Compatibility of Diborane and Borane with FOX-7 - A DFT Treatment
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Abstract
FOX-7 as an energetic material is getting more and more popular as the constituents of various ammunitions. On the other hand, boronic species attract attention as fuels in rocket engineering. The present study, within the constraints of density functional theory, considers some composites of them, that is FOX-7+B₂H₆, FOX-7+2BH₃ and FOX-7+BH₃. The calculations at the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level indicate that all the components are structurally stable in the composites although they interact with each other electronically. Various quantum chemical and QSAR data are obtained and discussed.

1. Introduction

Diborane is an interesting compound having the formula of B₂H₆ in which two three-centered bonds exist [1]. It is a gas (m.p. −165.5°C, b.p. −92.5°C) and stable up to 100°C [2, 3]. All the evidence indicates that it is a diamagnetic material hence the molecule contains no unpaired electrons. The molecule is electron deficient, reacts with ammonia and certain amines and hydrazine [2-5]. In its structure each boron atom is tetrahedrally hybridized [6-8].
On heating diborane produces borane [9]

\[
\text{B}_2\text{H}_6 \leftrightarrow 2\text{BH}_3
\]

Some theoretical studies exist in the literature on borane and diborane [10-12]. Liao elucidated the electronic structure of the hydrogen-bridge bond in \( \text{B}_2\text{H}_6 \) molecule. The decomposition product \( \text{BH}_3 \) initiates hydroboration reactions which serve not only as an important preparation method for organoboron compounds but also as the first step in several reaction sequences of wide application in synthetic organic chemistry [6]. Hydroboration with diborane in the absence of catalytic ether solvents has not proved convenient for the preparation of organoboron compounds [6].

Diborane is used as a reducing agent, as a rubber vulcanizer, as a catalyst for hydrocarbon polymerization, as a flame-speed accelerator, and as a doping agent. It is also used in electronics to impart electrical properties in pure crystals [4-6, 13]. During 1950s, a considerable effort was expended in the search for diborane and organoboranes that could serve as efficient and easy-to-handle high-energy rocket propellants [14-18].

On the other hand, 1,1-diamino-2,2-dinitroethylene (DADE, DADNE) is an insensitive high explosive known as FOX-7 [19-21]. Many researchers have investigated its explosive potential thoroughly [22-36].

Nitration of 4,6-dihydroxy-2-methylpyrimidine and then hydrolysis constitutes an alternative route to FOX-7 [37]. It is a push-pull type molecule having donor and acceptor groups in its structure (amino and nitro groups, respectively) and exhibits abundant chemical reactivity including coordination reactions, nucleophilic substitutions, acetylate reactions, oxidation and reduction reactions, electrophilic addition reactions etc., [38, 39]. FOX-7 is much less sensitive than RDX (in terms of impact, friction, and electrostatic discharge sensitivities) [40]. In the last couple of decades several FOX-7 based propellant formulations have been developed in order to obtain propellant composites having a minimum or reduced smoke production [41].
In the present study, within the restrictions of density functional theory, some composites that is FOX-7+B₂H₆, FOX-7+2BH₃ and FOX-7+BH₃ are considered.

2. Method of Calculation

In the present study, the initial structural optimizations of all the structures leading to energy minima have been achieved by using MM2 method followed by semi-empirical PM3 self-consistent fields molecular orbital (SCF MO) method [42, 43] at the restricted level [44, 45]. Subsequent optimizations were achieved at Hartree-Fock level using various basis sets. Then, the structural optimizations were managed within the framework of density functional theory (DFT) [46, 47] at the level of B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) [45, 48]. The exchange term of B3LYP consists of hybrid Hartree-Fock and local spin density (LSD) exchange functions with Becke’s gradient correlation to LSD exchange [47, 49]. The correlation term of B3LYP consists of the Vosko, Wilk, Nusair (VWN3) local correlation functional [50] and Lee, Yang, Parr (LYP) correlation correction functional [51]. Also, the vibrational analyses have been done. The total electronic energies are corrected for the zero point vibrational energy (ZPE). The normal mode analysis for each structure yielded no imaginary frequencies for the 3N–6 vibrational degrees of freedom, where N is the number of atoms in the system. This indicates that the structure of each molecule corresponds to at least a local minimum on the potential energy surface. All these calculations were done by using the Spartan 06 package program [52].

