, Fuzzy fixed points of fuzzy mappings via a rational inequality, *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics* 40(3) (2011), 421-431.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted, use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, or format for any purpose, even commercially provided the work is properly cited.