

Anti Q-fuzzy Subgroups under t-conorms

Rasul Rasuli

Department of Mathematics, Payame Noor University (PNU), Tehran, Iran e-mail: rasulirasul@yahoo.com

Abstract

In this paper we introduce the notion of anti Q-fuzzy subgroups of G with respect to t-conorm C and study their important properties. Next we define the union, normal and direct product of two anti Q-fuzzy subgroups of G with respect to t-conorm C and we show that the union, normal and direct product of them is again an anti Q-fuzzy subgroup of G with respect to t-conorm C. It is also shown that the homomorphic image and pre image of anti Q-fuzzy subgroup of G with respect to t-conorm C.

1. Introduction

Undoubtedly the notion of fuzzy set theory initiated by Zadeh [31] in 1965 in a seminal paper, plays the central role for further development. This notion tries to show that an object corresponds more or less to the particular category we want to assimilate it to; that was how the idea of defining the membership of an element to a set not on the Aristotelian pair {0, 1} any more but on the continuous interval [0, 1] was born. The notion of a fuzzy set is completely non-statistical in nature and the concept of fuzzy set provides a natural way of dealing with problems in which the source of imprecision is the absence of sharply defined criteria of class membership rather than the presence of random variables. Since the concept of fuzzy group was introduced by Rosenfeld in [27]

Received: December 11, 2019; Accepted: March 31, 2020

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 08A72, 20-XX, 47A30, 20N25, 20K27, 20K30.

Keywords and phrases: fuzzy algebraic structures, group theory, norms, anti Q-fuzzy subgroups, normal anti Q-fuzzy subgroups, homomorphisms.

Copyright © 2020 Rasul Rasuli. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

in 1971, the theories and approaches on different fuzzy algebraic structures developed rapidly. Yuan and Lee [30] defined the fuzzy subgroup and fuzzy subring based on the theory of falling shadows. Also Solairaju and Nagarajan [29] introduced the notion of Q-fuzzy groups. The triangular conorm (*t*-conorm) originated from the studies of probabilistic metric spaces [5, 28] in which triangular inequalities were extended using the theory of *t*-conorm. The author by using norms, investigated some properties of fuzzy algebraic structures [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26]. In Section 2, some preliminary definitions and results regarding multisets have been introduced. In Section 3, we have studied anti Q-fuzzy subgroups of G with respect to *t*-conorm C. Also the union, normal and direct product of them has also been discussed here. In Section 4, the homomorphic image and pre image of them have been investigated under group homomorphisms and anti group homomorphisms.

2. Preliminaries

The following definitions and preliminaries are required in the sequel of our work and hence presented in brief.

Definition 2.1 (See [3]). A group is a non-empty set G on which there is a binary operation $(a, b) \rightarrow ab$ such that

- (1) if a and b belong to G, then ab is also in G (closure),
- (2) a(bc) = (ab)c for all $a, b, c \in G$ (associativity),
- (3) there is an element $e \in G$ such that ae = ea = a for all $a \in G$ (identity),
- (4) if $a \in G$, then there is an element $a^{-1} \in G$ such that $aa^{-1} = a^{-1}a = e$ (inverse).

One can easily check that this implies the unicity of the identity and of the inverse. A group G is called *abelian* if the binary operation is commutative, i.e., ab = ba for all $a, b \in G$.

Remark 2.2. There are two standard notations for the binary group operation: either the additive notation, that is $(a, b) \rightarrow a + b$ in which case the identity is denoted by 0, or the multiplicative notation, that is $(a, b) \rightarrow ab$ for which the identity is denoted by *e*.

Proposition 2.3 (See [3]). Let G be a group. Let H be a non-empty subset of G. Then the following are equivalent:

(1) H is a subgroup of G.

(2) $x, y \in H$ implies $xy^{-1} \in H$ for all x, y.

Definition 2.4 (See [3]). Let $(G, \cdot), (H, \cdot)$ be any two groups. The function $f: G \to H$ is called a *homomorphism (anti-homomorphism)* if f(xy) = f(x)f(y)(f(xy) = f(y)f(x)), for all $x, y \in G$.

Definition 2.5 (See [4]). Let G be an arbitrary group with a multiplicative binary operation and identity e. A fuzzy subset of G, we mean a function from G into [0, 1]. The set of all fuzzy subsets of G is called the [0, 1]-power set of G and is denoted $[0, 1]^G$.

