E-ISSN: 2581-8147

Volume 15, Number 6, 2025, Pages 1063-1069 https://doi.org/10.34198/ejms.15625.10631069



# Single and Multivalued Extended Interpolative Berinde Weak Type F-Contraction Mapping Theorem

Clement Boateng Ampadu

31 Carrolton Road, Boston, MA 02132-6303, USA e-mail: profampadu@gmail.com

#### Abstract

In this paper we introduce the notion of an extended interpolative single and multivalued Berinde weak type F-contraction, and obtain some fixed point theorems for such mappings. An example is given to illustrate the main result.

#### 1 Introduction and Preliminaries

**Definition 1.1.** [1] Let (X,d) be a metric space. The mapping  $S: X \mapsto X$  is called an interpolative Kannan type contraction, if there are constants  $\alpha \in [0,1)$  and  $c_1 \in (0,1)$  such that

$$d(Sp, Sq) \le \alpha d(p, Sp)^{c_1} d(q, Sq)^{1-c_1},$$

for all  $p, q \in X \setminus Fix(S)$ , where  $Fix(S) = \{ p \in X : Sp = p \}$ .

**Definition 1.2.** [2] Let (X,d) be a metric space. A mapping  $S: X \mapsto X$  is said to be an interpolative Reich-Rus-Ciric type contraction, if there are constants  $\alpha \in [0,1)$  and  $c_1, c_2 \in (0,1)$  such that

$$d(Sp, Sq) \le \alpha d(p, q)^{c_1} d(p, Sp)^{c_2} d(q, Sq)^{1 - c_1 - c_2},$$

for all  $p, q \in X \backslash Fix(S)$ .

**Definition 1.3.** [3] Let (X,d) be a metric space. We say that the self-mapping  $S: X \mapsto X$  is an interpolative Hardy-Rogers type contraction if there exists  $\alpha \in [0,1)$  and  $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in (0,1)$  with  $c_1+c_2+c_3 < 1$ , such that

$$d(Sp, Sq) \le \alpha d(p, q)^{c_1} d(p, Sp)^{c_2} d(q, Sq)^{c_3} \left[ \frac{d(p, Sq) + d(q, Sp)}{2} \right]^{1 - c_1 - c_2 - c_3},$$

for all  $p, q \in X \backslash Fix(S)$ .

Received: August 1, 2025; Accepted: September 10, 2025; Published: September 15, 2025

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

Keywords and phrases: interpolation, fixed point, Berinde weak type contraction.

**Notation 1.4.** [4]  $\Omega$  will denote the class of all functions  $F: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the following properties

- (a) F is strictly increasing,
- (b) for each sequence  $\{p_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$  of positive numbers  $\lim_{n\to\infty} p_n = 0 \iff \lim_{n\to\infty} F(p_n) = -\infty$ ,
- (c) there exists  $m \in (0,1)$  such that  $\lim_{n \to 0^+} p^m F(p) = 0$ .

**Example 1.5.** [4] The following functions,  $F: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ , belong to  $\Omega$ 

- (a)  $F(p) = ln(p^2 + p), p > 0,$
- (b)  $F(p) = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{p}}, p > 0,$
- (c) F(p) = ln(p), p > 0.

**Definition 1.6.** [5] Let (X,d) be a metric space. A mapping  $S: X \mapsto X$  is called an extended interpolative Ciric-Reich-Rus type F-contraction if there exists  $c_1, c_2 \in [0,1)$  with  $c_1 + c_2 < 1$ ,  $\tau > 0$  and  $F \in \Omega$  such that

$$\tau + F(d(Sp, Sq)) \le c_1 F(d(p, q)) + c_2 F(d(p, Sp)) + (1 - c_1 - c_2) F(d(q, Sq)),$$

for all  $p, q \in X \setminus Fix(S)$  with d(Sp, Sq) > 0.

**Definition 1.7.** [6] Let (X,d) be a metric space. A mapping  $S: X \mapsto X$  is called an extended interpolative Hardy-Rogers type F-contraction, if there exists  $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in [0,1)$  with  $c_1 + c_2 + c_3 < 1$ ,  $\tau > 0$ , and  $F \in \Omega$  such that

$$\tau + F(d(Sp, Sq)) \leq c_1 F(d(p, q)) + c_2 F(d(p, Sp)) + c_3 F(d(q, Sq)) + (1 - c_1 - c_2 - c_3) F\left(\frac{d(p, Sq) + d(q, Sp)}{2}\right),$$

for all  $p, q \in X \setminus Fix(S)$  with d(Sp, Sq) > 0.