3. Results and Discussion

The presently considered composites are FOX-7+B₂H₆, FOX-7+2BH₃ and FOX-7+BH₃. It is to be mentioned that BH₃ is the dissociation product (at least one of them) of B₂H₆. It is known that both B₂H₆ and BH₃ are electron deficient reagents [7]. On the other hand FOX-7, also known as geminal diaminodinitroethene (DADNE), is a push-pull type olefinic compound classified as insensitive high explosive.

Figure 1 shows the optimized structures, as well as the direction of the dipole moments of the composites considered. As seen in the figure, diborane orients itself nearby one of the nitration groups of FOX-7 molecule. In the case of FOX-7+2BH₃ case, one of the borane molecules is around the nitro groups whereas the other borane
molecule is nearby the amino groups. The BH$_3$ molecule in the case of 1:1 composite locates itself next to the amino groups of FOX-7 molecule. All those variations of location of the B$_2$H$_6$ or BH$_3$ molecules affect the properties of the composites. Some of the properties of the composites considered are displayed in Table 1.

![optimized structures of the composites considered](image)

**Figure 1.** Optimized structures of the composites considered.

**Table 1.** Some properties of the composites considered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composite</th>
<th>Dipole moment</th>
<th>Polarizability</th>
<th>Hardness</th>
<th>Electronegativity</th>
<th>Ovality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FOX-7+B$_2$H$_6$</td>
<td>8.74</td>
<td>53.93</td>
<td>223.63</td>
<td>511.33</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOX-7+2BH$_3$</td>
<td>10.94</td>
<td>54.58</td>
<td>187.19</td>
<td>530.13</td>
<td>1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOX-7+BH$_3$</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>51.39</td>
<td>228.90</td>
<td>575.77</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dipole moment in debye units. Hardness and electronegativity in kJ/mol.
Figure 2 shows the ESP charges on the atoms of the composites. Note that the ESP charges are obtained by the program based on a numerical method that generates charges that reproduce the electrostatic potential field from the entire wavefunction [52]. In the diborane composite, the boron atoms acquire some partial negative charges contrary to the bridge hydrogens (three-centered bond owners).

In the case of FOX-7+2BH$_3$, the boron atom next to the amino groups has some positive partial charge whereas the other boron atom which is next to the NO$_2$ group possesses negative partial charge. However, in FOX-7+BH$_3$ composite, the boron atom which is next to the amino groups has negative partial charge. In all the cases, the ESP charges on the respective atoms of the FOX-7 moieties are quite different from each other. These variations dictate the appearance of the electrostatic potential maps of the composites shown in Figure 3 where red/reddish and blue/green regions stand for negative and positive potential fields, respectively.

Table 1 also shows the hardness and electronegativity values which are defined as:

Hardness = $-\frac{\epsilon_{HOMO}-\epsilon_{LUMO}}{2}$

Electronegativity = $-\frac{\epsilon_{HOMO}+\epsilon_{LUMO}}{2}$

---

*Figure 2.* The ESP charges on the atoms of the composites (hydrogens of the three-centered bonds are omitted).
where \( \varepsilon_{\text{HOMO}} \) and \( \varepsilon_{\text{LUMO}} \) are the energies of the highest occupied and lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals, respectively (see below paragraphs for the \( \varepsilon_{\text{HOMO}} \) and \( \varepsilon_{\text{LUMO}} \) values). The hardest and most electronegative composite is FOX-7+BH₃. FOX-7+B₂H₆ is harder but less electronegative than its isomeric composite.

The hardest and most electronegative composite is FOX-7+BH₃. FOX-7+B₂H₆ is harder but less electronegative than its isomeric composite.

