



The Interpolative Berinde Weak Mapping Theorem in η -Cone Pentagonal Metric Space

Clement Boateng Ampadu

31 Carrolton Road, Boston, MA 02132-6303, USA

e-mail: drampadu@hotmail.com

Abstract

In this paper we introduce a concept of η -cone pentagonal metric space, which combines the notions of cone pentagonal metric space [1], and η -cone metric space [2]. Moreover, a variant of the interpolative Berinde weak mapping theorem obtained in [3] is proved in this setting.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Definition 1.1. [4] Let E be a real Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and P be a subset of E . Then P is called a cone if and only if

- (a) P is closed, nonempty, and $P \neq \{\theta\}$, where θ is the zero vector in E ;
- (b) for any nonnegative real numbers a and b , and $x, y \in P$, we have $ax + by \in P$;
- (c) for $x \in P$, if $-x \in P$, then $x = \theta$.

Definition 1.2. [4] Given a cone P in a Banach space E , we define on E a partial order \preceq with respect to P by

$$x \preceq y \iff y - x \in \text{int}(P).$$

We shall write $x \prec y$ whenever $x \preceq y$ and $x \neq y$. While $x \ll y$ will stand for $y - x \in \text{Int}(P)$, where $\text{Int}(P)$ designates the interior of P .

Received: August 16, 2020; Accepted: September 19, 2020

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

Keywords and phrases: cone pentagonal metric space, fixed points, interpolative Berinde weak contraction mapping principle, ordered Banach space.

Copyright © 2021 the Author

Definition 1.3. [4] The cone P is said to be normal if there is a real number $C > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in E$, we have

$$\theta \preceq x \preceq y \implies \|x\| \leq C\|y\|.$$

The least positive number satisfying the above inequality is called the normal constant of P . In particular, we will say that P is a K -normal cone to indicate the fact that the normal constant is K .

Definition 1.4. [1] Let X be a nonempty set. Suppose the mapping $d : X \times X \mapsto E$ satisfies

- (a) $0 \leq d(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) = 0$ iff $x = y$;
- (b) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (c) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, w) + d(w, u) + d(u, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and for all distinct points $z, w, u \in X - \{x, y\}$ [pentagonal property].

Then d is called a cone pentagonal metric on X , and (X, d) is called a cone pentagonal metric space.

Example 1.5. [5] Let $X = \{r, s, t, u, v\}$, $E = \mathbb{R}^2$, and $P = \{(x, y) : x, y \geq 0\}$ be a cone in E . Define $d : X \times X \mapsto E$ as follows

$$d(x, x) = 0, \text{ for all } x \in X,$$

$$d(r, s) = d(s, r) = (4, 8),$$

$$d(r, t) = d(t, r) = d(t, u) = d(u, t) = d(s, t)$$

$$= d(t, s) = d(s, u) = d(u, s) = d(r, u) = d(u, r) = (1, 2),$$

$$d(r, v) = d(v, r) = d(s, v) = d(v, s) = d(t, v) = d(v, t) = d(u, v) = d(v, u) = (3, 6).$$

Then (X, d) is a complete cone pentagonal metric space, but not a complete cone rectangular metric space, as it lacks the triangular property:

$$\begin{aligned} (4, 8) &= d(r, s) > d(r, t) + d(t, u) + d(u, s) \\ &= (1, 2) + (1, 2) + (1, 2) = (3, 6) \end{aligned}$$

as $(4, 8) - (3, 6) = (1, 2) \in P$.

Definition 1.6. [2] Let X be a nonempty set and $\eta : X \times X \mapsto [1, \infty)$ be a map. A function $d_\eta : X \times X \mapsto E$ will be called an η -cone metric on X if

- (a) $\theta \preceq d_\eta(x, y)$ for all $x \in X$ and $d_\eta(x, y) = \theta$ iff $x = y$;
- (b) $d_\eta(x, y) = d_\eta(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (c) $d_\eta(x, z) \preceq \eta(x, z)[d_\eta(x, y) + d_\eta(y, z)]$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Moreover the pair (X, d_η) is called an η -cone metric space.

Remark 1.7. [2] If for all $x, y \in X$

- (a) $\eta(x, y) = 1$, then we obtain the definition of cone metric space [4].
- (b) $\eta(x, y) = L$, where $L \geq 1$, then we obtain the definition of cone metric type space [6].
- (c) $\eta(x, y) = C$, where $C \geq 1$, $E = \mathbb{R}$ and $P = [0, \infty)$, then we obtain the definition of metric type space [7].

