

Topological Properties for Harmonic τ -Uniformly Convex Functions of Order ρ Associated with Wanas Differential Operator

Abbas Kareem Wanas

Abstract

The purpose of the present paper is to establish some topological properties for a certain family of harmonic τ -uniformly convex functions of order ρ associated with Wanas differential operator defined in the open unit disk U .

1. Introduction

A continuous function $f = u + iv$ is a complex valued harmonic function in a complex domain \mathbb{C} , if both u and v are real harmonic in \mathbb{C} . In any simply connected domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$, we can write $f = h + \bar{g}$, where h and g are analytic in D . We call h the analytic part and g the co-analytic part of f . A necessary and sufficient condition for f to be locally univalent and sense-preserving in D is that $|h'(z)| > |g'(z)|$ in D (see Clunie and Sheil-Small [6]).

Denote by \mathcal{H} the family of harmonic functions in the open unit disk $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Let $S_{\mathcal{H}}$ indicate the family of functions $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{H}$ which are univalent and sense-preserving in the open unit disk U and normalized by $f(0) = f_z(0) - 1 = 0$. Each $f \in S_{\mathcal{H}}$ can be expressed as

$$f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)}, \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n.$$

Received: May 19, 2020; Accepted: June 17, 2020

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C55, 30C45.

Keywords and phrases: harmonic function, uniformly convex function, extreme points, closed convex hull, compact set.

Also note that \mathcal{H} reduces to the family \mathcal{A} of analytic functions in U if co-analytic part of f is identically zero.

A function $f \in S_{\mathcal{H}}$ is said to be *harmonic starlike* in $U(r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < r\}$, if (see [11])

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\arg \left(f(re^{it}) \right) \right) = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zh'(z) - \overline{z}g'(z)}{h(z) + \overline{g(z)}} \right\} > 0, \quad (0 \leq t \leq 2\pi)$$

i.e., f maps the circle $\partial U(r)$ onto a closed curve that is starlike with respect to the origin.

We consider the usual topology on \mathcal{H} defined by a metric in which a sequence $\{f_n\}$ in \mathcal{H} converges to f if and only if it converges to f uniformly on each compact subset of U . It follows from the theorems of Weierstrass and Montel that this topological space is complete.

Let \mathcal{M} be a sub-family of the set \mathcal{H} . A function $f \in \mathcal{M}$ is called an *extreme point* of \mathcal{M} if the condition $f = \lambda f_1 + (1 - \lambda)f_2$ ($f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{M}$; $0 < \lambda < 1$) implies $f_1 = f_2 = f$.

We denote by EM the set of all extreme points of \mathcal{M} . It is clear that $EM \subset \mathcal{M}$.

A family \mathcal{M} is *locally uniformly bounded* if for each r ($0 < r < 1$), there is a real constant $V = V(r)$ so that $|f(z)| \leq V$ ($f \in \mathcal{M}$; $|z| \leq r$).

A family \mathcal{M} is *convex* if $\gamma f_1 + (1 - \gamma)f_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ ($f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{M}$; $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$).

Moreover, we define the closed convex hull of \mathcal{M} as the intersection of all closed convex subsets of \mathcal{H} (with respect to the topology of locally uniform convergence) that contain \mathcal{M} . We denote the closed convex hull of \mathcal{M} by $\overline{co} \mathcal{M}$.

A real-valued functional $F : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called *convex* on a convex family $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{H}$ if

$$F(\gamma f_1 + (1 - \gamma)f_2) \leq \gamma F(f_1) + (1 - \gamma)F(f_2) \quad (f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{M}; 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1).$$

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta > 0$, $m, q \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and for analytic part $h \in \mathcal{A}$, Wanas [15] introduced an operator (so-called Wanas operator) $W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, defined by

$$W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)]^q a_n z^n, \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{m=1}^k \binom{k}{m} (-1)^{m+1} \left(\frac{\alpha^m + n\beta^m}{\alpha^m + \beta^m} \right).$$

Special cases of this operator can be found in [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 10, 12, 13, 14]. For more details see [16].

