



Bi-univalent Function Subfamilies Associated with the (p, q) -derivative Operator Subordinate to Lucas-Balancing Polynomials

Sondekola Rudra Swamy¹, Abbas Kareem Wanas², Paduvalapattana Kempegowda Mamatha³,
Gulab Singh Chauhan⁴ and Yerragunta Sailaja⁵

¹ Department of Information Science and Engineering, Acharya Institute of Technology,

Bengaluru-560 107, Karnataka, India

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8088-4103>

e-mail: swamy2704@acharya.ac.in

² Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Al-Qadisiyah 58001, Iraq

e-mail: abbas.kareem.w@qu.edu.iq

³ School of Mathematics, Alliance University, Central Campus, Chikkaadage Cross, Chandapura-Anekal Main Road,

Bengaluru-562 106, Karnataka, India

e-mail: mamatha.ravi@alliance.edu.in

⁴ Department of Computer Science, Acharya University, Karakul, Uzbekistan

e-mail: chagulabsingh81@acharya.ac.uz

⁵ Department of Mathematics, RV College of Engineering, Bengaluru-560 059, Karnataka, India

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9155-9146>

e-mail: sailajay@rvce.edu.in

Abstract

In the open disc $\{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : |\zeta| < 1\} = \mathfrak{D}$, we present a family of bi-univalent functions $g(\zeta) = \zeta + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} d_j \zeta^j$ associated with the (p, q) -derivative operator and Lucas-Balancing polynomials. For members of this family, we obtain the upper bounds for $|d_2|$, $|d_3|$, and $|d_3 - \xi d_2^2|$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. The new implications of the main results are also discussed, along with relevant connections to earlier research.

1 Preliminaries

A generalization of the ordinary calculus without the use of limit concepts is the q -calculus. Jackson presented the use and application of the q -calculus in [29]. The extension of the q -calculus to the

Received: December 29, 2024; Accepted: January 23, 2025; Published: February 4, 2025

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 11B39.

Keywords and phrases: analytic functions, Lucas-Balancing polynomials, subordination, (p, q) -derivative operator, bi-univalent functions, Fekete-Szegő functional.

Copyright © 2025 the Authors

(p, q) -calculus was taken into account by the researchers. At about the same time, in 1991, the (p, q) -number and the (p, q) -calculus were first analyzed by Arik [6], Brod [11], Chakrabarti [16], Wach [54], and others. In [6], the (p, q) -number was presented in order to study Fibonacci oscillators. A (p, q) -Harmonic oscillator can be constructed thanks to the (p, q) -number investigation in [11]. In [16], the (p, q) -number was studied to unify various q -oscillator algebra types. In [54], the (p, q) -numbers are examined in order to determine the (p, q) -Stirling numbers. Building on the aforementioned publications, since 1991, a large number of researchers have investigated the (p, q) -calculus in a range of scientific domains. The results presented in [30] provided an embedding syntax for q -series into a (p, q) -series. Moreover, they discovered some outcomes that matched (p, q) -extensions of the established q -identities. A corresponding extension of the q -identities yields the (p, q) -series (see, for instance, [5]). We give some basic explanations of the concepts in (p, q) -calculus. $[j]_{p,q} = p^{j-1} + p^{j-2}q + \dots + p^2q^{j-3} + pq^{j-2} + q^{j-1} = \frac{p^j - q^j}{p - q}$, $0 < q < p \leq 1$, is the formula for the (p, q) -bracket number, which is an extension of q -number $\frac{1 - q^j}{1 - q} = [j]_q$ ($q \neq 1$) (refer to [28]). We remark that $[j]_{p,q}$ is symmetric and that $[j]_{p,q} = [j]_q$ if $p = 1$.

Definition 1.1. [52] The (p, q) -derivative of φ is defined by

$$D_{p,q}\varphi(\zeta) = \frac{\varphi(p\zeta) - \varphi(q\zeta)}{(p - q)\zeta} \quad (\zeta \neq 0), \text{ and } D_{p,q}\varphi(0) = \varphi'(0), \text{ provided } \varphi'(0) \text{ exists,}$$

where the function φ is defined on the complex plane \mathbb{C} and $0 < q < p \leq 1$.

We know that $D_{p,q}\zeta^j = [j]_{p,q}\zeta^{j-1}$ and $D_{p,q} \log(\zeta) = \frac{\log(p/q)}{(p-q)\zeta}$. If $p = 1, q \rightarrow 1^-$, then $[j]_{p,q} \rightarrow j$, and $D_{p,q}\varphi(\zeta) \rightarrow \varphi'(\zeta)$, as well. In particular, $D_{p,q}(a\varphi_1(\zeta) + b\varphi_2(\zeta)) = aD_{p,q}\varphi_1(\zeta) + bD_{p,q}\varphi_2(\zeta)$, where a and b are constants. The quotient and product rules are satisfied by the (p, q) -derivative (see [39]). The (p, q) -analogues of some trigonometric functions are defined using the exponential functions [12, 19, 52].

Consider the normalized analytic function θ in $\{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : |\zeta| < 1\} = \mathfrak{D}$, which is provided by

$$\theta(\zeta) = \zeta + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} d_j \zeta^j, \tag{1.1}$$

and let \mathcal{A} be the class of all such functions. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{\theta \in \mathcal{A} : \theta \text{ is univalent in } \mathfrak{D}\}$. If $\theta \in \mathcal{A}$ is the kind (1.1), then

$$D_{p,q}\theta(\zeta) = 1 + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [j]_{p,q} d_j \zeta^{j-1}, \quad (\zeta \in \mathfrak{D}), \tag{1.2}$$

According to the widely recognized Koebe result (see [20]), every function $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$ has an inverse, which is given by

$$\theta^{-1}(\omega) = \omega - d_2\omega^2 + (2d_2^2 - d_3)\omega^3 - (5d_2^3 - 5d_2d_3 + d_4)\omega^4 + \dots = \Theta(\omega), \tag{1.3}$$

satisfying $\zeta = \theta^{-1}(\theta(\zeta)), \omega = \theta(\theta^{-1}(\omega)), |\omega| < r_0(\theta), r_0(\theta) \geq 1/4$, and $\zeta, \omega \in \mathfrak{D}$.