Definition 2.6 (See [4]). Let $\varphi : A \to B$ be a function such that $\mu \in [0, 1]^{A \times Q}$ and $\nu \in [0, 1]^{B \times Q}$. Then *fuzzy image* $\varphi(\mu)$ of μ under φ is defined by

$$\varphi(\mu)(y, q) = \begin{cases} \inf\{\mu(x, q) | (x, q) \in A \times Q, \ \varphi(x) = y\} & \text{if } \varphi^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset\\ 0 & \text{if } \varphi^{-1}(y) = \emptyset \end{cases}$$

and fuzzy pre-image (or fuzzy inverse image) of v under φ is

$$\varphi^{-1}(\mathbf{v})(x, q) = \mathbf{v}(\varphi(x), q)$$

for all $(x, q) \in A \times Q$.

Definition 2.7 (See [2]). A *t-conorm* C is a function $C : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ having the following four properties:

(C1) C(x, 0) = x(C2) $C(x, y) \le C(x, z)$ if $y \le z$ (C3) C(x, y) = C(y, x)(C4) C(x, C(y, z)) = C(C(x, y), z),

for all $x, y, z \in [0, 1]$.

Example 2.8. (1) Standard union *t*-conorm $C_m(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}$.

- (2) Bounded sum *t*-conorm $C_b(x, y) = \min\{1, x + y\}$.
- (3) Algebraic sum *t*-conorm $C_p(x, y) = x + y xy$.
- (4) Drastic *t*-conorm

$$C_D(x, y) = \begin{cases} y & \text{if } x = 0\\ x & \text{if } y = 0\\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

dual to the drastic *t*-norm.

(5) Nilpotent maximum *t*-conorm, dual to the nilpotent minimum *t*-norm:

$$C_{nM}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \max\{x, y\} & \text{if } x + y < 1\\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(6) Einstein sum (compare the velocity-addition formula under special relativity) $C_{H_2}(x, y) = \frac{x+y}{1+xy}$ is a dual to one of the Hamacher *t*-norms. Note that all *t*-conorms are bounded by the maximum and the drastic *t*-conorm: $C_{\max}(x, y) \le C(x, y) \le C_D(x, y)$ for any *t*-conorm *C* and all $x, y \in [0, 1]$.

Recall that *t*-conorm *C* is idempotent if for all $x \in [0, 1]$, we have that C(x, x) = x.

Lemma 2.9 (See [1]). Let C be a t-conorm. Then

$$C(C(x, y), C(w, z)) = C(C(x, w), C(y, z)),$$

for all $x, y, w, z \in [0, 1]$.

3. Anti *Q*-fuzzy Subgroups and *t*-conorms

Definition 3.1. Let (G, \cdot) be a group and Q be a non empty set. Then $\mu \in [0, 1]^{G \times Q}$ is said to be an *anti Q-fuzzy subgroup* of G with respect to *t*-conorm C if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $\mu(xy, q) \leq C(\mu(x, q), \mu(y, q)),$
- (2) $\mu(x^{-1}, q) \le \mu(x, q),$

for all $x, y \in G$ and $q \in Q$.

Throughout this paper the set of all anti *Q*-fuzzy subgroups of *G* with respect to *t*-conorm *C* will be denoted by AQFSC(G).

Remark 3.2. The condition (2) of Definition 3.1 implies that

$$\mu(x, q) = \mu((x^{-1})^{-1}, q) \le \mu(x^{-1}, q) \le \mu(x, q)$$

and then $\mu(x, q) = \mu(x^{-1}, q)$.

for

Lemma 3.3. Let $\mu \in AQFSC(G)$ and C be idempotent t-conorm. Then $\mu(e_G, q) \leq \mu(x, q)$ for all $x \in G$ and $q \in Q$.

Proof. Since $\mu \in AQFSC(G)$, so

$$\mu(e_G, q) = \mu(xx^{-1}, q) \le C(\mu(x, q), \mu(x^{-1}, q)) = C(\mu(x, q), \mu(x, q)) = \mu(x, q)$$

all $x \in G, q \in Q$.