Now, we extended this operator on the family of harmonic functions. For $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{H}$, we define the Wanas differential operator $W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ as follows:

$$W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} f(z) = W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} h(z) + (-1)^q \overline{W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} g(z)},$$

where $W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} h(z)$ is defined by (1.2) and

$$W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} g(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)]^q b_n z^n.$$

We denote by $WS_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q)$ the family of all functions of the form (1.1) that satisfy the condition:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z \left(W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} h(z) + (-1)^q \overline{W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} g(z)} \right)''}{\left(W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} h(z) + (-1)^q \overline{W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} g(z)} \right)' } + 1 \right\} > \tau \left| \frac{z \left(W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} h(z) + (-1)^q \overline{W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} g(z)} \right)''}{\left(W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} h(z) + (-1)^q \overline{W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} g(z)} \right)' } \right| + \rho, \quad (1.3)$$

where $0 \leq \rho < 1$; $\tau \geq 0$ and $z \in U$.

Also denote by $T_{\mathcal{H}}$ the sub-family of $S_{\mathcal{H}}$ containing of all functions $f = h + \bar{g}$, where h and g are given by

$$h(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n, \quad g(z) = (-1)^q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |b_n| z^n. \quad (1.4)$$

It is easily verified that if $f \in T_{\mathcal{H}}$, we also have

$$W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q |a_n| z^n + (-1)^q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q |b_n| (\bar{z})^n.$$

Moreover, let $WT_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q)$ be the sub-family of $WS_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q)$, where

$$WT_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q) = T_{\mathcal{H}} \cap WS_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q).$$

We now recall the following lemmas that will be used to prove our main results.

Lemma 1.1 [7]. Let \mathcal{M} be a nonempty compact convex subset of the family \mathcal{H} and $F : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a real-valued, continuous and convex functional on \mathcal{M} . Then

$$\max\{F(f) : f \in \mathcal{M}\} = \max\{F(f) : f \in EM\}.$$

Lemma 1.2 [9]. A family $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is compact if and only if \mathcal{M} is closed and locally uniformly bounded.

2. A Set of Main Results

In the first theorem, we determine the sufficient condition for $f = h + \bar{g}$ to be in the family $WS_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q)$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f = h + \bar{g}$ with h and g are given by (1.1). If

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n - \rho + (n - 1)\tau) |\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q (|a_n| + |b_n|) \leq 1 - \rho, \quad (2.1)$$

where $0 \leq \rho < 1, \tau \geq 0$, then f is harmonic univalent in U and $f \in WS_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q)$.

Proof. For proving $f \in WS_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q)$, we must show that (1.3) holds true. Using the fact that $Re\{w\} \geq \tau$ if and only if $|1 - \tau + w| \geq |1 + \tau - w|$, it suffices to show that

$$Re \left\{ \left(\frac{z \left(W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} h(z) + (-1)^q \overline{W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} g(z)} \right)''}{\left(W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} h(z) + (-1)^q \overline{W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} g(z)} \right)'} + 1 \right) (1 + \tau e^{i\theta}) - \tau e^{i\theta} \right\} > \rho \quad (-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi),$$

or equivalently

$$Re \left\{ \frac{(1 + \tau e^{i\theta}) \left(z \left(W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} h(z) + (-1)^q \overline{W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} g(z)} \right)'' + \left(W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} h(z) + (-1)^q \overline{W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} g(z)} \right)' \right)}{\left(W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} h(z) + (-1)^q \overline{W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} g(z)} \right)'} - \frac{\tau e^{i\theta} \left(W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} h(z) + (-1)^q \overline{W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} g(z)} \right)'}{\left(W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} h(z) + (-1)^q \overline{W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} g(z)} \right)'} \right\} > \rho. \quad (2.2)$$