Levin first introduced the concept of bi-univalent functions in his investigation [31]. These are functions $\theta \in \mathcal{A}$, where θ and θ^{-1} are both univalent in \mathfrak{D} , and Σ represents the set of all bi-univalent functions. For example, $\frac{1}{2} \log\left(\frac{1+\zeta}{1-\zeta}\right)$, $\frac{\zeta}{1-\zeta}$ and $-\log(1-\zeta)$ are elements in the Σ family. That being said, even though \mathcal{S} contains $\zeta - \frac{\zeta^2}{2}$, $\frac{\zeta}{1-\zeta^2}$, and the Koebe function, they do not belong in Σ . Refer to [9, 10, 51] and the citation given in these papers for a succinct study and to learn about the traits of the set Σ . The results of Srivastava et al. [42] triggered the recent surge in research on the bi-univalent function family. Several intriguing special families of Σ have been studied by numerous researchers since this article revived the topic (see [13, 14, 22]).

Several subfamilies of the family Σ were studied using the (p, q) -calculus. The (p, q) -starlike and (p, q) -convex function families are explored in [43] using the subordination principle. Many studies have also been presented and investigated certain Σ subfamilies defined using the (p, q) -differential operators (see [2, 3, 17, 53]).

The focus at the moment is on functions that are subordinate to known special polynomials and belong to a specific σ family. See [1, 23, 44, 47, 49, 50] for further details on these. The Lucas-Balancing polynomials are one type of these polynomials that has caught the interest of researchers.

C_j represents the Balancing numbers (BN), which satisfy the recurrence relation (RR) (see [7])

$$C_{j+1} = 6C_j - C_{j-1}, \quad (C_0 = 1, C_1 = 1, j \geq 1).$$

A Lucas-Balancing numbers (LBN) sequence is $B_j = \sqrt{8C_j^2 + 1}$, $j \geq 1$. It satisfies the RR $B_{j+1} = 6B_j - B_{j-1}$, $j \geq 1$, with $B_0 = 1$ and $B_1 = 3$. These numbers have been examined in [18, 25, 26, 35, 37]. Naturally occurring extensions of BN and LBN are known as Balancing polynomials (BP) and Lucas-Balancing polynomials (LBP), respectively. The recursive definition of BP, represented by $C_j(\varkappa)$, is

$$C_j(\varkappa) = 6\varkappa C_{j-1}(\varkappa) - C_{j-2}(\varkappa), \quad (C_0(\varkappa) = 0, C_1(\varkappa) = 1, j \geq 2),$$

where $\varkappa \in \mathbb{C}$. It is evident that $C_2(\varkappa) = 6\varkappa$, $C_3(\varkappa) = 36\varkappa^2 - 1$, $C_4(\varkappa) = 216\varkappa^3 - 12\varkappa$, and so forth. The recursive definition of LBP, denoted by $B_j(\varkappa)$, $\varkappa \in \mathbb{C}$, is given by

$$B_j(\varkappa) = 6\varkappa B_{j-1}(\varkappa) - B_{j-2}(\varkappa), \quad (j \geq 2, B_0(\varkappa) = 1, B_1(\varkappa) = 3\varkappa). \tag{1.4}$$

$B_2(\varkappa) = 18\varkappa^2 - 1$, $B_3(\varkappa) = 108\varkappa^3 - 9\varkappa$, ... are evident from (1.4). To learn more about this field, researchers can visit [8, 32, 36, 38]. According to [24], the generating function (GF) of the LBP is represented by

$$B(\varkappa, \zeta) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} B_j(\varkappa) \zeta^j = \frac{1 - 3\varkappa\zeta}{1 - 6\varkappa\zeta + \zeta^2}, \tag{1.5}$$

where $\varkappa \in [-1, 1]$, and $\zeta \in \mathfrak{D}$.

Definition 1.2. For $\theta \in \mathcal{A}$, the (p, q) -analogue of the Swamy operator [45] is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} W_{p,q}^{\nu,\mu,0}\theta(\zeta) &= \theta(\zeta), \\ W_{p,q}^{\nu,\mu,1}\theta(\zeta) &= \frac{\nu\theta(\zeta) + \mu z D_{p,q}\theta(\zeta)}{\nu + \mu}, \dots, \\ W_{p,q}^{\nu,\mu,k}\theta(\zeta) &= W_{p,q}^{\nu,\mu}(W_{p,q}^{\nu,\mu,k-1}\theta(\zeta)), \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < q < p \leq 1$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mu \geq 0$, $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\nu + \mu > 0$, and $\zeta \in \mathfrak{D}$.

Remark 1.1. i). For $\theta(\zeta)$ given by (1.1), we have

$$W_{p,q}^{\nu,\mu,k}\theta(\zeta) = \zeta + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\mu[j]_{p,q} + \nu}{\mu + \nu} \right)^k d_j \zeta^j, \quad (1.6)$$

ii). The operator $W_{p,q}^{\nu,\mu,k}$ reduces to the (p, q) -analogue of the operator described by Selvaraj et al. in [41] if we assume that $\nu = 0$ and $\mu = 1$.

iii). If $\nu = 1 - \mu$, $\mu \geq 0$, then $A_{p,q}^{\mu,k} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is (p, q) -analogue of Al-Oboudi differential operator, where $A_{p,q}^{\mu,k} = W_{p,q}^{1-\mu,\mu,k}$ and for $\theta(\zeta)$ given by (1.1), we have

$$A_{p,q}^{\mu,k}\theta(\zeta) = \zeta + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (\mu([j]_{p,q} - 1) + 1)^k d_j \zeta^j. \quad (1.7)$$

iv). If $\nu = l + 1 - \mu$, $l > -1$, $\mu \geq 0$, then $C_{p,q}^{l,\mu,k} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is Catas operator's (p, q) -analogue, where $C_{p,q}^{l,\mu,k} = W_{p,q}^{l+1-\mu,\mu,k}$ and for $\theta(\zeta)$ given by (1.1), we have

$$C_{p,q}^{l,\mu,k}\theta(\zeta) = \zeta + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\mu([j]_{p,q} - 1) + l + 1}{l + 1} \right)^k d_j \zeta^j. \quad (1.8)$$

v). Three operators defined in [45], [4], and [15] are obtained by taking $q \rightarrow 1^-$ and $p = 1$ in (1.6), (1.7), and (1.8), respectively. Swamy operator is extended to k -valent functions in [46].