Proposition 3.4. Let $\mu \in AQFSC(G)$ and C be idempotent t-conorm. If $\mu(xy^{-1}, q) = \mu(e_G, q)$, then $\mu(x, q) = \mu(y, q)$ for all $x, y \in G$ and $q \in Q$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in G$ and $q \in Q$. Then

$$\mu(x, q) = \mu(xy^{-1}y, q) \leq C(\mu(xy^{-1}, q), \mu(y, q))$$

= $C(\mu(e_G, q), \mu(y, q)) \leq C(\mu(y, q), \mu(y, q))$
= $\mu(y, q) = \mu(yx^{-1}x, q) \leq C(\mu(yx^{-1}, q), \mu(x, q))$
= $C(\mu((xy^{-1})^{-1}, q), \mu(x, q)) = C(\mu(xy^{-1}, q), \mu(x, q))$
= $C(\mu(e_G, q), \mu(x, q)) \leq C(\mu(x, q), \mu(x, q)) = \mu(x, q).$

Thus $\mu(x, q) = \mu(y, q)$.

Proposition 3.5. Let C be idempotent t-conorm. Then $\mu \in AQFSC(G)$ if and only if

$$\mu(xy^{-1}, q) \le C(\mu(x, q), \mu(y, q))$$

for all $x, y \in G$ and $q \in Q$.

Proof. Let $\mu \in AQFSC(G)$ and $x, y \in G, q \in Q$. Then

$$\mu(xy^{-1}, q) \le C(\mu(x, q), \mu(y^{-1}, q)) \le C(\mu(x, q), \mu(y, q)).$$

Conversely, let $\mu(xy^{-1}, q) \leq C(\mu(x, q), \mu(y, q))$. Then

$$\mu(x^{-1}, q) = \mu(e_G x^{-1}, q) \le C(\mu(e_G, q), \mu(x, q)) \le C(\mu(x, q), \mu(x, q)) = \mu(x, q)$$

and then $\mu(x^{-1}, q) \le \mu(x, q)$.

Also

$$\mu(xy, q) = \mu(x(y^{-1})^{-1}, q) \le C(\mu(x, q), \mu(y^{-1}, q)) \le C(\mu(x, q), \mu(y, q)).$$

Then $\mu \in AQFSC(G)$.

Proposition 3.6. Let $\mu \in [0, 1]^{G \times Q}$ such that $\mu(e_G, q) = 0$ and $\mu(xy^{-1}, q) \leq C(\mu(x, q), \mu(y, q))$ for all $x, y \in G$ and $q \in Q$. Then $\mu \in AQFSC(G)$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in G$ and $q \in Q$. Then

$$\mu(x^{-1}, q) = \mu(e_G x^{-1}, q) \le C(\mu(e_G, q), \mu(x, q)) = C(0, \mu(x, q)) = \mu(x, q)$$

and so $\mu(x^{-1}, q) \le \mu(x, q)$. Now

$$\mu(xy, q) = \mu(x((y)^{-1})^{-1}, q) \le C(\mu(x, q), \mu(y^{-1}, q)) \le C(\mu(x, q), \mu(y, q))$$

and so $\mu(xy, q) \leq C(\mu(x, q), \mu(y, q))$. Thus $\mu \in AQFSC(G)$.

Proposition 3.7. *If* $\mu \in AQFSC(G)$ *, then*

$$H = \{ x \in G \mid f_{G \times Q}(x, q) = 0 \}$$

is a subgroup of G.

Proof. Let $x, y \in H$ and $q \in Q$. As $\mu \in AQFSC(G)$, then

$$\mu(xy^{-1}, q) \le C(\mu(x, q), \mu(y, q)) = C(0, 0) = 0.$$

This implies that $\mu(xy^{-1}, q) = 0$ and then $xy^{-1} \in H$ and from Proposition 2.3 we get *H* is a subgroup of *G*.

Proposition 3.8. Let $\mu \in AQFSC(G)$ and C be idempotent t-conorm. Then

$$H = \{x \in G \mid \mu(x, q) = \mu(e_G, q)\}$$

is a subgroup of G.

Proof. Let $x, y \in H$, $q \in Q$ and $\mu \in AQFSC(G)$. Then

$$\mu(xy^{-1}, q) \le C(\mu(x, q), \mu(y, q)) = C(\mu(e_G, q), \mu(e_G, q)) = \mu(e_G, q) \le \mu(xy^{-1}, q)$$

and so $\mu(xy^{-1}, q) = \mu(e_G, q)$. Then $xy^{-1} \in H$ and Proposition 2.3 gives us H is a subgroup of G.

Proposition 3.9. Let $\mu \in AQFSC(G)$ and $\mu(xy^{-1}, q) = 0$. Then

$$\mu(x, q) = \mu(y, q)$$

for all $x, y \in G$ and $q \in Q$.

