

# $F$ -Alternate Interpolative Ciric-Reich-Rus Contraction Mapping Theorem

Clement Boateng Ampadu

31 Carrolton Road, Boston, MA 02132-6303, USA  
 e-mail: profampadu@gmail.com

## Abstract

In [1], the authors introduced the interpolative Ciric-Reich-Rus operator in Branciari metric space and obtained some fixed point theorems. In [2], an alternate characterization of the interpolative Ciric-Reich-Rus operator was given, and some fixed point theorems were obtained. In the present paper, we consider the alternate interpolative Ciric-Reich-Rus operator is an  $F$ -contraction [3], and obtain a fixed point theorem.

## 1 Introduction and Preliminaries

**Notation 1.1.** [3]  $\Xi$  will denote the class of all mappings  $F : \mathbb{R}_+ \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  satisfying

- (a)  $F$  is strictly increasing, that is, for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}_+$  such that  $\alpha < \beta$ ,  $F(\alpha) < F(\beta)$ .
- (b) For each sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of positive numbers  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$  if and only if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(\alpha_n) = -\infty$ .
- (c) There exists  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \alpha^k F(\alpha) = 0$ .

**Example 1.2.** [3] The following are elements of  $\Xi$

- (a)  $F(\alpha) = \ln(\alpha)$ .
- (b)  $F(\alpha) = \ln(\alpha) + \alpha$ .
- (c)  $F(\alpha) = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}$ , where  $\alpha > 0$ .
- (d)  $F(\alpha) = \ln(\alpha^2 + \alpha)$ , where  $\alpha > 0$ .

---

Received: April 2, 2025; Accepted: May 30, 2025; Published: June 16, 2025

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

Keywords and phrases:  $F$ -contraction, alternate interpolative Ciric-Reich-Rus contraction, fixed point theorems.

Copyright © 2025 the Author

**Definition 1.3.** [3] Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. A mapping  $T : X \mapsto X$  is said to be an  $F$ -contraction if there exists  $\tau > 0$  and  $F \in \Xi$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) > 0 \implies \tau + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y)).$$

**Theorem 1.4.** [3] Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space, and let  $T : X \mapsto X$  be an  $F$ -contraction. Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $x^* \in X$ , and for every  $x_0 \in X$  the sequence  $\{T^n x_0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is convergent to  $x^*$ .

**Definition 1.5.** [1] Let  $(X, d)$  be a Branciari distance space. A self-mapping  $T$  on  $X$  is called an interpolative Ciric-Reich-Rus type contraction, if there are  $\lambda \in [0, 1)$  and positive reals  $\alpha, \beta$  with  $\alpha + \beta < 1$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda d(x, y)^\beta d(x, Tx)^\alpha d(y, Ty)^{1-\alpha-\beta}$$

for all  $x, y \in X \setminus \text{Fix}(T)$ .

**Theorem 1.6.** [1] Let  $T : X \mapsto X$  be an interpolative Ciric-Reich-Rus type contraction on a complete Branciari distance space  $(X, d)$ . Then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $X$ .

**Definition 1.7.** [2] Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. A map  $T : X \mapsto X$  is called an alternate Ciric-Reich-Rus operator if there exists  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda d(x, y)^{\frac{1}{3}} d(x, Tx)^{\frac{1}{3}} d(y, Ty)^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

for all  $x, y \in X \setminus \text{Fix}(T)$ .

**Theorem 1.8.** [2] Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Suppose  $T : X \mapsto X$  is an alternate interpolative Ciric-Reich-Rus operator, that is, there exists  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda d(x, y)^{\frac{1}{3}} d(x, Tx)^{\frac{1}{3}} d(y, Ty)^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

for all  $x, y \in X \setminus \text{Fix}(T)$ . If  $X$  is complete, then the fixed point exists.

**Definition 1.9.** [4] Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. We say  $T : X \mapsto X$  is an interpolative Berinde weak operator if it satisfies

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda d(x, y)^\alpha d(x, Tx)^{1-\alpha}$$

where  $\lambda \in [0, 1)$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x, y \notin \text{Fix}(T)$ .

Alternatively, the interpolative Berinde weak operator is given as follows

**Definition 1.10.** [4] Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. We say  $T : X \mapsto X$  is an interpolative Berinde weak operator if it satisfies

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda d(x, y)^{\frac{1}{2}} d(x, Tx)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where  $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x, y \notin \text{Fix}(T)$ .

**Theorem 1.11.** [4] Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Suppose  $T : X \mapsto X$  is an interpolative Berinde weak operator. If  $(X, d)$  is complete, then the fixed point of  $T$  exists.

**Definition 1.12.** [5] Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. A mapping  $T : X \mapsto X$  is called an  $F$ -interpolative Berinde weak contraction if there exists  $\tau > 0$  and  $F \in \Xi$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) > 0 \implies \tau + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y)^{\frac{1}{2}} d(x, Tx)^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

**Theorem 1.13.** [5] Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space, and let  $T : X \mapsto X$  be a continuous  $F$ -interpolative Berinde weak contraction. Then  $T$  has a fixed point  $x^* \in X$ , and for every  $x_0 \in X$ , the sequence  $\{T^n x_0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is convergent to  $x^*$ .