For functions $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2 \in \mathcal{A}$, ϑ_1 is subordinate to ϑ_2 , if there is a Schwarz function $\kappa(\zeta)$ in \mathfrak{D} with $\kappa(0) = 0$ and $|\kappa(\zeta)| < 1$, with $\vartheta_1(\zeta) = \vartheta_2(\kappa(\zeta))$, $\zeta \in \mathfrak{D}$. This is shown as $\vartheta_1 \prec \vartheta_2$ or $\vartheta_1(\zeta) \prec \vartheta_2(\zeta)$ ($\zeta \in \mathfrak{D}$). Especially, if $\vartheta_2 \in \mathcal{S}$, then $\vartheta_1(\zeta) \prec \vartheta_2(\zeta) \Leftrightarrow \vartheta_1(0) = \vartheta_2(0)$ and $\vartheta_1(\mathfrak{D}) \subset \vartheta_2(\mathfrak{D})$.

We propose a subfamily of Σ using the (p, q) -analogue of the Swamy derivative operator, subordinate to LBP $B_j(\varkappa)$ as in (1.4) with the GF as in (1.5). This subfamily is inspired by the recent developments on functions $\in \Sigma$ related to LBP [27, 48].

Let $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $\mathbb{R} := (-\infty, +\infty)$. The parameters p and q in this paper always satisfy $0 < q < p \leq 1$. The function $\theta^{-1}(\omega) = \Theta(\omega)$ as in (1.3) and $B(\varkappa, \zeta)$ as in (1.5) are employed throughout this paper unless otherwise noted.

Definition 1.3. A function $\theta \in \Sigma$ is said to be the member of the family $\mathfrak{E}_{\Sigma, p, q}^{\delta, k}(x, \nu, \mu)$, if

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\zeta(W_{p, q}^{\nu, \mu, k} \theta(\zeta))'}{\theta(\zeta)} + \left(\frac{\zeta(W_{p, q}^{\nu, \mu, k} \theta(\zeta))'}{\theta(\zeta)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \right\} \prec B(x, \zeta),$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\omega(W_{p, q}^{\nu, \mu, k} \Theta(\omega))'}{\Theta(\omega)} + \left(\frac{\omega(W_{p, q}^{\nu, \mu, k} \Theta(\omega))'}{\Theta(\omega)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \right\} \prec B(x, \omega),$$

where $\zeta, \omega \in \mathfrak{D}$, $x \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < \delta \leq 1$, $\mu \geq 0$, and $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\nu + \mu > 0$.

The family $\mathfrak{E}_{\Sigma, p, q}^{\delta, k}(x, \nu, \mu)$ contains numerous new subclasses of Σ for specific choices of δ, ν, p , and q as listed below:

Example 1.1. $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma, p, q}^{\delta, k}(x, \mu) \equiv \mathfrak{E}_{\Sigma, p, q}^{\delta, k}(x, 1 - \mu, \mu)$, $x \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < \delta \leq 1$, and $\mu \geq 0$ is the set of functions θ in Σ that meet

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\zeta(A_{p, q}^{\mu, k} \theta(\zeta))'}{\theta(\zeta)} + \left(\frac{\zeta(A_{p, q}^{\mu, k} \theta(\zeta))'}{\theta(\zeta)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \right\} \prec B(x, \zeta),$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\omega(A_{p, q}^{\mu, k} \Theta(\omega))'}{\Theta(\omega)} + \left(\frac{\omega(A_{p, q}^{\mu, k} \Theta(\omega))'}{\Theta(\omega)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \right\} \prec B(x, \omega),$$

where $\zeta, \omega \in \mathfrak{D}$.

Example 1.2. $\mathfrak{G}_{\Sigma, p, q}^{\delta, k}(x, l, \mu) \equiv \mathfrak{E}_{\Sigma, p, q}^{\delta, k}(x, l - \mu + 1, \mu)$, $x \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < \delta \leq 1$, $l > -1$, and $\mu \geq 0$ is the set of $\theta \in \Sigma$ that satisfy

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\zeta(C_{p, q}^{l, \mu, k} \theta(\zeta))'}{\theta(\zeta)} + \left(\frac{\zeta(C_{p, q}^{l, \mu, k} \theta(\zeta))'}{\theta(\zeta)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \right\} \prec B(x, \zeta),$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\omega(C_{p, q}^{l, \mu, k} \Theta(\omega))'}{\Theta(\omega)} + \left(\frac{\omega(C_{p, q}^{l, \mu, k} \Theta(\omega))'}{\Theta(\omega)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \right\} \prec B(x, \omega),$$

where $\zeta, \omega \in \mathfrak{D}$.

Example 1.3. $\mathfrak{H}_{\Sigma, p, q}^k(x, \nu, \mu) \equiv \mathfrak{E}_{\Sigma, p, q}^{1, k}(x, \nu, \mu)$ is the set of $\theta \in \Sigma$ functions that fulfill

$$\frac{\zeta(W_{p, q}^{\nu, \mu, k} \theta(\zeta))'}{\theta(\zeta)} \prec B(x, \zeta), \text{ and } \frac{\omega(W_{p, q}^{\nu, \mu, k} \Theta(\omega))'}{\Theta(\omega)} \prec B(x, \omega), \zeta, \omega \in \mathfrak{D},$$

where $\varkappa \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mu \geq 0$, and $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\nu + \mu > 0$.