Proof. Let $\mu \in AQFSC(G)$ and $x, y \in G, q \in Q$. Then

$$\mu(x, q) = \mu(xy^{-1}y, q) \le C(\mu(xy^{-1}, q), \mu(y, q)) = C(0, \mu(y, q)) = \mu(y, q)$$
$$= \mu(y^{-1}, q) = \mu(x^{-1}xy^{-1}, q) \le C(\mu(x^{-1}, q), \mu(xy^{-1}, q))$$
$$= C(\mu(x^{-1}, q), 0) = \mu(x^{-1}, q) = \mu(x, q).$$

Hence $\mu(x, q) = \mu(y, q)$.

Proposition 3.10. Let $\mu \in AQFSC(G)$. Then

$$\mu(xy, q) = \mu(yx, q)$$

if and only if

$$\mu(x, q) = \mu(y^{-1}xy, q)$$

for all $x, y \in G$ and $q \in Q$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in G, q \in Q$ and $\mu(xy, q) = \mu(yx, q)$. Then

$$\mu(y^{-1}xy, q) = \mu(y^{-1}(xy), q) = \mu(xyy^{-1}, q) = \mu(xe_G, q) = \mu(x, q).$$

Conversely, let $\mu(x, q) = \mu(y^{-1}xy, q)$, then we obtain $\mu(xy, q) = \mu(x(yx)x^{-1}, q)$ = $\mu(yx, q)$.

Proposition 3.11. Let $\mu \in AQFSC(G)$. If $\mu(xy^{-1}, q) = 1$, then either $\mu(x, q) = 1$ or $\mu(y, q) = 1$ for all $x, y \in G$ and $q \in Q$.

Proof. As $\mu \in AQFSC(G)$ so for all $x, y \in G$ and $q \in Q$ we have that

 $1 = \mu(xy^{-1}, q) \le C(\mu(x, q), \mu(y, q))$

and then either $\mu(x, q) = 1$ or $\mu(y, q) = 1$.

Definition 3.12. The *union* of μ , $\nu \in [0, 1]^{G \times Q}$ is defined by

$$(\mu \cup \nu)(x, q) = C(\mu(x, q), \nu(x, q))$$

for all $x \in G$ and $q \in Q$.

Proposition 3.13. Let $\mu, \nu \in AQFSC(G)$. Then $\mu \cup \nu \in AQFSC(G)$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in G, q \in Q$. Then

$$(\mu \cup \nu) (xy, q) = C(\mu(xy, q), \nu(xy, q))$$

$$\leq C(C(\mu(x, q), \mu(y, q)), C(\nu(x, q), \nu(y, q)))$$

$$= C(C(\mu(x, q), \nu(x, q)), C(\mu(y, q), \nu(y, q))) \quad (\text{Lemma 2.9})$$

$$= C((\mu \cup \nu) (x, q), (\mu \cup \nu) (y, q)),$$

also

$$(\mu \cup \nu)(x^{-1}, q) = C(\mu(x^{-1}, q), \nu(x^{-1}, q))$$

$$\leq C(\mu(x, q), \nu(x, q)) = (\mu \cup \nu)(x, q).$$

Hence $\mu \cup \nu \in AQFSC(G)$.

Proposition 3.14. Let $\mu \in AQFSC(G)$ and $x, y \in G, q \in Q$. If C is idempotent t-conorm and $\mu(x, q) \neq \mu(y, q)$, then

$$\mu(xy, q) = C(\mu(x, q), \mu(y, q)).$$

Proof. Let $\mu(x, q) < \mu(y, q)$ for all $x, y \in G$ and $q \in Q$ and we get that $\mu(x, q) < \mu(xy, q)$ and so

$$\mu(y, q) = C(\mu(x, q), \mu(y, q))$$

and

$$\mu(xy, q) = C(\mu(x, q), \mu(xy, q)).$$

Now

$$\mu(xy, q) \le C(\mu(x, q), \mu(y, q)) = \mu(y, q)$$
$$= \mu(x^{-1}xy, q) \le C(\mu(x^{-1}, q), \mu(xy, q))$$
$$= C(\mu(x, q), \mu(xy, q)) = \mu(xy, q)$$

and so

$$\mu(xy, q) = \mu(y, q) = C(\mu(x, q), \mu(y, q)).$$

Definition 3.15. We say that $\mu \in AQFSC(G)$ is a normal if $\mu(xyx^{-1}, q) = \mu(y, q)$ for all $x, y \in G$ and $q \in Q$. We denote by NAQFSC(G) the set of all normal anti Q-fuzzy subgroups of G with respect to t-conorm C.