**Definition 1.8.** ([5], [6]) Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let CB(X) be the collection of all nonempty bounded and closed subsets of X. The Hausdorff metric induced by the metric d of X is defined as

$$H(A,B) = \max\{\sup_{p \in A} d(p,B), \sup_{q \in B} d(q,A)\},\$$

for every  $A, B \in CB(X)$  with  $d(p, B) = \inf\{d(p, q) : q \in B\}$ .

**Definition 1.9.** ([5], [6]) Let S be a mapping from X to CB(X). If  $p \in Sp$ , then  $p \in Q$  is called a fixed point of the multivalued mapping S.

**Definition 1.10.** [5] Let (X, d) be a metric space. A mapping  $S : X \mapsto CB(X)$  is called an extended interpolative multivalued Ciric-Reich-Rus type F-contraction if there exists  $c_1, c_2 \in [0, 1)$  with  $c_1 + c_2 < 1$ ,  $\tau > 0$  and  $F \in \Omega$  such that

$$\tau + H(d(Sp, Sq)) \le c_1 F(d(p, q)) + c_2 F(d(p, Sp)) + (1 - c_1 - c_2) F(d(q, Sq)),$$

for all  $p, q \in X \setminus Fix(S)$  with H(Sp, Sq) > 0.

**Definition 1.11.** [6] Let (X, d) be a metric space. A mapping  $S : X \mapsto CB(X)$  is called an extended interpolative multivalued Hardy-Rogers type F-contraction, if there exists  $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in [0, 1)$  with  $c_1+c_2+c_3 < 1$ ,  $\tau > 0$ , and  $F \in \Omega$  such that

$$\tau + H(d(Sp, Sq)) \le c_1 F(d(p, q)) + c_2 F(d(p, Sp)) + c_3 F(d(q, Sq)) + (1 - c_1 - c_2 - c_3) F\left(\frac{d(p, Sq) + d(q, Sp)}{2}\right),$$

for all  $p, q \in X \setminus Fix(S)$  with H(Sp, Sq) > 0.

**Definition 1.12.** [7] Let (X,d) be a metric space. We say  $T: X \mapsto X$  is an interpolative Berinde weak operator if it satisfies

$$d(Tx, Ty) \le \lambda d(x, y)^{\alpha} d(x, Tx)^{1-\alpha},$$

where  $\lambda \in [0,1)$  and  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ , for all  $x,y \in X$ ,  $x,y \notin Fix(T)$ .

Alternatively, the interpolative Berinde weak operator is given as follows

**Definition 1.13.** [7] Let (X,d) be a metric space. We say  $T: X \mapsto X$  is an interpolative Berinde weak operator if it satisfies

$$d(Tx, Ty) \le \lambda d(x, y)^{\frac{1}{2}} d(x, Tx)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where  $\lambda \in [0,1)$ , for all  $x,y \in X$ ,  $x,y \notin Fix(T)$ .

### 2 Main Result

**Definition 2.1.** Let (X,d) be a metric space. We will call the self mapping T on X an extended interpolative Berinde weak type F-contraction if there exists  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ ,  $\tau > 0$ , and  $F \in \Omega$  such that

$$\tau + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \le \alpha F(d(x, y)) + (1 - \alpha)F(d(x, Tx)),$$

for all  $x, y \in X \setminus Fix(T)$  with d(Tx, Ty) > 0.

**Theorem 2.2.** An extended interpolative Berinde weak type F-contraction self mapping on a complete metric space admits a fixed point in X.

Proof. Let  $\theta_0 \in X$ . Define the sequence  $\{\theta_n\}$  by  $\theta_n = T^n(\theta_0)$  for each positive integer n. If there exists  $n_0$  so that  $\theta_{n_0} = \theta_{n_0+1}$ , then  $\theta_{n_0}$  is a fixed point of T. Suppose that  $\theta_n \neq \theta_{n+1}$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . From Definition 2.1, we have

$$\tau + F(d(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1})) = \tau + F(d(T(\theta_n), T(\theta_{n-1})))$$

$$\leq \alpha F(d(\theta_n, \theta_{n-1})) + (1 - \alpha)F(d(\theta_n, T\theta_n))$$

$$= \alpha F(d(\theta_n, \theta_{n-1})) + (1 - \alpha)F(d(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1})).$$