Example 1.8. [2] Let $E = \mathbb{R}^2$, $P = \{(x, y) \in E : x, y \geq 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ and $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Let $\alpha \geq 0$ be a constant and define $\eta : X \times X \mapsto [1, \infty)$ and $d_\eta : X \times X \mapsto E$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(x, y) &= 1 + x + y, \\ d_\eta(1, 1) &= d_\eta(2, 2) = d_\eta(3, 3) = (0, 0), \\ d_\eta(1, 2) &= d_\eta(2, 1) = 80(1, \alpha), \\ d_\eta(1, 3) &= d_\eta(3, 1) = 1000(1, \alpha), \\ d_\eta(2, 3) &= d_\eta(3, 2) = 600(1, \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Then (X, d_η) is an η -cone metric space.

Now we introduce the following

Definition 1.9. Let X be a nonempty set and $\eta : X \times X \mapsto [1, \infty)$ be a map. A function $d_\eta : X \times X \mapsto E$ will be called an η -cone pentagonal metric on X if

- (a) $\theta \preceq d_\eta(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d_\eta(x, y) = \theta$ iff $x = y$;
- (b) $d_\eta(x, y) = d_\eta(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (c) $d_\eta(x, y) \leq \eta(x, y)[d_\eta(x, w) + d_\eta(w, z) + d_\eta(z, v) + d_\eta(v, y)]$ for all $x, y \in X$ and for all distinct points $w, z, v \in X - \{x, y\}$.

Moreover the pair (X, d_η) will be called an η -cone pentagonal metric space.

Example 1.10. Let $X = \{r, s, t, u, v\}$, $E = \mathbb{R}^2$, and $P = \{(x, y) : x, y \geq 0\}$ be a cone in E . Define $d_\eta : X \times X \mapsto E$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} d_\eta(x, x) &= (0, 0), \text{ for all } x \in X, \\ d_\eta(r, s) &= d_\eta(s, r) = (4, 8), \\ d_\eta(r, t) &= d_\eta(t, r) = d_\eta(t, u) = d_\eta(u, t) = d_\eta(s, t) = d_\eta(t, s) = d_\eta(s, u) = d_\eta(u, s) \\ &= d_\eta(r, u) = d_\eta(u, r) = (1, 2), \\ d_\eta(r, v) &= d_\eta(v, r) = d_\eta(s, v) = d_\eta(v, s) = d_\eta(t, v) = d_\eta(v, t) \\ &= d_\eta(u, v) = d_\eta(v, u) = (3, 6). \end{aligned}$$

Also define $\eta : X \times X \mapsto [1, \infty)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(x, x) &= 0, \text{ for all } x \in X, \\ \eta(r, s) &= \eta(s, r) = \frac{1}{2}, \\ \eta(r, t) &= \eta(t, r) = \eta(t, u) = \eta(u, t) = \eta(s, t) = \eta(t, s) = \eta(s, u) = \eta(u, s) \\ &= \eta(r, u) = \eta(u, r) = \frac{1}{11}, \\ \eta(r, v) &= \eta(v, r) = \eta(s, v) = \eta(v, s) = \eta(t, v) = \eta(v, t) = \eta(u, v) \\ &= \eta(v, u) = \frac{1}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

By definition of d_η , it is trivial to check Definition 1.9(a), and Definition 1.9(b). Now we check Definition 1.9(c). Obviously we have the following cases

Case 1: (x, x) for all $x \in X$

In this case it is enough to check

$$d_\eta(r, r) \leq \eta(r, r)[d_\eta(r, s) + d_\eta(s, t) + d_\eta(t, u) + d_\eta(u, r)].$$

Since $d_\eta(r, r) = (0, 0)$ and $\eta(r, r) = 0$, we have equality. In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (0, 0) &= d_\eta(r, r) \leq \eta(r, r)[d_\eta(r, s) + d_\eta(s, t) + d_\eta(t, u) + d_\eta(u, r)] \\ &= 0[d_\eta(r, s) + d_\eta(s, t) + d_\eta(t, u) + d_\eta(u, r)] = (0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $(r, s) = (s, r)$

In this case it is enough to check

$$d_\eta(r, s) \leq \eta(r, s)[d_\eta(r, t) + d_\eta(t, u) + d_\eta(u, v) + d_\eta(v, s)].$$