Proposition 3.16. Let $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in NAQFSC(G)$. Then $\mu_1 \cup \mu_2 \in NAQFSC(G)$.

Proof. If $x, y \in G$ and $q \in Q$, then

$$(\mu_1 \cup \mu_2)(xyx^{-1}, q) = C(\mu_1(xyx^{-1}, q), \mu_2(xyx^{-1}, q))$$
$$= C(\mu_1(y, q), \mu_2(y, q))$$
$$= (\mu_1 \cup \mu_2)(y, q).$$

Corollary 3.17. Let $I_n = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. If $\{\mu_i | i \in I_n\} \subseteq NAQFSC(G)$, then

$$\mu = \bigcup_{i \in I_n} \mu_i \in NAQFSC(G).$$

Definition 3.18. Let $(G, \cdot), (H, \cdot)$ be any two groups such that $\mu \in AQFSC(G)$ and $v \in AQFSC(H)$. The product of μ and v, denoted by $\mu \times v \in [0, 1]^{(G \times H) \times Q}$, is defined as

Throughout this paper, H denotes an arbitrary group with identity element e_H .

 $(\mu \times \nu)((x, y), q) = C(\mu(x, q), \nu(y, q))$

Proposition 3.19. If $\mu \in AQFSC(G)$ and $\nu \in AQFSC(H)$, then $\mu \times \nu \in AQFSC(G \times H)$.

Proof. Let $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in G \times H$ and $q \in Q$. Then

$$(\mu \times \nu)((x_1, y_1)(x_2, y_2), q)$$

= $(\mu \times \nu)((x_1x_2, y_1y_2), q)$
= $C(\mu(x_1x_2, q), \nu(y_1y_2, q))$
 $\leq C(C(\mu(x_1, q), \mu(x_2, q)), C(\nu(y_1, q), \nu(y_2, q)))$
= $C(C(\mu(x_1, q), \nu(y_1, q)), C(\mu(x_2, q), \nu(y_2, q)))$ (Lemma 2.9)
= $C((\mu \times \nu)((x_1, y_1), q), (\mu \times \nu)((x_2, y_2), q)).$

Also

$$(\mu \times \nu)((x_1, y_1)^{-1}, q) = (\mu \times \nu)((x_1^{-1}, y_1^{-1}), q)$$
$$= (\mu(x_1^{-1}, q), \nu(y_1^{-1}, q))$$
$$\leq C(\mu(x_1, q), \nu(y_1, q)) = (\mu \times \nu)((x_1, y_1), q).$$

Hence $\mu \times \nu \in AQFSC(G \times H)$.

Proposition 3.20. Let $\mu \in [0, 1]^{G \times Q}$ and $\nu \in [0, 1]^{H \times Q}$. If C be idempotent t-conorm and $\mu \times \nu \in AQFSC(G \times H)$, then at least one of the following two statements must hold.

(1)
$$v(e_H, q) \leq \mu(x, q)$$
, for all $x \in G$ and $q \in Q$,

(2) $\mu(e_G, q) \leq \nu(y, q)$, for all $y \in H$ and $q \in Q$.

Proof. Let none of the statements (1) and (2) holds, then we can find $g \in G$ and

 $h \in H$ such that $\mu(g, q) < \nu(e_H, q)$ and $\nu(h, q) < \mu(e_G, q)$. Now

$$(\mu \times \nu)((g, h), q) = C(\mu(g, q), \nu(h, q))$$

< $C(\mu(e_G, q), \nu(e_H, q))$
= $(\mu \times \nu)((e_H, e_H), q)$

and it is contradiction with $\mu \times \nu \in QFST(G \times H)$ (Lemma 3.3). This completes the proof.

Proposition 3.21. Let $\mu \in [0, 1]^{G \times Q}$ and $\nu \in [0, 1]^{H \times Q}$ such that $\mu \times \nu \in AQFSC(G \times H)$ and *C* is idempotent *t*-conorm. Then we obtain the following statements:

- (1) If $\mu(x, q) \ge \nu(e_H, q)$, then $\mu \in AQFSC(G)$ for all $x \in G$ and $q \in Q$.
- (2) If $v(x, q) \ge v(e_G, q)$, then $v \in AQFSC(H)$ for all $x \in H$ and $q \in Q$.
- (3) Either $\mu \in QFST(G)$ or $\nu \in QFST(H)$.