Suppose that  $d(\theta_{n-1}, \theta_n) < d(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1})$  for some  $n \ge 1$ . Then from the above inequality we have,  $\tau + F(d(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1})) \le F(d(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1}))$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $d(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1}) \le d(\theta_{n-1}, \theta_n)$  for all  $n \ge 1$ . Thus, we have,  $\tau + F(d(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1})) \le F(d(\theta_{n-1}, \theta_n))$ . Consequently we have,

$$F(d(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1})) \le F(d(\theta_{n-1}, \theta_n)) - \tau \le \dots \le F(d(\theta_0, \theta_1)) - n\tau,$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ . Therefore,  $d(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1}) < d(\theta_{n-1}, \theta_n)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Now taking limits in the above inequality as  $n \to \infty$  we get that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} F(d(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1})) = -\infty$ . From Notation 1.4(b), we have  $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1}) = 0$ . Put  $\gamma_n = d(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1})$ , Thus,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma_n = 0$  Then for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $\gamma_n^k(F(\gamma_n) - F(\gamma_0)) \leq -\gamma_n^k n\tau < 0$ . Thus,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma_n^k n = 0$ . So there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that,  $\gamma_n \leq \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{k}}}$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Now for any  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with m > n we get

$$d(\theta_n, \theta_m) \le \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} d(\theta_i, \theta_{i+1}) = \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \gamma_n \le \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \frac{1}{i^{\frac{1}{k}}}.$$

Since the last term of the above inequality tends to zero as  $m, n \to \infty$ , we have  $d(\theta_n, \theta_m) \to 0$  as  $m, n \to \infty$ , that is  $\{\theta_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since X is complete, there is  $\theta \in X$  such that  $\theta_n \to \theta$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Now we show that  $\theta$  is a fixed point of T. Suppose to the contrary that  $\theta \neq T\theta$ . We consider two cases

Case 1: There is a subsequence  $\{\theta_{n_k}\}$  such that  $T\theta_{n_k} = T\theta$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In this case

$$d(\theta,T\theta) = \lim_{k \to \infty} d(\theta_{n_k+1},T\theta) = \lim_{k \to \infty} d(T\theta_{n_k},T\theta) = 0.$$

Case 2: There is a natural number N such that  $T\theta_n \neq T\theta$  for all  $n \geq N$ .

In this case, from Definition 2.1, we have

$$\tau + F(d(\theta_{n+1}, T\theta)) = \tau + F(d(T\theta_n, T\theta))$$
  
$$\leq \alpha F(d(\theta_n, \theta)) + (1 - \alpha)F(d(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1})).$$

Letting  $n \to \infty$  in the above inequalty, we get that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} F(d(\theta_{n+1}, T\theta)) = -\infty$  and so  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(\theta_{n+1}, T\theta) = 0$ . Therefore,

$$d(\theta, T\theta) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(\theta_{n+1}, T\theta) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(T\theta_n, T\theta) = 0.$$

Thus,  $d(\theta, T\theta) = 0$ , and so  $\theta = T\theta$ , and the proof is finished.

**Definition 2.3.** Let (X,d) be a metric space. We will call the multivalued mapping  $T: X \mapsto CB(X)$  an extended interpolative multivalued Berinde weak type F-contraction if there exists  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ ,  $\tau > 0$ , and  $F \in \Omega$  such that

$$\tau + F(H(Tx, Ty)) \le \alpha F(d(x, y)) + (1 - \alpha)F(d(x, Tx)),$$

for all  $x, y \in X \setminus Fix(T)$  with H(Tx, Ty) > 0.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let (X,d) be a complete metric space, and T be an extended interpolative multivalued Berinde weak type F-contraction. Assume in addition that

(H): 
$$F(\inf A) = \inf F(A)$$
.

Then T has a fixed point.

*Proof.* Choose two arbitrary points  $\theta_0 \in X$  and  $\theta_1 \in T\theta_0$ . If  $\theta_0 \in T\theta_0$  or  $\theta_1 \in T\theta_1$  we have nothing to prove. Let  $\theta_0 \notin T\theta_0$  and  $\theta_1 \notin T\theta_1$ . Then  $T\theta_0 \neq T\theta_1$ . Now

$$\frac{\tau}{2} + F(d(\theta_1, T\theta_1)) < \tau + F(H(T\theta_0, T\theta_1))$$

$$\leq \alpha F(d(\theta_0, \theta_1)) + (1 - \alpha)F(d(\theta_0, T\theta_0))$$

$$\leq \alpha F(d(\theta_0, \theta_1)) + (1 - \alpha)F(d(\theta_0, \theta_1))$$

$$= F(d(\theta_0, \theta_1)).$$

From the above inequality and using (H), we can conclude that there is  $\theta_2 \in T\theta_1$  so that

$$\frac{\tau}{2} + F(d(\theta_1, \theta_2)) < F(d(\theta_0, \theta_1)).$$

Continuing this process, we obtain a sequence  $\{\theta_n\}$  in X such that  $\theta_{n+1} \in T\theta_n$ ,  $\theta_n \notin T\theta_n$ , and,

$$\frac{\tau}{2} + F(d(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1})) < F(d(\theta_{n-1}, \theta_n)).$$

If there is  $n_0$  so that  $\theta_{n_0} = \theta_{n_0+1}$ , then  $\theta_{n_0}$  is a fixed point of T. So we assume that  $\theta_n \neq \theta_{n+1}$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Consequently,

$$F(d(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1})) \le F(d(\theta_{n-1}, \theta_n)) - \frac{\tau}{2} \le \dots \le F(d(\theta_0, \theta_1)) - n\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right),$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ . Similar to Theorem 2.2, we find that  $\{\theta_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since X is complete, there is  $\theta \in X$  such that  $\theta_n \to \theta$ . Now we show that  $\theta$  is a fixed point of T. Assume to the contrary that  $\theta \notin T\theta$ . We consider two cases.