In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4, 8) &= d_\eta(r, s) \\ &\leq \eta(r, s)[d_\eta(r, t) + d_\eta(t, u) + d_\eta(u, v) + d_\eta(v, s)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[(1, 2) + (1, 2) + (3, 6) + (3, 6)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(8, 16) = (4, 8). \end{aligned}$$

Case 3: $(r, t) = (t, r) = (t, u) = (u, t) = (s, t) = (t, s) = (s, u) = (u, s) = (r, u) = (u, r)$

In this case it is enough to check

$$d_\eta(r, t) \leq \eta(r, t)[d_\eta(r, s) + d_\eta(s, u) + d_\eta(u, v) + d_\eta(v, t)].$$

In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1, 2) &= d_\eta(r, t) \\ &\leq \eta(r, t)[d_\eta(r, s) + d_\eta(s, u) + d_\eta(u, v) + d_\eta(v, t)] \\ &= \frac{1}{11}[(4, 8) + (1, 2) + (3, 6) + (3, 6)] = \frac{1}{11}(11, 22) = (1, 2). \end{aligned}$$

Case 4: $(r, v) = (v, r) = (s, v) = (v, s) = (t, v) = (v, t) = (u, v) = (v, u)$

In this case it is enough to check that

$$d_\eta(r, v) \leq \eta(r, v)[d_\eta(r, s) + d_\eta(s, t) + d_\eta(t, u) + d_\eta(u, v)].$$

In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3, 6) &= d_\eta(r, v) \\ &\leq \eta(r, v)[d_\eta(r, s) + d_\eta(s, t) + d_\eta(t, u) + d_\eta(u, v)] \\ &= \frac{1}{3}[(4, 8) + (1, 2) + (1, 2) + (3, 6)] = \frac{1}{3}(9, 18) = (3, 6). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that (X, d_η) is an η -cone pentagonal metric space. Note that (X, d_η) is not an η -cone rectangular metric space, as it lacks Definition 1.9(c) [8]. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} (4, 8) &= d_\eta(r, s) > \eta(r, s)[d_\eta(r, r) + d_\eta(r, t) + d_\eta(t, s)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[(0, 0) + (1, 2) + (1, 2)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(2, 4) = (1, 2) \end{aligned}$$

as $(4, 8) - (1, 2) = (3, 6) \in P$.

Definition 1.11. Let (X, d_η) be a η -cone pentagonal metric space. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in (X, d_η) and $x \in X$. If for every $c \in E$ with $0 \ll c$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > n_0$, $d_\eta(x_n, c) \ll c$, then we say $\{x_n\}$ is convergent, and $\{x_n\}$ converges to x . Moreover, x will be called the limit of $\{x_n\}$. We sometimes write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$, or $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 1.12. Let (X, d_η) be a η -cone pentagonal metric space. If for every $c \in E$, with $0 \ll c$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > n_0$, $d_\eta(x_n, x) \ll c$, then we say $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, d_η) .

Definition 1.13. Let (X, d_η) be a η -cone pentagonal metric space. If every Cauchy sequence is convergent in (X, d_η) , then (X, d_η) will be called a complete η -cone pentagonal metric space.

Notation 1.14. [1] Let P be a cone as defined in this paper. Φ will denote the set of all nondecreasing continuous functions $\varphi : P \mapsto P$ satisfying

$$(a) \quad 0 < \varphi(t) < t \text{ for all } t \in P \setminus \{0\};$$

$$(b) \quad \text{the series } \sum_{n \geq 0} \varphi^n(t) \text{ converges for all } t \in P \setminus \{0\}.$$

Note that from (a), we have $\varphi(0) = 0$, and from (b) we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(t) = 0$ for all $t \in P \setminus \{0\}$.

Definition 1.15. Let (X, d_η) be a η -cone pentagonal metric space. A map $T : X \mapsto X$ will be called an (alternate) Interpolative Berinde Weak operator if it satisfies

$$d_\eta(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda d_\eta(x, y)^{\frac{1}{2}} d_\eta(x, Tx)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, for all $x, y \in X$, $x, y \notin \text{Fix}(T)$, where $\text{Fix}(T) = \{x \in X : Tx = x\}$.