If we put

$$A(z) = (1 + \tau e^{i\theta}) \left(z \left(W_{\alpha,\beta}^{k,q} h(z) + (-1)^q \overline{W_{\alpha,\beta}^{k,q} g(z)} \right)'' + \left(W_{\alpha,\beta}^{k,q} h(z) + (-1)^q \overline{W_{\alpha,\beta}^{k,q} g(z)} \right)' \right) - \tau e^{i\theta} \left(W_{\alpha,\beta}^{k,q} h(z) + (-1)^q \overline{W_{\alpha,\beta}^{k,q} g(z)} \right)'$$

and

$$B(z) = \left(W_{\alpha,\beta}^{k,q} h(z) + (-1)^q \overline{W_{\alpha,\beta}^{k,q} g(z)} \right)'$$

We only need to prove that

$$|A(z) + (1 - \rho)B(z)| - |A(z) - (1 + \rho)B(z)| \geq 0.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} & |A(z) + (1 - \rho)B(z)| \\ = & \left| (1 + \tau e^{i\theta}) \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) [\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)]^q a_n z^{n-1} + (-1)^q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) [\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)]^q \overline{b_n} \overline{z}^{n-1} \right) \right. \\ & + 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n [\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)]^q a_n z^{n-1} + (-1)^q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n [\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)]^q \overline{b_n} \overline{z}^{n-1} \left. \right) \\ & - \tau e^{i\theta} \left(1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n [\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)]^q a_n z^{n-1} + (-1)^q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n [\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)]^q \overline{b_n} \overline{z}^{n-1} \right) \\ & + (1 - \rho) \left(1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n [\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)]^q a_n z^{n-1} + (-1)^q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n [\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)]^q \overline{b_n} \overline{z}^{n-1} \right) \Big| \\ = & \left| (2 - \rho) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n+1 - \rho + (n-1)\tau e^{i\theta}) [\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)]^q a_n z^{n-1} \right. \\ & \left. + (-1)^q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n+1 - \rho + (n-1)\tau e^{i\theta}) [\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)]^q \overline{b_n} \overline{z}^{n-1} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |A(z) - (1 + \rho)B(z)| \\
 = & \left| (1 + \rho e^{i\theta}) \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) [\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)]^q a_n z^{n-1} + (-1)^q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) [\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)]^q \bar{b}_n (\bar{z})^{n-1} \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. + 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n [\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)]^q a_n z^{n-1} + (-1)^q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n [\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)]^q \bar{b}_n (\bar{z})^{n-1} \right) \right. \\
 & \left. - \tau e^{i\theta} \left(1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n [\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)]^q a_n z^{n-1} + (-1)^q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n [\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)]^q \bar{b}_n (\bar{z})^{n-1} \right) \right. \\
 & \left. - (1 + \rho) \left(1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n [\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)]^q a_n z^{n-1} + (-1)^q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n [\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)]^q \bar{b}_n (\bar{z})^{n-1} \right) \right| \\
 = & \left| -\rho + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1-\rho + (n-1)\tau e^{i\theta}) [\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)]^q a_n z^{n-1} \right. \\
 & \left. + (-1)^q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1-\rho + (n-1)\tau e^{i\theta}) [\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)]^q \bar{b}_n (\bar{z})^{n-1} \right|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |A(z) + (1 - \rho)B(z)| - |A(z) - (1 + \rho)B(z)| \\
 \geq & 2(1 - \rho) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2n(n - \rho + (n - 1)\tau) |\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q |a_n| |z|^{n-1} \\
 & - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2n(n - \rho + (n - 1)\tau) |\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q |b_n| |z|^{n-1} \\
 > & 2 \left\{ (1 - \rho) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n - \rho + (n - 1)\tau) |\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q (|a_n| + |b_n|) \right\} > 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

The harmonic univalent function

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{x_n}{n(n - \rho + (n - 1)\tau) |\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q} z^n$$

$$+ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{y}_n}{n(n - \rho + (n - 1)\tau)|\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q} (\bar{z})^n, \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |x_n| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |y_n| = 1 - \rho$$

shows that the coefficient bound given by (2.1) is sharp.