Example 1.4. Given the set $\mathfrak{E}_{\Sigma,p,q}^{\delta,k}(x, \nu, \mu)$, if $q \rightarrow 1^-, p = 1$, we obtain $\mathfrak{K}_{\Sigma}^{\delta,k}(x, \nu, \mu)$, which is a collection of $\theta \in \Sigma$ functions that fulfill

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\zeta(\mathfrak{W}^{\nu,\mu,k}\theta(\zeta))'}{\theta(\zeta)} + \left(\frac{\zeta(\mathfrak{W}^{\nu,\mu,k}\theta(\zeta))'}{\theta(\zeta)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \right\} \prec \mathbb{B}(\varkappa, \zeta),$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\omega(\mathfrak{W}^{\nu,\mu,k}\Theta(\omega))'}{\Theta(\omega)} + \left(\frac{\omega(\mathfrak{W}^{\nu,\mu,k}\Theta(\omega))'}{\Theta(\omega)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \right\} \prec \mathbb{B}(\varkappa, \omega),$$

where $\mathfrak{W}^{\nu,\mu,k} \equiv W_{p=1,q \rightarrow 1^-}^{\nu,\mu,k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < \delta \leq 1$, $\mu \geq 0$, $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\nu + \mu > 0$, $\varkappa \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, and $\zeta, \omega \in \mathfrak{D}$.

Section 2 contains estimates for $|d_2|$ and $|d_3|$ for functions $\in \mathfrak{S}_{\Sigma,p,q}^T(\varkappa, \nu, \mu)$ as well as Fekete-Szegő inequality [21]. Along with relevant connections to the earlier findings, there are also some fascinating implications of the primary finding.

2 Primary Findings

First, we determine the limits for $|d_2|$, $|d_3|$, and a Fekete-Szegő inequality for the members in $\mathfrak{S}_{\Sigma,p,q}^{\delta,k}(x, \nu, \mu)$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < \delta \leq 1$, $\mu \geq 0$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\nu + \mu > 0$, and $\varkappa \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. If a function $\theta \in \mathfrak{E}_{\Sigma,p,q}^{\delta,k}(x, \nu, \mu)$, then

$$i). \quad |d_2| \leq \frac{6\delta\varkappa\sqrt{3\varkappa}}{\sqrt{|((1-\delta)\mathcal{M}^2 + (\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{M})2\delta(\delta+1))9\varkappa^2 - (1+\delta)^2\mathcal{M}^2(18\varkappa^2 - 1)|}}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$ii). \quad |d_3| \leq \frac{6\delta\varkappa}{\mathcal{N}(1+\delta)} + \frac{108\delta^2\varkappa^3}{|((1-\delta)\mathcal{M}^2 + (\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{M})2\delta(\delta+1))9\varkappa^2 - (1+\delta)^2\mathcal{M}^2(18\varkappa^2 - 1)|}, \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$iii). \quad |d_3 - \xi d_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{6\delta\varkappa}{(1+\delta)\mathcal{N}} & ; |1 - \xi| \leq \mathcal{J} \\ \frac{108\delta^2\varkappa^3|1-\xi|}{|((1-\delta)\mathcal{M}^2 + (\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{M})2\delta(\delta+1))9\varkappa^2 - (1+\delta)^2\mathcal{M}^2(18\varkappa^2 - 1)|} & ; |1 - \xi| \geq \mathcal{J}, \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$\mathcal{J} = \frac{|((1-\delta)\mathcal{M}^2 + (\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{M})2\delta(\delta+1))9\varkappa^2 - (1+\delta)^2\mathcal{M}^2(18\varkappa^2 - 1)|}{18\delta(1+\delta)\mathcal{N}\varkappa^2}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\mathcal{M} = \left(2 \left(\frac{\mu[2]_{p,q} + \nu}{\mu + \nu} \right)^k - 1 \right), \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\mathcal{N} = \left(3 \left(\frac{\mu[3]_{p,q} + \nu}{\mu + \nu} \right)^k - 1 \right). \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. Let $\theta \in \mathfrak{E}_{\Sigma,p,q}^{\delta,k}(x, \nu, \mu)$. Definition 1.3 can then be used to write

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\zeta(W_{p,q}^{\nu,\mu,k}\theta(\zeta))'}{\theta(\zeta)} + \left(\frac{\zeta(W_{p,q}^{\nu,\mu,k}\theta(\zeta))'}{\theta(\zeta)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \right\} = \mathbf{B}(\varkappa, m(\zeta)), \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\omega(W_{p,q}^{\nu,\mu,k}\Theta(\omega))'}{\Theta(\omega)} + \left(\frac{\omega(W_{p,q}^{\nu,\mu,k}\Theta(\omega))'}{\Theta(\omega)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \right\} = \mathbf{B}(\varkappa, n(\omega)), \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$\mathbf{m}(\zeta) = m_1\zeta + m_2\zeta^2 + m_3\zeta^3 + \dots, \text{ and } \mathbf{n}(\omega) = n_1\omega + n_2\omega^2 + n_3\omega^3 + \dots, \zeta, \omega \in \mathfrak{D} \tag{2.9}$$

are some holomorphic functions with $|\mathbf{m}(\zeta)| < 1$, $|\mathbf{n}(\omega)| < 1$, $\zeta, \omega \in \mathfrak{D}$ and $\mathbf{m}(0) = 0 = \mathbf{n}(0)$. We know that

$$|\mathbf{m}_i| \leq 1, \text{ and } |\mathbf{n}_i| \leq 1, i \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.10}$$

In light of (2.9), we obtain by substituting $\mathbf{B}(\varkappa, \zeta)$ from (1.5) in (2.7) and (2.8):

$$\mathbf{B}(\varkappa, m(\zeta)) = 1 + \mathbf{B}_1(\varkappa)m_1\zeta + [\mathbf{B}_1(\varkappa)m_2 + \mathbf{B}_2(\varkappa)m_1^2]\zeta^2 + \dots \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$\mathbf{B}(\varkappa, n(\omega)) = 1 + \mathbf{B}_1(\varkappa)n_1\omega + [\mathbf{B}_1(\varkappa)n_2 + \mathbf{B}_2(\varkappa)n_1^2]\omega^2 + \dots \tag{2.12}$$