2 Main Results

Our main result is as follows

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, d_η) be a η -cone pentagonal metric space. Suppose $T : X \mapsto X$ satisfies

$$d_\eta(Tx, Ty) \leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} d_\eta(x, y)^{\frac{1}{2}} d_\eta(x, Tx)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, $x, y \notin \text{Fix}(T)$, and $\varphi \in \Phi$. If (X, d_η) is complete and

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \eta(x_0, x_m)$$

exists and is finite, then the fixed point of T exists.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X . Define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X by $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Assume that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now observe from the inequality of the theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_\eta(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d_\eta(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \\
 &\leq \varphi\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} d_\eta(x_{n-1}, x_n)^{\frac{1}{2}} d_\eta(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
 &= \varphi\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} d_\eta(x_{n-1}, x_n)^{\frac{1}{2}} d_\eta(x_{n-1}, x_n)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
 &< \varphi\left(d_\eta(x_{n-1}, x_n)\right) \\
 &= \varphi\left(d_\eta(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1})\right) \\
 &\leq \varphi\left(\varphi\left(d_\eta(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})\right)\right) \\
 &= \varphi^2\left(d_\eta(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})\right) \\
 &\vdots \\
 &\leq \varphi^n\left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1)\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now observe we have the following

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_\eta(x_n, x_{n+2}) &= d_\eta(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n+1}) \\
 &\leq \varphi\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} d_\eta(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} d_\eta(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
 &= \varphi\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} d_\eta(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} d_\eta(x_{n-1}, x_n)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
 &\leq \varphi\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} d_\eta(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{1}{2}} d_\eta(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
 &= \varphi\left(d_\eta(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})\right) \\
 &= \varphi\left(d_\eta(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_n)\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \varphi\left(\varphi\left(d_\eta(x_{n-2}, x_n)\right)\right) \\
 &= \varphi^2\left(d_\eta(x_{n-2}, x_n)\right) \\
 &\vdots \\
 &\leq \varphi^n\left(d_\eta(x_0, x_2)\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Further we deduce the following

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_\eta(x_n, x_{n+3}) &= d_\eta(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n+2}) \\
 &\leq \varphi\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} d_\eta(x_{n-1}, x_{n+2})^{\frac{1}{2}} d_\eta(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
 &= \varphi\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} d_\eta(x_{n-1}, x_{n+2})^{\frac{1}{2}} d_\eta(x_{n-1}, x_n)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
 &\leq \varphi\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} d_\eta(x_{n-1}, x_{n+2})^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{1}{2}} d_\eta(x_{n-1}, x_{n+2})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
 &= \varphi\left(d_\eta(x_{n-1}, x_{n+2})\right) \\
 &= \varphi\left(d_\eta(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n+1})\right) \\
 &\leq \varphi\left(\varphi\left(d_\eta(x_{n-2}, x_{n+1})\right)\right) \\
 &= \varphi^2\left(d_\eta(x_{n-2}, x_{n+1})\right) \\
 &\vdots \\
 &\leq \varphi^n\left(d_\eta(x_0, x_3)\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ we have

$$d_\eta(x_n, x_{n+3k+1}) \leq \varphi^n\left(d_\eta(x_0, x_{3k+1})\right)$$

$$d_\eta(x_n, x_{n+3k+2}) \leq \varphi^n \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_{3k+2}) \right)$$

$$d_\eta(x_n, x_{n+3k+3}) \leq \varphi^n \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_{3k+3}) \right).$$

Since $d_\eta(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \varphi^n \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) \right)$, we deduce the following using the η -cone pentagonal property

$$d_\eta(x_0, x_4) \leq \eta(x_0, x_4) [d_\eta(x_0, x_1) + d_\eta(x_1, x_2) + d_\eta(x_2, x_3) + d_\eta(x_3, x_4)]$$

$$\leq \eta(x_0, x_4) \left[d_\eta(x_0, x_1) + \varphi \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) \right) + \varphi^2 \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) \right) + \varphi^3 \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) \right) \right]$$

$$\leq \eta(x_0, x_4) \left[\sum_{i=0}^3 \varphi^i \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) \right) \right].$$

Similarly, we can show the following

$$d_\eta(x_0, x_7) \leq \eta(x_0, x_7) \left[\sum_{i=0}^6 \varphi^i \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) \right) \right].$$

By induction for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, we have

$$d_\eta(x_0, x_{3k+1}) \leq \eta(x_0, x_{3k+1}) \left[\sum_{i=0}^{3k} \varphi^i \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) \right) \right].$$