The functions of the form (2.3) are in the family $WS_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q)$, because

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n - \rho + (n - 1)\tau)|\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q \frac{|x_n|}{n(n - \rho + (n - 1)\tau)|\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q} \\ & + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n - \rho + (n - 1)\tau)|\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q \frac{|y_n|}{n(n - \rho + (n - 1)\tau)|\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q} \\ & = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |x_n| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |y_n| = 1 - \rho. \end{aligned}$$

The restriction placed in Theorem 2.1 on the moduli of the coefficients of $f = h + \bar{g}$ enables us to conclude for arbitrary rotation of the coefficients of f that the resulting functions would still be harmonic univalent and $f \in WS_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q)$.

The next theorem shows that condition (2.1) is also the sufficient condition for functions $f \in T_{\mathcal{H}}$ to be in the family $WT_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q)$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $f \in T_{\mathcal{H}}$ be a function of the form (1.4). Then $f \in WT_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q)$ if and only if condition (2.1) holds true.*

Proof. In the light of Theorem 2.1, we need only to prove that each function $f \in WT_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q)$ satisfies coefficient inequality (2.1). If $f \in WT_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q)$, then by (1.3), we have

$$Re \left\{ \left(\frac{z \left(W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} h(z) + (-1)^q \overline{W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} g(z)} \right)''}{\left(W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} h(z) + (-1)^q \overline{W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} g(z)} \right)'} + 1 \right) (1 + \tau e^{i\theta}) - \tau e^{i\theta} \right\} > \rho \quad (-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi).$$

This is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & Re \left\{ \frac{\left((1 + \tau e^{i\theta}) \left(z \left(W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} h(z) + (-1)^q \overline{W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} g(z)} \right)'' + \left(W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} h(z) + (-1)^q \overline{W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} g(z)} \right)' \right) \right)}{\left(W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} h(z) + (-1)^q \overline{W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} g(z)} \right)'} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \frac{\tau e^{i\theta} \left(W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} h(z) + (-1)^q \overline{W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} g(z)} \right)'}{\left(W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} h(z) + (-1)^q \overline{W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} g(z)} \right)'} \right\} \\
 & = Re \left\{ \frac{\left((1 - \rho) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n - \rho + (n - 1)\tau e^{i\theta}) |\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q |a_n| z^{n-1} - \right)}{\left(1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q |a_n| z^{n-1} - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q |b_n| (\bar{z})^{n-1} \right)} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n - \rho + (n - 1)\tau e^{i\theta}) |\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q |b_n| (\bar{z})^{n-1}}{\left(1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q |a_n| z^{n-1} - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q |b_n| (\bar{z})^{n-1} \right)} \right\} \geq 0. \quad (2.4)
 \end{aligned}$$

The above required condition (2.4) must hold for all values of z in U . Upon choosing the values of z on the positive real axis where $0 \leq z = r < 1$, we must have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & Re \left\{ \frac{\left((1 - \rho) - \left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n - \rho) |\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q |a_n| r^{n-1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n - \rho) |\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q |b_n| r^{n-1} \right] \right)}{\left(1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q |a_n| r^{n-1} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q |b_n| r^{n-1} \right)} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \frac{\tau e^{i\theta} \left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n - 1) |\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q |a_n| r^{n-1} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n - 1) |\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q |b_n| r^{n-1} \right]}{\left(1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q |a_n| r^{n-1} - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q |b_n| r^{n-1} \right)} \right\} \geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $Re(-e^{i\theta}) \geq -|e^{i\theta}| = -1$, and let $r \rightarrow 1^-$, the above inequality reduces to

$$\frac{1 - \rho - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n - \rho + (n - 1)\tau)|\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q|a_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q|a_n| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q|b_n|} - \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n - \rho + (n - 1)\tau)|\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q|b_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q|a_n| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q|b_n|} \geq 0.$$

This gives coefficient inequality (2.1), so the proof is complete.