Proof. (1) Let $\mu(x, q) \ge \nu(e_H, q)$ for all $x \in G, q \in Q$ and then $\mu(xy^{-1}, q) \ge \nu(e_H, q)$ and $\mu(y, q) \ge \nu(e_H, q)$ for all $x, y \in G, q \in Q$. Then

$$\mu(xy^{-1}, q) = C(\mu(xy^{-1}, q), \nu(e_H, q)) = C(\mu(xy^{-1}, q), \nu(e_He_H, q))$$

$$= (\mu \times \nu)((xy^{-1}, e_He_H), q) = (\mu \times \nu)((x, e_H)(y^{-1}, e_H), q)$$

$$\leq C((\mu \times \nu)((x, e_H), q), (\mu \times \nu)((y^{-1}, e_H), q))$$

$$\leq C((\mu \times \nu)((x, e_H), q), (\mu \times \nu)((y, e_H), q))$$

$$= C(C(\mu(x, q), \nu(e_H, q)), C(\mu(y, q), \nu(e_H, q)))$$

$$\leq C(C(\mu(x, q), \mu(x, q)), C(\mu(y, q), \mu(y, q)))$$

Now from Proposition 3.5 we obtain that $\mu \in AQFSC(G)$.

(2) Let $v(x, q) \ge \mu(e_G, q)$ for all $x \in H, q \in Q$. Then $v(xy^{-1}, q) \ge \mu(e_G, q)$ and

 $v(y, q) \ge \mu(e_G, q)$ for all $x, y \in H, q \in Q$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{v}(xy^{-1}, q) &= C(\mathsf{v}(xy^{-1}, q), \mu(e_G, q)) \\ &= C(\mathsf{v}(xy^{-1}, q), \mu(e_G e_G, q)) = C(\mu(e_G e_G, q), \mathsf{v}(xy^{-1}, q)) \\ &= (\mu \times \mathsf{v})((e_G e_G, xy^{-1}), q) = (\mu \times \mathsf{v})((e_G, x)(e_G, y^{-1}), q) \\ &\leq C((\mu \times \mathsf{v})((e_G, x), q), (\mu \times \mathsf{v})((e_G, y^{-1}), q)) \\ &= C((\mu \times \mathsf{v})((e_G, x), q), (\mu \times \mathsf{v})((e_G, y), q)) \\ &= C(C(\mu(e_G, q), \mathsf{v}(x, q)), C(\mu(e_G, q), \mathsf{v}(y, q))) \\ &\leq C(C(\mathsf{v}(x, q), \mathsf{v}(x, q)), C(\mathsf{v}(y, q), \mathsf{v}(y, q))) \\ &= C(\mathsf{v}(x, q), \mathsf{v}(y, q)). \end{aligned}$$

Now from Proposition 3.5 we obtain that $v \in AQFSC(H)$.

(3) Straightforward.

4. Homomorphisms and Anti-homomorphisms of AQFSC(G) and NAQFSC(G)

Proposition 4.1. Let φ be an epimorphism from group G into group H. If $\mu \in AQFSC(G)$, then $\varphi(\mu) \in AQFSC(H)$.

Proof. Let $h_1, h_2 \in H$ and $q \in Q$. Then

$$\begin{split} \varphi(\mu)(h_1h_2, q) &= \inf \{ \mu(g_1g_2, q) | g_1, g_2 \in G, \varphi(g_1) = h_1, \varphi(g_2) = h_2 \} \\ &\leq \inf \{ C(\mu(g_1, q), \mu(g_2, q)) | g_1, g_2 \in G, \varphi(g_1) = h_1, \varphi(g_2) = h_2 \} \\ &= C((\inf \{ \mu(g_1, q) | g_1 \in G, \varphi(g_1) = h_1 \}), (\inf \{ \mu(g_2, q) | g_2 \in G, \varphi(g_2) = h_2 \})) \\ &= C(\varphi(\mu)(h_1, q), \varphi(\mu)(h_2, q)). \end{split}$$

Also

$$\varphi(\mu)(h_1^{-1}, q) = \inf\{\mu(g_1^{-1}, q) | g_1 \in G, \ \varphi(g_1^{-1}) = h_1^{-1}\}$$

$$\leq \inf\{\mu(g_1, q) | g_1 \in G, \ \varphi(g_1, q) = h_1\} = \varphi(\mu)(h_1, q).$$

Therefore $\varphi(\mu) \in AQFSC(H)$.

Proposition 4.2. Let φ be a homomorphism from group G into group H. If $\nu \in AQFSC(H)$, then $\varphi^{-1}(\nu) \in AQFSC(G)$.