The inference from (2.7) and (2.8) is that

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\zeta(W_{p,q}^{\nu,\mu,k}\theta(\zeta))'}{\theta(\zeta)} + \left(\frac{\zeta(W_{p,q}^{\nu,\mu,k}\theta(\zeta))'}{\theta(\zeta)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \right\} = \tag{2.13}$$

$$1 + \left(\frac{1 + \delta}{2\delta} \right) \mathcal{M}d_2\zeta + \left(\left(\frac{1 + \delta}{2\delta} \right) (\mathcal{N}d_3 - \mathcal{M}d_2^2) + \left(\frac{1 - \delta}{4\delta^2} \right) \mathcal{M}^2d_2^2 \right) \zeta^2 + \dots$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\omega(W_{p,q}^{\nu,\mu,k}\Theta(\omega))'}{\Theta(\omega)} + \left(\frac{\omega(W_{p,q}^{\nu,\mu,k}\Theta(\omega))'}{\Theta(\omega)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \right\} = \tag{2.14}$$

$$1 + \left(\frac{1 + \delta}{2\delta} \right) \mathcal{M}d_2\omega + \left(\left(\frac{1 + \delta}{2\delta} \right) (\mathcal{N}(2d_2^2 - d_3) - \mathcal{M}d_2^2) + \left(\frac{1 - \delta}{4\delta^2} \right) \mathcal{M}^2d_2^2 \right) \omega^2 + \dots,$$

in which \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} are, respectively, as indicated in (2.5) and (2.6). Equations (2.13) and (2.14) imply that

$$\left(\frac{1+\delta}{2\delta}\right)\mathcal{M}d_2 = \mathbf{B}_1(\varkappa)\mathbf{m}_1, \quad (2.15)$$

$$\left(\frac{1+\delta}{2\delta}\right)(\mathcal{N}d_3 - \mathcal{M}d_2^2) + \left(\frac{1-\delta}{4\delta^2}\right)\mathcal{M}^2d_2^2 = \mathbf{B}_1(\varkappa)\mathbf{m}_2 + \mathbf{B}_2(\varkappa)\mathbf{m}_1^2, \quad (2.16)$$

$$-\left(\frac{1+\delta}{2\delta}\right)\mathcal{M}d_2 = \mathbf{B}_1(\varkappa)\mathbf{n}_1 \quad (2.17)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{1+\delta}{2\delta}\right)(\mathcal{N}(2d_2^2 - d_3) - \mathcal{M}d_2^2) + \left(\frac{1-\delta}{4\delta^2}\right)\mathcal{M}^2d_2^2 = \mathbf{B}_1(\varkappa)\mathbf{n}_2 + \mathbf{B}_2(\varkappa)\mathbf{n}_1^2. \quad (2.18)$$

From (2.15) and (2.17), we have

$$m_1 = -n_1 \quad (2.19)$$

and also

$$\left(\frac{(1+\delta)^2}{2\delta^2}\right)\mathcal{M}^2d_2^2 = (m_1^2 + n_1^2)(\mathbf{B}_1(\varkappa))^2. \quad (2.20)$$

When (2.16) and (2.18) are added, we get

$$2\left[\left(\frac{1+\delta}{\delta}\right)(\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{M}) + \left(\frac{1-\delta}{2\delta^2}\right)\mathcal{M}^2\right]d_2^2 = \mathbf{B}_1(\varkappa)(m_2 + n_2) + \mathbf{B}_2(\varkappa)(m_1^2 + n_1^2). \quad (2.21)$$

Substituting the value of $m_1^2 + n_1^2$ from (2.20) in (2.21), we get

$$d_2^2 = \frac{2\delta^2(\mathbf{B}_1(\varkappa))^3(m_2 + n_2)}{[(1-\delta)\mathcal{M}^2 + (\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{M})2\delta(\delta+1)(\mathbf{B}_1(\varkappa))^2 - (1+\delta)^2\mathcal{M}^2\mathbf{B}_2(\varkappa)]}, \quad (2.22)$$

which produces (2.1), when applied (2.10).

After deducting (2.18) from (2.16) and using (2.19), we arrive at

$$d_3 = d_2^2 + \frac{\delta\mathbf{B}_1(\varkappa)(m_2 - n_2)}{(1+\delta)\mathcal{N}}. \quad (2.23)$$

The inequality that results from this is as follows:

$$|d_3| \leq |d_2|^2 + \frac{|\mathbf{B}_1(\varkappa)||\mathbf{m}_2 - \mathbf{n}_2|}{\left(\frac{\delta+1}{\delta}\right)U[3]_{p,q}}. \quad (2.24)$$

Applying (2.10) for \mathbf{m}_2 and \mathbf{n}_2 , we obtain (2.5) from (2.1) and (2.24).

From (2.22) and (2.23), for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, we get in view of (1.4),

$$|d_3 - \xi d_2^2| = |L_1(x)| \left| \left(F(\xi, x) + \frac{\delta}{(1+\delta)\mathcal{N}} \right) m_2 + \left(F(\xi, x) - \frac{\delta}{(1+\delta)\mathcal{N}} \right) n_2 \right|,$$

where

$$F(\xi, x) = \frac{2\delta^2(1 - \xi)B_1^2(\varkappa)}{\left[((1 - \delta)\mathcal{M}^2 + (\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{M})2\delta(\delta + 1))B_1^2(\varkappa) - (1 + \delta)^2\mathcal{M}^2B_2(\varkappa) \right]}$$

Clearly

$$|d_3 - \xi d_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2\delta|B_1(\varkappa)|}{(1+\delta)\mathcal{N}} & ; 0 \leq |B(\xi, x)| \leq \frac{\delta}{\mathcal{N}(1+\delta)} \\ 2|B_1(\varkappa)||F(\xi, x)| & ; |B(\xi, x)| \geq \frac{\delta}{\mathcal{N}(1+\delta)}, \end{cases}$$

with \mathcal{J} as in (2.4), brings us to the conclusion (2.3). Thus, the proof is finished. □

Corollary 2.1. *Let $\nu = 1 - \mu$ in Theorem 2.1. Then for a function $\theta \in \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma, p, q}^{\delta, k}(\varkappa, \mu)$, the upper bounds of $|d_2|, |d_3|$, and $|d_3 - \xi d_2^2|, \xi \in \mathbb{R}$, are given by (2.1), (2.5), and (2.3), respectively, with $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 = 2\mu([2]_{p, q} - 1)^k + 1$, and $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_1 = 3\mu([3]_{p, q} - 1)^k + 2$. \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} must be replaced with \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{N}_1 for \mathcal{J} in (2.4).*