Since $d_\eta(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \varphi^n \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) \right)$ and $d_\eta(x_n, x_{n+2}) \leq \varphi^n \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_2) \right)$, we deduce the following using the η -cone pentagonal property

$$d_\eta(x_0, x_5) \leq \eta(x_0, x_5) [d_\eta(x_0, x_1) + d_\eta(x_1, x_2) + d_\eta(x_2, x_3) + d_\eta(x_3, x_5)]$$

$$\leq \eta(x_0, x_5) \left[d_\eta(x_0, x_1) + \varphi \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) \right) + \varphi^2 \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) \right) + \varphi^3 \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_2) \right) \right]$$

$$\leq \eta(x_0, x_5) \left[\sum_{i=0}^2 \varphi^i \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) \right) + \varphi^3 \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_2) \right) \right].$$

Similarly, we can show the following

$$d\eta(x_0, x_8) \leq \eta(x_0, x_8) \left[\sum_{i=0}^5 \varphi^i \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) \right) + \varphi^6 \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_2) \right) \right].$$

By induction for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, we have

$$d\eta(x_0, x_{3k+2}) \leq \eta(x_0, x_{3k+2}) \left[\sum_{i=0}^{3k-1} \varphi^i \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) \right) + \varphi^{3k} \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_2) \right) \right].$$

Since $d_\eta(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \varphi^n \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) \right)$ and $d_\eta(x_n, x_{n+3}) \leq \varphi^n \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_3) \right)$, we deduce the following using the η -cone pentagonal property

$$\begin{aligned} d\eta(x_0, x_6) &\leq \eta(x_0, x_6) [d\eta(x_0, x_1) + d\eta(x_1, x_2) + d\eta(x_2, x_3) + d\eta(x_3, x_6)] \\ &\leq \eta(x_0, x_6) \left[d\eta(x_0, x_1) + \varphi \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) \right) + \varphi^2 \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) \right) + \varphi^3 \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_3) \right) \right] \\ &\leq \eta(x_0, x_6) \left[\sum_{i=0}^2 \varphi^i \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) \right) + \varphi^3 \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_3) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show the following

$$d\eta(x_0, x_9) \leq \eta(x_0, x_9) \left[\sum_{i=0}^5 \varphi^i \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) \right) + \varphi^6 \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_3) \right) \right].$$

By induction for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, we have

$$d\eta(x_0, x_{3k+3}) \leq \eta(x_0, x_{3k+3}) \left[\sum_{i=0}^{3k-1} \varphi^i \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) \right) + \varphi^{3k} \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_3) \right) \right].$$

Since

$$d_\eta(x_n, x_{n+3k+1}) \leq \varphi^n \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_{3k+1}) \right)$$

and

$$d\eta(x_0, x_{3k+1}) \leq \eta(x_0, x_{3k+1}) \left[\sum_{i=0}^{3k} \varphi^i \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) \right) \right],$$

we deduce the following

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_\eta(x_n, x_{n+3k+1}) &\leq \varphi^n \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_{3k+1}) \right) \\
 &\leq \varphi^n \left(\eta(x_0, x_{3k+1}) \left[\sum_{i=0}^{3k} \varphi^i \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) \right) \right] \right) \\
 &\leq \varphi^n \left(\eta(x_0, x_{3k+1}) \left[\sum_{i=0}^{3k} \varphi^i \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) + d_\eta(x_0, x_2) + d_\eta(x_0, x_3) \right) \right] \right) \\
 &\leq \varphi^n \left(\eta(x_0, x_{3k+1}) \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varphi^i \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) + d_\eta(x_0, x_2) + d_\eta(x_0, x_3) \right) \right] \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $d_\eta(x_n, x_{n+3k+2}) \leq \varphi^n \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_{3k+2}) \right)$ and

$$d_\eta(x_0, x_{3k+2}) \leq \eta(x_0, x_{3k+2}) \left[\sum_{i=0}^{3k-1} \varphi^i \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) \right) + \varphi^{3k} \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_2) \right) \right],$$