Theorem 2.3. *The family $WT_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q)$ is a convex and compact subset of \mathcal{H} .*

Proof. Let $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ and $f_1, f_2 \in WT_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q)$ be functions of the form:

$$f_j(z) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left(a_{j,\ell} z^\ell + \overline{b_{j,\ell} z^\ell} \right) \quad (z \in U, j \in \{1,2\}). \tag{2.5}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma f_1(z) + (1 - \gamma)f_2(z) \\ &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (\gamma|a_{1,n}| + (1 - \gamma)|a_{2,n}|)z^n - (-1)^q(\gamma|b_{1,n}| + (1 - \gamma)|b_{2,n}|)(\bar{z})^n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n - \rho + (n - 1)\tau)|\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q \left((\gamma|a_{1,n}| + (1 - \gamma)|a_{2,n}|) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (\gamma|b_{1,n}| + (1 - \gamma)|b_{2,n}|) \right) \\ &= \gamma \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n - \rho + (n - 1)\tau)|\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q (|a_{1,n}| + |b_{1,n}|) \\ & \quad + (1 - \gamma) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n - \rho + (n - 1)\tau)|\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q (|a_{2,n}| + |b_{2,n}|) \\ &\leq \gamma(1 - \rho) + (1 - \gamma)(1 - \rho) = 1 - \rho. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\gamma f_1(z) + (1 - \gamma)f_2(z) \in WT_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q).$$

Hence the family $WT_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q)$ is convex.

Furthermore, for $f \in WT_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q)$, $|z| \leq r$, $0 < r < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\leq r + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (|a_n| + |b_n|) \\ &< r + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n - \rho + (n - 1)\tau) |\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q (|a_n| + |b_n|) \\ &\leq r + 1 - \rho. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we conclude that the family $WT_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q)$ is locally uniformly bounded. By Lemma 1.2, we only need to show that it is closed, i.e., if $f_j \in WT_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q)$ ($j \in \mathbb{N}$) and $f_j \rightarrow f$, then $f \in WT_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q)$. Let f_j and f be given by (1.2) and (1.1), respectively. In view of Theorem 2.2, we find that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n - \rho + (n - 1)\tau) |\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q (|a_{j,n}| + |b_{j,n}|) \leq 1 - \rho \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (2.6)$$

Since $f_j \rightarrow f$, we conclude that $|a_{j,n}| \rightarrow |a_n|$ and $|b_{j,n}| \rightarrow |b_n|$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ ($j \in \mathbb{N}$). The sequence of partial sums $\{S_n\}$ associated with the series

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n - \rho + (n - 1)\tau) |\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q (|a_n| + |b_n|)$$

is a non-decreasing sequence.

Furthermore, by (2.6) it is bounded by $1 - \rho$. Thus, the sequence $\{S_n\}$ is convergent and

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n - \rho + (n - 1)\tau) |\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q (|a_n| + |b_n|) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n \leq 1 - \rho.$$

Hence $f \in WT_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q)$ and this completes the proof.

Theorem 2.4. $EWT_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q) = \{h_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{g_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_2\}$, where

$$h_1(z) = z, \quad h_n(z) = z - \frac{1 - \rho}{n(n - \rho + (n - 1)\tau)|\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q} z^n,$$

$$g_n(z) = z + \frac{1 - \rho}{n(n - \rho + (n - 1)\tau)|\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q} (\bar{z})^n. \quad (2.7)$$