Case 1: There is a subsequence  $\{\theta_{n_k}\}$  such that  $T\theta_{n_k} = T\theta$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In this case

$$d(\theta, T\theta) = \lim d(\theta_{n_k+1}, T\theta) = \lim H(T\theta_{n_k}, T\theta) = 0.$$

Case 2: There is a natural number N such that  $T\theta_n \neq T\theta$  for all  $n \geq N$ .

In this case we have

$$\begin{split} \tau + F(d(\theta_{n+1}, T\theta)) &= \tau + F(H(T\theta_n, T\theta)) \\ &\leq \alpha F(d(\theta_n, \theta)) + (1 - \alpha) F(d(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1})). \end{split}$$

Now letting  $n \to \infty$  in the above inequality, we find that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} F(d(\theta_{n+1}, T\theta)) = -\infty$  and so  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(\theta_{n+1}, T\theta) = 0$ . Therefore,

$$d(\theta, T\theta) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(\theta_{n+1}, T\theta) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} H(T\theta_n, T\theta) = 0.$$

Thus,  $d(\theta, T\theta) = 0$  and hence  $\theta = T\theta$ , and the proof is finished.

**Example 2.5.** Let  $X = \{-1, 0, 1\}$  be endowed with the metric

$$d(\theta, v) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \theta = v \\ \frac{3}{2} & \text{if } (\theta, v) \in \{(1, -1), (-1, 1)\} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly (X, d) is complete. Take T(0) = T(-1) = 0 and T(1) = -1. First, letting  $\theta = 0$  and v = 1, we have

$$F(d(T\theta, Tv)) = F(d(0, -1)) = F(1)$$
 and  $F(d(\theta, v)) = F(d(0, 1)) = F(1)$ .

Thus, we cannot find  $\tau > 0$  such that  $\tau + F(d(T\theta, Tv)) \leq F(d(\theta, v))$ , that is, Theorem 1.9 [5] is not applicable. On the other hand, let  $\theta, v \in X \setminus Fix(T)$  with  $d(T\theta, Tv) > 0$ . Hence,  $\theta, v \in \{(1, -1), (-1, 1)\}$ . Without loss of generality take  $(\theta, v) = (1, -1)$ . Choose  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\tau = \frac{1}{3}ln\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)$ , and F(t) = ln(t). Observe we have  $\tau + F(d(T\theta, Tv)) = \frac{1}{3}ln\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)$  and  $\alpha F(d(\theta, v)) + (1 - \alpha)F(d(\theta, T\theta)) = ln\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)$ . It follows that T is an extended interpolative Berinde weak type F-contraction. Here, T admits a fixed point (u = 0).

## References

- [1] Karapınar, E. (2018). Revisiting the Kannan type contractions via interpolation. Advances in the Theory of Nonlinear Analysis and its Applications, 2(2), 85–87. https://doi.org/10.31197/atnaa.431135
- [2] Karapınar, E., Agarwal, R., & Aydi, H. (2018). Interpolative Reich-Rus-Çirić type contractions on partial metric spaces. *Mathematics*, 6(256), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.3390/math6110256
- [3] Karapınar, E., Alqahtani, O., & Aydi, H. (2018). On interpolative Hardy-Rogers type contractions. Symmetry, 11(8), 1-7. https://doi.org/10.3390/sym11010008
- [4] Wardowski, D. (2012). Fixed points of a new type of contractive mappings in complete metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 2012(94), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2012-94
- [5] Mohammadi, B., Parvaneh, V., & Aydi, H. (2019). On extended interpolative Çirić—Reich—Rus type F-contractions and an application. Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2019, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-019-2227-z
- [6] Yildirim, İ. (2022). On extended interpolative single and multivalued F-contractions. Turkish Journal of Mathematics, 46, 688–698. https://doi.org/10.55730/1300-0098.3116
- [7] Ampadu, C. B. (2020). Some fixed point theory results for the interpolative Berinde weak operator. *Earthline Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 4(2), 253–271. https://doi.org/10.34198/ejms.4220.253271

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted, use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, or format for any purpose, even commercially provided the work is properly cited.