Proof. Let $g_1, g_2 \in G$ and $q \in Q$. Then

$$\varphi^{-1}(\mathbf{v})(g_1g_2, q) = \mathbf{v}(\varphi(g_1g_2), q) = \mathbf{v}(\varphi(g_1)\varphi(g_2), q)$$

$$\leq C(\mathbf{v}(\varphi(g_1), q), \mathbf{v}(\varphi(g_2), q))$$

$$= C(\varphi^{-1}(\mathbf{v})(g_1, q), \varphi^{-1}(\mathbf{v})(g_2, q)).$$

Moreover

$$\varphi^{-1}(\nu)(g_1^{-1}, q) = \nu(\varphi(g_1^{-1}), q) = \nu(\varphi^{-1}(g_1), q) \le \nu(\varphi(g_1), q) = \varphi^{-1}(\nu)(g_1, q).$$

Then $\varphi^{-1}(\nu) \in AQFSC(G).$

Proposition 4.3. Let φ be an anti-homomorphism from group G into group H. If $v \in AQFSC(H)$, then $\varphi^{-1}(v) \in AQFSC(G)$.

Proof. Let $g_1g_2 \in G$ and $q \in Q$. Then

$$\begin{split} \varphi^{-1}(\mathbf{v})(g_1g_2, q) &= \mathbf{v}(\varphi(g_1g_2), q) = \mathbf{v}(\varphi(g_2)\varphi(g_1), q) \\ &\leq C(\mathbf{v}(\varphi(g_2), q), \mathbf{v}(\varphi(g_1), q)) \\ &= C(\varphi^{-1}(\mathbf{v})(g_2, q), \varphi^{-1}(\mathbf{v})(g_1, q)) \\ &= C(\varphi^{-1}(\mathbf{v})(g_1, q), \varphi^{-1}(\mathbf{v})(g_2, q)). \end{split}$$

Also

$$\varphi^{-1}(\nu)(g_1^{-1}, q) = \nu(\varphi(g_1^{-1}), q) = \nu(\varphi^{-1}(g_1), q) \le \nu(\varphi(g_1), q) = \varphi^{-1}(\nu)(g_1, q).$$

Thus $\varphi^{-1}(v) \in AQFSC(G)$.

Proposition 4.4. Let $\mu \in NAQFSC(G)$ and H be a group. Suppose that φ is an epimorphism of G onto H. Then $f(\mu) \in NAQFSC(H)$.

Proof. By Proposition 4.1 we have $\varphi(\mu) \in AQFSC(H)$. Let $x, y \in H$ and $q \in Q$.

Since φ is a surjection, $\varphi(u) = x$ for some $u \in G$. Then

$$\begin{split} \varphi(\mu)(xyx^{-1}, q) &= \inf \{\mu(w, q) | w \in G, \ \varphi(w) = xyx^{-1} \} \\ &= \inf \{\mu(u^{-1}wu, q) | w \in G, \ \varphi(u^{-1}wu) = y \} \\ &= \inf \{\mu(w, q) | w \in G, \ \varphi(w) = y \} = \varphi(\mu)(y, q). \end{split}$$

Proposition 4.5. Let *H* be a group and $v \in NAQFSC(H)$. Suppose that φ is a homomorphism of *G* into *H*. Then $\varphi^{-1}(v) \in NAQFSC(G)$.

Proof. By Proposition 4.2 we obtain that $\varphi^{-1}(v) \in AQFSC(G)$. Now for any $x, y \in G$ and $q \in Q$ we obtain

$$\varphi^{-1}(v)(xyx^{-1}, q) = v(\varphi(xyx^{-1}), q) = v(\varphi(x)\varphi(y)\varphi(x^{-1}), q)$$
$$= v(\varphi(x)\varphi(y)\varphi^{-1}(x), q) = v(\varphi(y), q) = \varphi^{-1}(v)(y, q).$$

Therefore $\varphi^{-1}(v) \in NAQFSC(G)$.

Acknowledgment

It is our pleasant duty to thank referees for their useful suggestions which helped us to improve our manuscript.