Proof. Assume that $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $g_n = \lambda f_1 + (1 - \lambda)f_2$, where $f_1, f_2 \in WT_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q)$ are functions of the form (2.5). Then by (2.1), we obtain

$$|b_{1,n}| = |b_{2,n}| = \frac{1 - \rho}{n(n - \rho + (n - 1)\tau)|\Phi_n(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q}$$

and $a_{1,j} = a_{2,j} = 0$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_2$ and $b_{1,j} = b_{2,j} = 0$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_2 \setminus \{n\}$. Then we have $g_n = f_1 = f_2$ and hence $g_n \in WT_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q)$. Similarly, we prove that the functions h_n of the form (2.7) are the extreme points of the family $WT_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q)$. Assume that $f \in EWT_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q)$ and f is not of the form (2.7), then there are $j \in \mathbb{N}_2$ such that

$$0 < |a_j| < \frac{1 - \rho}{j(j - \rho + (j - 1)\tau)|\Phi_j(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q}$$

or

$$0 < |b_j| < \frac{1 - \rho}{j(j - \rho + (j - 1)\tau)|\Phi_j(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q}$$

If

$$0 < |a_j| < \frac{1 - \rho}{j(j - \rho + (j - 1)\tau)|\Phi_j(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q}$$

then, we taking

$$\lambda = \frac{j(j - \rho + (j - 1)\tau)|\Phi_j(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q}{1 - \rho} |a_j| \quad \text{and} \quad \psi = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} (f - \lambda h_j).$$

We note that $0 < \lambda < 1$, $h_j \neq \psi$ and $f = \lambda h_j + (1 - \lambda)\psi$. Therefore, $f \notin EWT_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q)$.

If

$$0 < |b_j| < \frac{1 - \rho}{j(j - \rho + (j - 1)\tau)|\Phi_j(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q}$$

then, we taking

$$\lambda = \frac{j(j - \rho + (j - 1)\tau)|\Phi_j(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q}{1 - \rho} |b_j| \quad \text{and} \quad \phi = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda}(f - \lambda g_j).$$

We note that $0 < \lambda < 1$, $g_j \neq \phi$ and $f = \lambda g_j + (1 - \lambda)\phi$. Therefore, $f \notin EWT_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q)$.

Remark 2.1. If the family $\mathcal{M} = \{f_n \in \mathcal{H} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is locally uniformly bounded, then

$$\overline{\text{co}} \mathcal{M} = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n f_n : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n = 1, \gamma_n \geq 0 (n \in \mathbb{N}) \right\}.$$

Corollary 2.1. Let h_n and g_n be defined by (2.7). Then

$$WT_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q) = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\gamma_n h_n + \mu_n g_n) : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\gamma_n + \mu_n) = 1, \mu_1 = 0, \gamma_n, \mu_n \geq 0 \right\}.$$

Remark 2.2. For each fixed value of $n \in \mathbb{N}_2$, $z \in U$, the following real-valued functions

$$F(f) = |a_n|, \quad F(f) = |b_n|, \quad F(f) = |f(z)|, \quad F(f) = |W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} f(z)| \quad (f \in \mathcal{H})$$

are continuous and convex on \mathcal{H} .

Also, for $\gamma \geq 0, 0 < r < 1$, the real-valued functional

$$F(f) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^\gamma d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \quad (f \in \mathcal{H})$$

is continuous and convex on \mathcal{H} .

By making use of Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 1.1, we obtain the following corollaries:

Corollary 2.2. Let $f \in WT_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q)$, $|z| = r < 1$. Then

$$r + \frac{1 - \rho}{2(2 - \rho + \tau)|\Phi_2(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q} r^2 \leq |f(z)| \leq r + \frac{1 - \rho}{2(2 - \rho + \tau)|\Phi_2(k, \alpha, \beta)|^q} r^2$$

and

$$r + \frac{1 - \rho}{2(2 - \rho + \tau)} r^2 \leq |W_{\alpha, \beta}^{k, q} f(z)| \leq r + \frac{1 - \rho}{2(2 - \rho + \tau)} r^2.$$

The result is sharp. The function h_n of the form (2.7) is the extremal function.