Corollary 2.2. *Let $\nu = 1 + l - \mu (l > -1)$, in Theorem 2.1. Then for $\theta \in \mathfrak{G}_{\Sigma, p, q}^{\delta, k}(\varkappa, l, \mu)$, the upper bounds of $|d_2|, |d_3|$, and $|d_3 - \xi d_2^2|, \xi \in \mathbb{R}$, are given by (2.1), (2.5), and (2.3), respectively, with $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_2 = \left(2 \left(\frac{l+1+\mu([2]_{p, q}-1)}{l+1} \right)^k - 1 \right)$, and $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_2 = \left(3 \left(\frac{l+1+\mu([3]_{p, q}-1)}{l+1} \right)^k - 1 \right)$. \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} are to be replaced with \mathcal{M}_2 and \mathcal{N}_2 for \mathcal{J} in (2.4).*

$\delta = 1$ Theorem 2.1 suggests

Corollary 2.3. *Let $\varkappa \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 1], k \in \mathbb{N}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \mu \geq 0$, and $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\nu + \mu > 0$. If a function $\theta \in \mathfrak{H}_{\Sigma, p, q}^k(x, \nu, \mu)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} i). \quad |d_2| &\leq \frac{3\varkappa\sqrt{3\varkappa}}{\sqrt{|(\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{M})9\varkappa^2 - \mathcal{M}^2(18\varkappa^2 - 1)|}}, \\ ii). \quad |d_3| &\leq \frac{27\varkappa^2}{|(\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{M})9\varkappa^2 - \mathcal{M}^2(18\varkappa^2 - 1)|} + \frac{3\varkappa}{\mathcal{N}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$iii). \quad |d_3 - \xi d_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{3\varkappa}{\mathcal{N}} & ; |1 - \xi| \leq \mathcal{J}_1 \\ \frac{27\varkappa^3|1-\xi|}{|(\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{M})9\varkappa^2 - \mathcal{M}^2(18\varkappa^2 - 1)|} & ; |1 - \xi| \geq \mathcal{J}_1, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathcal{J}_1 = \left| \frac{(\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{M})9\varkappa^2 - \mathcal{M}^2(18\varkappa^2 - 1)}{9\mathcal{N}\varkappa^2} \right|$, \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} are given by (2.5) and (2.6), respectively.

Remark 2.1. The result of Hussen and Illafe [27, Corollary 1] is obtained by allowing $k = 0$ in the above corollary.

Corollary 2.4. *In Theorem 2.1, let $q \rightarrow 1^-$ and $p = 1$. Then for any function $\theta \in \mathfrak{Y}_{\Sigma}^{\delta, k}(\varkappa, \nu, \mu)$, the upper bounds of $|d_2|, |d_3|$, and $|d_3 - \xi d_2^2|, \xi \in \mathbb{R}$, are given by (2.1), (2.5), and (2.3), respectively, with $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_3 = \left(2 \left(\frac{\nu+2\mu}{\nu+\mu} \right)^k - 1 \right)$, and $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_3 = \left(3 \left(\frac{\nu+3\mu}{\nu+\mu} \right)^k - 1 \right)$. For \mathcal{J} in (2.4), \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} are to be substituted with \mathcal{M}_3 and \mathcal{N}_3 , respectively.*

Remark 2.2. $k = 0$ in the set $\mathfrak{Y}_{\Sigma}^{\delta,k}(\varkappa, \nu, \mu)$ yields the subset $\Omega_{\Sigma}^{\delta}(\varkappa)$, $0 < \delta \leq 1$, and $\varkappa \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ which is a collection of $\theta \in \Sigma$ functions that fulfill

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\zeta\theta'(\zeta)}{\theta(\zeta)} + \left(\frac{\zeta\theta'(\zeta)}{\theta(\zeta)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \right\} \prec B(\varkappa, \zeta) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\omega\Theta'(\omega)}{\Theta(\omega)} + \left(\frac{\omega\Theta'(\omega)}{\Theta(\omega)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \right\} \prec B(\varkappa, \omega),$$

where $\zeta, \omega \in \mathfrak{D}$.

Corollary 2.5. Let $0 < \delta \leq 1$ and $\varkappa \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. If a function $\theta \in \Omega_{\Sigma}^{\delta}(\varkappa)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} i). \quad |d_2| &\leq \frac{6\delta\varkappa\sqrt{3\varkappa}}{\sqrt{|(1+\delta)^2 - (1+3\delta)9\varkappa^2|}}, \\ ii). \quad |d_3| &\leq \frac{108\delta^2\varkappa^3}{|(1+\delta)^2 - (1+3\delta)9\varkappa^2|} + \frac{3\delta\varkappa}{(1+\delta)}, \end{aligned}$$

and for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$

$$iii). \quad |d_3 - \xi d_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{3\delta\varkappa}{(1+\delta)} & ; |1 - \xi| \leq \frac{|(1+\delta)^2 - (1+3\delta)9\varkappa^2|}{36\delta(1+\delta)\varkappa^2} \\ \frac{108\delta^2\varkappa^3|1-\xi|}{|(1+\delta)^2 - (1+3\delta)9\varkappa^2|} & ; |1 - \xi| \geq \frac{|(1+\delta)^2 - (1+3\delta)9\varkappa^2|}{36\delta(1+\delta)\varkappa^2}. \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.3. We derive the result of Hussien and Illafe [27, Corollary 1] by taking $\delta = 1$ in Corollary 2.5.

3 Conclusions

Upper bounds on $|d_2|$ and $|d_3|$ for functions in the defined subfamily of σ associated with the (p, q) -derivative operator subordinate to LB polynomials are established in this study. Furthermore, for functions in this subfamily, the Fekete-Szegő functional $|d_3 - \mu d_2^2|$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ has been noted. There have been few implications revealed by choosing the parameters in Theorem 2.1. Additionally, pertinent links to the ongoing research are found. However, not all of the important subclasses of Σ that are present in the literature are covered in this paper. For example, authors [33, 34, 40] have studied a number of subclasses of Σ involving the (p, q) -operator. The interested reader is advised to read these papers and the related references. Future studies might look into extending obtained results to fractional derivatives, Toeplitz determinants or higher-order Hankel determinants.