## 2 Main Result

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. A mapping  $T : X \mapsto X$  will be called an  $F$ -alternate interpolative Ciric-Reich-Rus contraction if there exists  $\tau > 0$  and  $F \in \Xi$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) > 0 \implies \tau + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F\left(\frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{3}}} d(x, y)^{\frac{1}{3}} d(x, Tx)^{\frac{1}{3}} d(y, Ty)^{\frac{1}{3}}\right).$$

**Example 2.2.** Let  $F : \mathbb{R}_+ \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  be given by the formula  $F(\alpha) = \ln(\alpha)$ . Observe that  $F$  satisfies (a), (b), and (c) of Notation 1.1. for any  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . Each mapping  $T : X \mapsto X$  satisfying the implication in the previous definition is an  $F$ -alternate interpolative Ciric-Reich-Rus contraction such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{e^{-\tau}}{2^{\frac{1}{3}}} d(x, y)^{\frac{1}{3}} d(x, Tx)^{\frac{1}{3}} d(y, Ty)^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

for all  $x, y \in X \setminus \text{Fix}(T)$ ,  $Tx \neq Ty$ . Note that for all  $x, y \in X \setminus \text{Fix}(T)$ , such that  $Tx = Ty$ , the inequality

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{e^{-\tau}}{2^{\frac{1}{3}}} d(x, y)^{\frac{1}{3}} d(x, Tx)^{\frac{1}{3}} d(y, Ty)^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

still holds, that is,  $T$  is an alternate interpolative Ciric-Reich-Rus contraction [2].

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space, and let  $T : X \mapsto X$  be a continuous  $F$ -alternate interpolative Ciric-Reich-Rus contraction. Then  $T$  has a fixed point  $x^* \in X$ , and for every  $x_0 \in X$ , the sequence  $\{T^n x_0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is convergent to  $x^*$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$  be arbitrary and fixed. Define a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$  by  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Denote  $\gamma_n = d(x_n, x_{n+1})$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . If there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  for which  $x_{n_0+1} = x_{n_0}$ , then  $x_{n_0}$  is a

fixed point of  $T$ , and the proof is finished. So we assume  $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\gamma_n > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $T$  is an  $F$ -alternate interpolative Ciric-Reich-Rus contraction, we deduce the following

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tau + F(\gamma_n) &= \tau + F(d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)) \\
 &\leq F\left(\frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{3}}}d(x_{n-1}, x_n)^{\frac{1}{3}}d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})^{\frac{1}{3}}d(x_n, Tx_n)^{\frac{1}{3}}\right) \\
 &\leq F\left(\frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{3}}}d(x_{n-1}, x_n)^{\frac{1}{3}}d(x_{n-1}, x_n)^{\frac{1}{3}}d(x_n, x_{n+1})^{\frac{1}{3}}\right) \\
 &\leq F\left(\frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{3}}}d(x_{n-1}, x_n)^{\frac{2}{3}}(d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}))^{\frac{1}{3}}\right) \\
 &\leq F\left(\frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{3}}}d(x_{n-1}, x_n)^{\frac{2}{3}}(2d(x_n, x_{n-1}))^{\frac{1}{3}}\right) \\
 &\leq F(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \\
 &\leq F(\gamma_{n-1})
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$F(\gamma_n) \leq F(\gamma_{n-1}) - \tau \leq F(\gamma_{n-2}) - 2\tau \leq \cdots \leq F(\gamma_0) - n\tau.$$

The above implies that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(\gamma_n) = -\infty$ . It now follows from (b) of Notation 1.1, that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n = 0$ . From (c) of Notation 1.1, there exists  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n^\lambda F(\gamma_n) = 0$ . Since

$$F(\gamma_n) \leq F(\gamma_{n-1}) - \tau \leq F(\gamma_{n-2}) - 2\tau \leq \cdots \leq F(\gamma_0) - n\tau$$

we deduce the following

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma_n^\lambda F(\gamma_n) - \gamma_n^\lambda F(\gamma_0) &\leq \gamma_n^\lambda (F(\gamma_0) - n\tau) - \gamma_n^\lambda F(\gamma_0) \\
 &= -\gamma_n^\lambda n\tau \\
 &\leq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n^\lambda F(\gamma_n) = 0$ . If we take limits in the above inequality, we deduce that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n\gamma_n^\lambda = 0$ . This suggests that there exists  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n\gamma_n^\lambda \leq 1$  for all  $n \geq n_1$ . Consequently, we have

$$\gamma_n \leq \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}$$

for all  $n \geq n_1$ . Now we show that  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy. Consider,  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m > n \geq n_1$ . From the definition of the metric and the above inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_m, x_n) &\leq \gamma_{m-1} + \gamma_{m-2} + \cdots + \gamma_n \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \gamma_i \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{\lambda}}$  is convergent, it follows from the above inequality that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. From the completeness of  $X$ , there exists  $x^* \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x^*$ . Finally, since  $T$  is continuous, we deduce the following

$$d(Tx^*, x^*) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Tx_n, x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = 0$$

which implies that  $x^*$  is a fixed point of  $T$ , and the proof is finished.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Aydi, H., Chen, C.-M., & Karapinar, E. (2019). Interpolative Ciric-Reich-Rus type contractions via the Branciari distance. *Mathematics*, 7(1), 84. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math7010084>
- [2] Ampadu, C. B. (2021). Fixed point theorems for the alternate interpolative Ciric-Reich-Rus operator. *Earthline Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 7(1), 161–179. <https://doi.org/10.34198/ejms.7121.161179>
- [3] Wardowski, D. (2012). Fixed points of a new type of contractive mappings in complete metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, 2012, 94. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2012-94>
- [4] Ampadu, C. B. (2020). Some fixed point theory results for the interpolative Berinde weak operator. *Earthline Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 4(2), 253–271. <https://doi.org/10.34198/ejms.4220.253271>
- [5] Ampadu, C. B. (2021). Wardowski type characterization of the interpolative Berinde weak fixed point theorem. *Earthline Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 5(2), 411–414. <https://doi.org/10.34198/ejms.5221.411414>

---

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted, use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, or format for any purpose, even commercially provided the work is properly cited.

---