![Figure 3. The electrostatic potential maps of the composites.](image)

Table 2 shows some of the energies of the composites. Note that FOX-7+B₂H₆ and FOX-7+2BH₃ composites have the same brutu formula, hence they are isomeric in some sense. The \( E \), ZPE and \( E_C \) values are the total electronic energy, zero point vibrational energy and the corrected total electronic energy, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composite</th>
<th>( E )</th>
<th>ZPE</th>
<th>( E_C )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FOX-7+B₂H₆</td>
<td>-1711339.67</td>
<td>407.88</td>
<td>-1710931.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOX-7+2BH₃</td>
<td>-1711227.77</td>
<td>394.79</td>
<td>-1710832.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOX-7+BH₃</td>
<td>-1641332.54</td>
<td>330.06</td>
<td>-1641002.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Energies in kJ/mol.

The comparison of \( E_C \) values for the isomeric cases reveals that FOX-7+B₂H₆ composite is electronically more stable than FOX-7+2BH₃ case. It is also true for their
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thermal properties which are shown in Table 3. The $H^\circ$ values indicate that the formation of composite of $B_2H_6$ is more exothermic than the respective value of FOX-7+2BH₃ case. Similarly, the free energy of formation values reveal that the formation of $B_2H_6$ composite is more favorable as compared to FOX-7+2BH₃ case which is entropically more favored.

**Table 3.** Some thermo chemical energies of the composites considered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composite</th>
<th>$H^\circ$</th>
<th>$S^\circ$ (J/mol$^\circ$)</th>
<th>$G^\circ$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FOX-7+$B_2H_6$</td>
<td>-1710914.01</td>
<td>442.46</td>
<td>-1711045.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOX-7+2BH₃</td>
<td>-1710812.23</td>
<td>462.89</td>
<td>-1710950.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOX-7+BH₃</td>
<td>-1640990.06</td>
<td>397.96</td>
<td>-1641108.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Energies in kJ/mol.

Table 4 shows the HOMO and LUMO energies as well as the interfrontier molecular orbital energy gaps ($\Delta\varepsilon$) of the composites. The order of the HOMO energies is FOX-7+BH₃ < FOX-7+$B_2H_6$ < FOX-7+2BH₃. Whereas, the LUMO energies follow the order of FOX-7+BH₃ < FOX-7+2BH₃ < FOX-7+$B_2H_6$. As a consequence of these orders, the $\Delta\varepsilon$ values exhibit the order of FOX-7+BH₃ < FOX-7+$B_2H_6$ < FOX-7+2BH₃. Table 4 also

**Table 4.** The HOMO, LUMO energies and the interfrontier molecular orbital energy gaps ($\Delta\varepsilon$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composite</th>
<th>HOMO</th>
<th>LUMO</th>
<th>$\Delta\varepsilon$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FOX-7+$B_2H_6$</td>
<td>-734.97</td>
<td>-287.70</td>
<td>447.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOX-7+2BH₃</td>
<td>-717.33</td>
<td>-342.94</td>
<td>374.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOX-7+BH₃</td>
<td>-804.68</td>
<td>-346.87</td>
<td>457.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_2H_6$</td>
<td>-878.02</td>
<td>-115.21</td>
<td>762.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH₃</td>
<td>-940.81</td>
<td>-216.69</td>
<td>724.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOX-7</td>
<td>-724.90</td>
<td>-276.96</td>
<td>447.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Energies in kJ/mol.

includes the respective energies of the components of the composites. The data in the
table reveal that the composites have higher HOMO energy levels compared to the
respective levels of the boron components but lower values with respect to FOX-7
component with the exception of FOX-7+2BH₃. As for the LUMO energies, the
composites all have lower values compared to their components. The composites all have
smaller ∆\varepsilon values than that of the components.