Declaration

Data Availability Statement:

For this manuscript, no data is used.

Conflicts of Interest:

The authors reiterate that there are no conflicting interests regarding the publication of this work.

Funding:

This research received no research fund.

References

- [1] Amourah, A., Frasin, B. A., Swamy, S. R., & Sailaja, Y. (2022). Coefficient bounds for Al-Oboudi type bi-univalent functions connected with a modified sigmoid activation function and k -Fibonacci numbers. *Journal of Mathematical and Computer Science*, 27, 105-117. <https://doi.org/10.22436/jmcs.027.02.02>
- [2] Altınkaya, Ş., & Yalçın, S. (2020). Lucas polynomials and applications to a unified class of bi-univalent functions equipped with (P, Q) -derivative operators. *TWMS Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 11(1), 100-108.
- [3] Altınkaya, Ş., & Yalçın, S. (2020). Certain classes of bi-univalent functions of complex order associated with quasi-subordination involving (p, q) -derivative operator. *Kragujevac Journal of Mathematics*, 44(4), 639-649. <https://doi.org/10.46793/KgJMat2004.639A>
- [4] Al-Oboudi, F. M. (2004). On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 27, 1429-1436. <https://doi.org/10.1155/S0161171204108090>
- [5] Araci, S., Duran, U., Acikgoz, M., & Srivastava, H. M. (2016). A certain (p, q) -derivative operator and associated divided differences. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 2016(301), 8 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-016-1240-8>
- [6] Arik, M., Demircan, E., Turgut, T., Ekinçi, L., & Mungan, M. (1992). Fibonacci oscillators. *Zeitschrift für Physik C Particles and Fields*, 55, 89-95. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01558292>
- [7] Behera, A., & Panda, G. K. (1999). On the sequence of roots of triangular numbers. *The Fibonacci Quarterly*, 37(2), 98-105. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00150517.1999.12428864>
- [8] Berczes, A., Liptai, K., & Pink, I. (2020). On generalized balancing sequences. *The Fibonacci Quarterly*, 48(2), 121-128. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00150517.2010.12428112>
- [9] Brannan, D. A., & Taha, T. S. (1985). On some classes of bi-univalent functions. In S. M. Mazhar, A. Hamoui, & N. S. Faour (Eds.), *Mathematical Analysis and its Applications* (pp. 53-60). Kuwait: KFAS Proceedings Series, Vol. 3. Pergamon Press (Elsevier Science Limited), Oxford; see also *Studia Universitatis Babeş-Bolyai Mathematica*, 31(2), 70-77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-031636-9.50012-7>

- [10] Brannan, D. A., & Clunie, J. G. (1979). *Aspects of contemporary complex analysis*. Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute held at University of Durham. New York: Academic Press.
- [11] Brodimas, G., Jannussis, A., & Mignani, R. (1991). Two-parameter quantum groups. *Dipartimento di Fisica Università di Roma "La Sapienza" I.N.F.N. - Sezione di Roma*, preprint ID No. 820.
- [12] Bukweli-Kyemba, J. D., & Hounkonnou, M. N. (2013). Quantum deformed algebras: coherent states and special functions. *arXiv preprint*, arXiv:1301.0116.
- [13] Bulut, S. (2014). Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for a comprehensive subclass of analytic bi-univalent functions. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I*, 35, 479-484. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2014.04.004>
- [14] Çağlar, M., Deniz, E., & Srivastava, H. M. (2017). Second Hankel determinant for certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions. *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*, 41, 694-706. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1602-25>
- [15] Cătaş, A. (2007). On certain class of p -valent functions defined by new multiplier transformations. In A. Cătaş (Ed.), *Proceedings book of the international symposium on geometric function theory and applications, August 20-24, 2007, TC Istanbul Kultur University, Turkey* (pp. 241-250).
- [16] Chakrabarti, R., & Jagannathan, R. (1991). A (p, q) -oscillator realization of two-parameter quantum. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 24(13), L711. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/24/13/002>
- [17] Cotîrlă, L.-I. (2021). New classes of analytic and bi-univalent functions. *AIMS Mathematics*, 6(10), 10642-10651. <https://doi.org/10.3934/math.2021618>
- [18] Davala, R. K., & Panda, G. K. (2015). On sum and ratio formulas for balancing numbers. *Journal of the Indian Mathematical Society*, 82(1-2), 23-32.
- [19] Durani, U., Acikgoz, M., & Araci, S. (2020). A study on some new results arising from (p, q) -calculus. *TWMS Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 11(1), 57-71.
- [20] Duren, P. L. (1983). *Univalent functions*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 259. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [21] Fekete, M., & Szegő, G. (1933). Eine Bemerkung über ungerade schlichte Funktionen. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 89, 85-89. <https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s1-8.2.85>
- [22] Frasin, B. A., & Aouf, M. K. (2011). New subclasses of bi-univalent functions. *Applied Mathematics*, 24, 1569-1573. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2011.03.048>
- [23] Frasin, B. A., Swamy, S. R., Amourah, A., Salah, J., & Maheshwarappa, R. H. (2023). A family of bi-univalent functions defined by (p, q) -derivative operator subordinate to a generalized bivariate Fibonacci polynomials. *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 17(4), 3801-3814. <https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v17i4.5526>
- [24] Frontczak, R. (2019). On balancing polynomials. *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, 13, 57-66. <https://doi.org/10.12988/ams.2019.812183>