Corollary 2.3. Let $\gamma \geq 0, 0 < r < 1$. If $f \in WT_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \tau, \alpha, \beta, k, q)$, then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^\gamma d\theta \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |h_2(re^{i\theta})|^\gamma d\theta$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |zf'(re^{i\theta})|^\gamma d\theta \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |zh_2'(re^{i\theta})|^\gamma d\theta.$$

The function h_2 is the function defined by (2.7).

3. Conclusion

The results we obtained in this paper which may be considered as a useful tool for those who are interested in the above-mentioned topics for further research. It may also be used to find prospective applications in some areas of mathematics and physics.

References

- [1] J. W. Alexander, Functions which map the interior of the unit circle upon simple region, *Ann. of Math.* 17(1) (1915), 12-22. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2007212>
- [2] F. M. Al-Oboudi, On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 27 (2004), 1429-1436. <https://doi.org/10.1155/S0161171204108090>
- [3] S. D. Bernardi, Convex and starlike univalent functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 135 (1969), 429-446. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-1969-0232920-2>
- [4] N. E. Cho and H. M. Srivastava, Argument estimates of certain analytic functions defined by a class of multiplier transformations, *Math. Comput. Modeling* 37(1-2) (2003), 39-49. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0895-7177\(03\)80004-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0895-7177(03)80004-3)
- [5] N. E. Cho and T. H. Kim, Multiplier transformations and strongly close-to-convex functions, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* 40(3) (2003), 399-410. <https://doi.org/10.4134/BKMS.2003.40.3.399>
- [6] J. Clunie and T. Sheil-Small, Harmonic univalent functions, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* 9 (1984), 3-25. <https://doi.org/10.5186/aasfm.1984.0905>
- [7] J. Dziok, On Janowski harmonic functions, *J. Appl. Anal.* 21(2) (2015), 99-107. <https://doi.org/10.1515/jaa-2015-0010>
- [8] I. B. Jung, Y. C. Kim and H. M. Srivastava, The Hardy space of analytic functions

- associated with certain one-parameter families of integral operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 176 (1993), 138-147. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1993.1204>
- [9] P. Montel, Sur les familles de fonctions analytiques qui admettent des valeurs exceptionnelles dans un domaine, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.* 29 (1912), 487-535. <https://doi.org/10.24033/asens.652>
- [10] G. S. Salagean, Subclasses of univalent functions, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Springer Verlag, Berlin 1013 (1983), 362-372. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0066543>
- [11] T. Sheil-Small, Constants for planer Harmonic mappings, *J. London Math. Soc.* 42(2) (1990), 237-248. <https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s2-42.2.237>
- [12] H. M. Srivastava and A. A. Attiya, An integral operator associated with the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function and differential subordination, *Integral Transforms and Special Functions* 18(3) (2007), 207-216. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10652460701208577>
- [13] S. R. Swamy, Inclusion properties of certain subclasses of analytic functions, *Int. Math. Forum* 7(36) (2012), 1751-1760.
- [14] B. A. Uralegaddi and C. Somanatha, Certain classes of univalent functions, in: *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, (Edited by H. M. Srivastava and S. Own), 371-374, World Scientific, Singapore, 1992. https://doi.org/10.1142/9789814355896_0032
- [15] A. K. Wanas, New differential operator for holomorphic functions, *Earthline J. Math. Sci.* 2(2) (2019), 527-537. <https://doi.org/10.34198/ejms.2219.527537>
- [16] A. K. Wanas and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, Differential sandwich results for Wanas operator of analytic functions, *Mathematica Moravica* 24(1) (2020), 17-28. <https://doi.org/10.5937/MatMor2001017K>

Abbas Kareem Wanas

Department of Mathematics

College of Science

University of Al-Qadisiyah, Iraq

e-mail: abbas.kareem.w@qu.edu.iq

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted, use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, or format for any purpose, even commercially provided the work is properly cited..