References

- M. T. Abu Osman, On some products of fuzzy subgroups, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 24 (1987), 79-86. https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(87)90115-1
- J. J. Buckley and E. Eslami, *Introduction to Fuzzy Logic and Fuzzy Sets*, Springer-Verlag Berlin, Heidelberg, GmbH, 2002. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7908-1799-7
- [3] T. Hungerford, *Algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, 2003.
- [4] D. S. Malik and J. N. Mordeson, *Fuzzy Commutative Algebra*, World Science Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., 1995.
- [5] K. Menger, Statistical metrics, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 28 (1942), 535-537. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.28.12.535

- [6] R. Rasuli, Fuzzy ideals of subtraction semigroups with respect to a *t*-norm and a *t*-conorm, *The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics Los Angeles* 24(4) (2016), 881-892.
- [7] R. Rasuli, Fuzzy modules over a t-norm, Int. J. Open Problems Compt. Math. 9(3) (2016), 12-18. https://doi.org/10.12816/0033740
- [8] R. Rasuli, Fuzzy Subrings over a t-norm, The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics Los Angeles 24(4) (2016), 995-1000.
- [9] R. Rasuli, Norms over intuitionistic fuzzy subrings and ideals of a ring, *Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets* 22(5) (2016), 72-83.
- [10] R. Rasuli, Norms over fuzzy Lie algebra, Journal of New Theory 15 (2017), 32-38.
- [11] R. Rasuli, Fuzzy subgroups on direct product of groups over a t-norm, Journal of Fuzzy Set Valued Analysis 3 (2017), 96-101. https://doi.org/10.5899/2017/jfsva-00339
- [12] R. Rasuli, Characterizations of intuitionistic fuzzy subsemirings of semirings and their homomorphisms by norms, *Journal of New Theory* 18 (2017), 39-52.
- [13] R. Rasuli, *Intuitionistic Fuzzy Subrings and Ideals Under Norms*, LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing, 2017.
- [14] R. Rasuli, Characterization of Q-fuzzy subrings (anti Q-fuzzy subrings) with respect to a t-norm (t-conorms), J. Inf. Optim. Sci. 39 (2018), 827-837.
- [15] R. Rasuli, *T*-fuzzy submodules of $R \times M$, Journal of New Theory 22 (2018), 92-102.
- [16] R. Rasuli, Fuzzy subgroups over a t-norm, J. Inf. Optim. Sci. 39 (2018), 1757-1765. https://doi.org/10.1080/02522667.2018.1427028
- [17] R. Rasuli, Fuzzy sub-vector spaces and sub-bivector spaces under t-norms, General Letters in Mathematics 5 (2018), 47-57. https://doi.org/10.31559/glm2018.5.1.6
- [18] R. Rasuli, Anti fuzzy submodules over a t-conorm and some of their properties, The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics Los Angles 27 (2019), 229-236.
- [19] R. Rasuli, Artinian and Noetherian fuzzy rings, Int. J. Open Problems Compt. Math. 12 (2019), 1-7.
- [20] R. Rasuli and H. Narghi, T-norms over Q-fuzzy subgroups of group, Jordan J. Math. Stat. (JJMS) 12 (2019), 1-13.
- [21] R. Rasuli, Fuzzy equivalence relation, fuzzy congruence relation and fuzzy normal subgroups on group G over t-norms, Asian Journal of Fuzzy and Applied Mathematics 7 (2019), 14-28. https://doi.org/10.24203/ajfam.v7i2.5736

- [22] R. Rasuli, Norms over anti fuzzy G-submodules, MathLAB Journal 2 (2019), 56-64.
- [23] R. Rasuli, Norms over bifuzzy bi-ideals with operators in semigroups, Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets 25 (2019), 1-11. https://doi.org/10.7546/nifs.2019.25.1.1-11
- [24] R. Rasuli, Norms over basic operations on intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics Los Angles* 27(3) (2019), 561-582.
- [25] R. Rasuli, *T*-fuzzy bi-ideals in semirings, *Earthline J. Math. Sci.* 2(1) (2019), 241-263. https://doi.org/10.34198/ejms.2119.241263
- [26] R. Rasuli, Anti fuzzy equivalence relation on rings with respect to *t*-conorm *C*, *Earthline J. Math. Sci.* 3(1) (2020), 1-19. https://doi.org/10.34198/ejms.3120.119
- [27] A. Rosenfeld, Fuzzy groups, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 35 (1971), 512-517. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X(71)90199-5
- [28] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, *Probabilistic Metric Space*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.
- [29] A. Solairaju and R. Nagarajan, A New structure and constructions of *Q*-fuzzy group, *Advances in Fuzzy Mathematics* 4 (2009), 23-29.
- [30] X. H. Yuan and E. S. Lee, A fuzzy algebraic system based on the theory of falling shadows, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 208 (1997), 243-251. https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1997.5331
- [31] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Information and Control* 8 (1965), 338-353. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958(65)90241-X