- [25] Frontczak, R. (2018). A note on hybrid convolutions involving Balancing and Lucas-Balancing numbers. *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, 12, 1201-1208. <https://doi.org/10.12988/ams.2018.87111>
- [26] Frontczak, R., & Baden-Württemberg, L. (2018). A note on hybrid convolutions involving balancing and Lucas-Balancing numbers. *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, 12, 2001-2008.
- [27] Hussen, A., & Illafe, M. (2023). Coefficient bounds for certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with Lucas-Balancing polynomials. *Mathematics*, 11, 4941. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math11244941>
- [28] Jackson, F. H. (1909). On q -functions and a certain difference operator. *Earth and Environmental Science Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh*, 46(2), 253-281. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0080456800002751>
- [29] Jackson, F. H. (1910). q -difference equations. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 32, 305-314. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2370183>
- [30] Jagannathan, R., & Rao, K. S. (2005). Two-parameter quantum algebras, twin-basic numbers, and associated generalized hypergeometric series. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Number Theory and Mathematical Physics* (pp. 20-21). Srinivasa Ramanujan Centre, Kumbakonam, India.
- [31] Lewin, M. (1967). On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 18, 63-68. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1967-0206255-1>
- [32] Liptai, K., Luca, F., Pintér, A., & Szalay, L. (2009). Generalized balancing numbers. *Indian Mathematics (N.S.)*, 20, 87-100. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-3577\(09\)80005-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-3577(09)80005-0)
- [33] Malyali, D. (2020). (p, q) -Hahn Difference Operator. (Master's thesis). Submitted to the Institute of Graduate Studies and Research, Eastern Mediterranean University, Gazimağusa, North Cyprus.
- [34] Motamednezhad, A., & Salehian, S. (2019). New subclasses of bi-univalent functions by $(p; q)$ -derivative operator. *Honam Mathematical Journal*, 41(2), 381-390. <https://doi.org/10.5831/HMJ.2019.41.2.381>
- [35] Panda, C. K., Komatsu, T., & Davala, R. K. (2018). Reciprocal sums of sequences involving balancing and Lucas-balancing numbers. *Mathematical Reports*, 20, 201-214.
- [36] Patel, B. K., Irmak, N., & Ray, P. K. (2018). Incomplete balancing and Lucas-balancing numbers. *Mathematical Reports*, 20, 59-72.
- [37] Ray, P. K. (2015). Balancing and Lucas-balancing sums by matrix method. *Mathematical Reports*, 17, 225-233.
- [38] Ray, P. K., & Sahu, J. (2016). Generating functions for certain balancing and Lucas-balancing numbers. *Palestine Journal of Mathematics*, 5(2), 122-129. <https://doi.org/10.12697/ACUTM.2016.20.14>
- [39] Sadjang, P. N. (2013). On the fundamental theorem of (p, q) -calculus and some (p, q) -Taylor formulas. *arXiv preprint*, arXiv:1309.3934v1, August 22.
- [40] Santhiya, S., & Thilagavathi, K. (2023). Geometric properties of analytic functions defined by the (p, q) -derivative operator involving the Poisson distribution. *Journal of Mathematics*, 2023, Article ID 2097976, 12 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/2097976>

- [41] Selvaraj, C., Thirupathi, G., & Umadevi, E. (2017). Certain classes of analytic functions involving a family of generalized differential operators. *Transylvanian Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics*, 9(1), 51-61.
- [42] Srivastava, H. M., Mishra, A. K., & Gochhayat, P. (2010). Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 23, 1188-1192. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2010.05.009>
- [43] Srivastava, H. M., Raza, N., AbuJarad, E. S. A., Srivastava, G., & AbuJarad, M. H. (2019). Fekete-Szegő inequality for classes of (p, q) -Starlike and (p, q) -convex functions. *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A, Matemáticas*, 113(4), 3563-3584. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13398-019-00713-5>
- [44] Swamy, S. R. (2021). Coefficient bounds for Al-Oboudi type bi-univalent functions based on a modified sigmoid activation function and Horadam polynomials. *Earthline Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 7(2), 251-270. <https://doi.org/10.34198/ejms.7221.251270>
- [45] Swamy, S. R. (2012). Inclusion properties of certain subclasses of analytic functions. *International Mathematical Forum*, 7(36), 1751-1760.
- [46] Swamy, S. R. (2012). Inclusion properties for certain subclasses of analytic functions defined by a generalized multiplier transformation. *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, 6(32), 1553-1564.
- [47] Swamy, S. R., & Atshan, W. G. (2022). Coefficient bounds for regular and bi-univalent functions linked with Gegenbauer polynomials. *Gulf Journal of Mathematics*, 13(2), 67-77. <https://doi.org/10.15393/j3.art.2022.10351>
- [48] Swamy, S. R., Breaz, D., Kala, V., Mamatha, P. K., Cotîrlă, L.-I., & Răpeanu, E. (2024). Initial coefficient bounds analysis for novel subclasses of bi-univalent functions linked with Lucas-Balancing polynomials. *Mathematics*, 12, 1325. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math12091325>
- [49] Swamy, S. R., Frasin, B. A., Breaz, D., & Cotîrlă, L.-I. (2024). Two families of bi-univalent functions associating the (p, q) -derivative with generalized bivariate Fibonacci polynomials. *Mathematics*, 12, 3933. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math12243933>
- [50] Swamy, S. R., Mamatha, P. K., Magesh, N., & Yamini, J. (2020). Certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions defined by Salagean operator with the (p, q) -Lucas polynomials. *Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal*, 9(8), 6017-6025. <https://doi.org/10.37418/amsj.9.8.70>
- [51] Tan, D. L. (1984). Coefficient estimates for bi-univalent functions. *Chinese Annals of Mathematics, Series A*, 5, 559-568.
- [52] Tuncer, A., Ali, A., & Abdul, M. S. (2016). On Kantorovich modification of (p, q) -Baskakov operators. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 2016, Article 98. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-016-1045-9>
- [53] Vijayalakshmi, S. P., Sudharsan, T. V., & Bulboaca, T. (2024). Symmetric Toeplitz determinants for classes defined by post-quantum operators subordinated to the limaçon function. *Studia Universitatis Babeş-Bolyai Mathematica*, 69(2), 299-316. <https://doi.org/10.24193/submath.2024.2.04>

-
- [54] Wachs, M., & White, D. (1991). (p, q) -Stirling numbers and set partition statistics. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 56(1), 27-46. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0097-3165\(91\)90020-H](https://doi.org/10.1016/0097-3165(91)90020-H)

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted, use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, or format for any purpose, even commercially provided the work is properly cited.