we deduce the following

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_\eta(x_n, x_{n+3k+2}) &\leq \varphi^n \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_{3k+2}) \right) \\
 &\leq \varphi^n \left(\eta(x_0, x_{3k+2}) \left[\sum_{i=0}^{3k-1} \varphi^i \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) \right) + \varphi^{3k} \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_2) \right) \right] \right) \\
 &\leq \varphi^n \left(\eta(x_0, x_{3k+2}) \left[\sum_{i=0}^{3k-1} \varphi^i \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) + d_\eta(x_0, x_2) + d_\eta(x_0, x_3) \right) \right] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \varphi^{3k} \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) + d_\eta(x_0, x_2) + d_\eta(x_0, x_3) \right) \right] \right) \\
 &\leq \varphi^n \left(\eta(x_0, x_{3k+2}) \left[\sum_{i=0}^{3k} \varphi^i \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) + d_\eta(x_0, x_2) + d_\eta(x_0, x_3) \right) \right] \right) \\
 &\leq \varphi^n \left(\eta(x_0, x_{3k+2}) \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varphi^i \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) + d_\eta(x_0, x_2) + d_\eta(x_0, x_3) \right) \right] \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$d_\eta(x_n, x_{n+3k+3}) \leq \varphi^n \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_{3k+3}) \right)$$

and

$$d_\eta(x_0, x_{3k+3}) \leq \eta(x_0, x_{3k+3}) \left[\sum_{i=0}^{3k-1} \varphi^i \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) \right) + \varphi^{3k} \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_3) \right) \right],$$

for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ we can show the following

$$d_\eta(x_n, x_{n+3k+3}) \leq \varphi^n \left(\eta(x_0, x_{3k+3}) \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varphi^i \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) + d_\eta(x_0, x_2) + d_\eta(x_0, x_3) \right) \right] \right).$$

Consequently it follows for each m , we have the following

$$d_\eta(x_n, x_{n+m}) \leq \varphi^n \left(\eta(x_0, x_m) \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varphi^i \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) + d_\eta(x_0, x_2) + d_\eta(x_0, x_3) \right) \right] \right).$$

Since $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varphi^i \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) + d_\eta(x_0, x_2) + d_\eta(x_0, x_3) \right)$ is convergent, where

$$d_\eta(x_0, x_1) + d_\eta(x_0, x_2) + d_\eta(x_0, x_3) \in P \setminus \{0\}$$

P is closed, then $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varphi^i \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) + d_\eta(x_0, x_2) + d_\eta(x_0, x_3) \right) \in P \setminus \{0\}$. Since $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \eta(x_0, x_m)$ exists and is finite, then

$$0 = \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n \left(\eta(x_0, x_m) \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varphi^i \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) + d_\eta(x_0, x_2) + d_\eta(x_0, x_3) \right) \right] \right).$$

So given $0 \ll c$, we can find natural number N_1 such that

$$\varphi^n \left(\eta(x_0, x_m) \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varphi^i \left(d_\eta(x_0, x_1) + d_\eta(x_0, x_2) + d_\eta(x_0, x_3) \right) \right] \right) \ll c$$

for all $n \geq N_1$. Consequently,

$$d_\eta(x_n, x_{n+m}) \ll c \text{ for all } n \geq N_1.$$

Therefore $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since X is complete, there is a point $z \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_{n-1} = z.$$

Finally we show existence of the fixed point, that is, $Tz = z$. Since $0 \ll c$, we can choose natural numbers N_2, N_3, N_4 such that $d_\eta(z, x_n) \ll \frac{c}{4\eta(Tz, z)}$, for all $n \geq N_2$, $d_\eta(x_{n+1}, x_n) \ll \frac{c}{4\eta(Tz, z)}$, for all $n \geq N_3$, and $d_\eta(x_{n-1}, z) \ll \frac{c}{4\eta(Tz, z)}$, for all $n \geq N_4$. Since $x_n \neq x_m$ for $n \neq m$, therefore by η -cone pentagonal property, we deduce the following

$$\begin{aligned} d_\eta(Tz, z) &\leq \eta(Tz, z)[d_\eta(Tz, Tx_n) + d_\eta(Tx_n, Tx_{n-1}) + d_\eta(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-2}) + d_\eta(Tx_{n-2}, z)] \\ &\leq \eta(Tz, z)[d_\eta(Tz, Tx_n) + d_\eta(x_{n+1}, x_n) + d_\eta(x_n, x_{n-1}) + d_\eta(x_{n-1}, z)]. \end{aligned}$$

By the inequality of the theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tz, Tx_n) &\leq \varphi\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} d_\eta(z, x_n)^{\frac{1}{2}} d_\eta(z, Tz)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\ &\leq \varphi\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} d_\eta(z, x_n)^{\frac{1}{2}} (d_\eta(z, x_n) + d_\eta(x_n, Tz))^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\ &\leq \varphi\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} d_\eta(z, x_n)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2d_\eta(z, x_n))^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\ &\leq \varphi(d_\eta(z, x_n)) \\ &< d_\eta(z, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} d_\eta(Tz, z) &\leq \eta(Tz, z)[d_\eta(Tz, Tx_n) + d_\eta(Tx_n, Tx_{n-1}) + d_\eta(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-2}) + d_\eta(Tx_{n-2}, z)] \\ &\leq \eta(Tz, z)[d_\eta(Tz, Tx_n) + d_\eta(x_{n+1}, x_n) + d_\eta(x_n, x_{n-1}) + d_\eta(x_{n-1}, z)] \\ &\leq \eta(Tz, z)[d_\eta(z, x_n) + d_\eta(x_{n+1}, x_n) + d_\eta(x_n, x_{n-1}) + d_\eta(x_{n-1}, z)]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$d_\eta(Tz, z) \ll \eta(Tz, z)\left[4 \cdot \frac{c}{4\eta(Tz, z)}\right] \ll c$$

for all $n \geq N$, where $N := \max\{N_2, N_3, N_4\}$. Since c is arbitrary, we have $d_\eta(Tz, z) \ll \frac{c}{m}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\frac{c}{m} \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that $\frac{c}{m} - d_\eta(Tz, z) \rightarrow -d_\eta(Tz, z)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Since P is closed, $-d_\eta(Tz, z) \in P$. Hence $d_\eta(Tz, z) \in P \cap (-P)$. By definition of cone, we have $d_\eta(Tz, z) = 0$. So the fixed point exists, and the proof is finished. \square

3 Open Problems

To conclude this paper, we suggest some unsolved problems

Conjecture 3.1. *Let (X, d_η) be a η -cone pentagonal metric space. Suppose $T : X \mapsto X$ satisfies the following for some positive integer m*

$$d_\eta(T^m x, T^m y) \leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} d_\eta(x, y)^{\frac{1}{2}} d_\eta(x, T^m x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, $x, y \notin \text{Fix}(T)$, and $\varphi \in \Phi$. If (X, d_η) is complete and

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \eta(x_0, x_m)$$

exists and is finite, then the fixed point of T exists.

Conjecture 3.2. *Let (X, d_η) be a η -cone pentagonal metric space. Suppose $T : X \mapsto X$ satisfies the following*

$$d_\eta(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda d_\eta(x, y)^{\frac{1}{2}} d_\eta(x, Tx)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, $x, y \notin \text{Fix}(T)$, and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. If (X, d_η) is complete and

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \eta(x_0, x_m)$$

exists and is finite, then the fixed point of T exists.

Conjecture 3.3. *Theorem 2.1 holds in η -cone rectangular metric space [8].*

References

- [1] Abba Auwalu, Banach fixed point theorem in a cone pentagonal metric spaces, *Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology* 7(2) (2016), 60-67.
<https://doi.org/10.20454/jast.2016.1019>
- [2] Yaé Ulrich Gaba, η -metric structures, 2017. arXiv:1709.07690 [math.GN]
- [3] Clement Boateng Ampadu, Some fixed point theory results for the interpolative Berinde weak operator, *Earthline Journal of Mathematical Sciences* 4(2) (2020), 253-271.
<https://doi.org/10.34198/ejms.4220.253271>
- [4] L.-G. Huang and X. Zhang, Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 332(2) (2007), 1468-1476. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2005.03.087>
- [5] Abba Auwalu and Evren Hıçal, Common fixed points of two maps in cone pentagonal metric spaces, *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 12(3) (2016), 2423-2435.
- [6] A.S. Cvetković, M.P. Stanić, S. Dimitrijević and S. Simić, Common fixed point theorems for four mappings on cone metric type space, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2011, Art. ID 589725, 15 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/589725>
- [7] M.A. Khamsi, Remarks on cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, *Fixed Point Theory and Application* 2010, Art. ID 315398, 7 pp.
<https://doi.org/10.1155/2010/315398>
- [8] Clement Boateng Ampadu, Banach contraction mapping theorem in η -cone rectangular metric space, *Fundamental Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences* 13(1) (2020), 23-34.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted, use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, or format for any purpose, even commercially provided the work is properly cited.