Figure 4 shows some of the molecular orbital energy levels of the components of the
composites. As seen in Table 4 and Figure 4, the HOMO and LUMO energy orders of the

Figure 4. Some of the molecular orbital energy levels of the components of the
composites.
components is \( \text{BH}_3 < \text{B}_2\text{H}_6 < \text{FOX}-7 \) and \( \text{FOX}-7 < \text{BH}_3 < \text{B}_2\text{H}_6 \), respectively. The frontier molecular orbital interactions between the components as \( \text{LUMO}_A - \text{HOMO}_B \) and \( \text{LUMO}_B - \text{HOMO}_A \) are shown in Table 5. Accordingly, the interaction between \( \text{FOX}-7 \) and \( \text{B}_2\text{H}_6 \) seems to be almost equally \( \text{HOMO}_{\text{B}_2\text{H}_6} - \text{LUMO}_{\text{FOX}-7} \) and \( \text{HOMO}_{\text{FOX}-7} - \text{LUMO}_{\text{B}_2\text{H}_6} \) controlled. Whereas, the interaction with \( \text{BH}_3 \) seems to be mainly \( \text{HOMO}_{\text{FOX}-7} - \text{LUMO}_{\text{BH}_3} \) controlled.

Table 5. Type of frontier molecular orbital interactions between the components of the composites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HOMO (FOX-7)</th>
<th>LUMO (FOX-7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HOMO (B(_2)H(_6))</td>
<td>601.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUMO (B(_2)H(_6))</td>
<td>609.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMO (BH(_3))</td>
<td>663.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUMO (BH(_3))</td>
<td>508.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Energies in kJ/mol.

Figure 5 shows some of the molecular orbital energy levels of the composites considered. As seen in Table 4 and Figure 4 the dissociation of \( \text{B}_2\text{H}_6 \) into \( \text{BH}_3 \) raises up the HOMO but lowers the LUMO levels of \( \text{FOX}-7 + \text{B}_2\text{H}_6 \) yielding molecular orbital energy levels of \( \text{FOX}-7 + 2\text{BH}_3 \) composite. Meantime \( \Delta \varepsilon \) values get smaller (narrowing of the interfrontier molecular orbital energy gap). Since \( \Delta \varepsilon \) value of an explosive is associated with its sensitivity to impact [53, 54], \( \text{FOX}-7 + 2\text{BH}_3 \) composite should be more sensitive than the diborane composite. However, note that \( \text{BH}_3 \) dimerizes at atmospheric pressure to yield diborane [55-57]. Also it is worth mentioning that the dissociation of \( \text{B}_2\text{H}_6 \) is not as simple as \( \text{B}_2\text{H}_6 \rightleftharpoons 2\text{BH}_3 \). Some series of interconversions of the boronic species occur [7].
Figure 5. Some of the molecular orbital energy levels of the composite species considered.

Figure 6 shows the HOMO and LUMO patterns of the components of the composites. Whereas the respective patterns of the composites are shown in Figure 7.

Figure 6. The HOMO and LUMO patterns of B\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}, BH\textsubscript{3} and FOX-7.
Figure 7 shows that $\text{B}_2\text{H}_6$ molecule does not contribute either to HOMO or LUMO of the composite. In the case of FOX-7+2BH$_3$ only one of the BH$_3$ contributes into the HOMO of the composite but both of them into the LUMO of the composite. Whereas in FOX-7+BH$_3$ case BH$_3$ contributes only into the HOMO. The comparison of the HOMO and LUMO patterns of FOX-7 with its patterns in the composites indicate that $\text{B}_2\text{H}_6$ does not affect the frontier molecular orbitals of the FOX-7 but in the other composites BH$_3$ highly affects them.
Figure 8 shows the LUMO maps of the composites. A LUMO map displays the absolute value of the LUMO on the electron density surface. The blue color stands for the maximum value of the LUMO and the color red, the minimum value. Hence, a nucleophile attacks on the atom having the blue color.

![LUMO maps of composites](image)

Figure 8. The LUMO maps of the composites.

4. Conclusion

Within the restrictions of the applied DFT methodology, the composites considered are found to be structurally stable and thermodynamically favorable although their components interact with each other. FOX-7+B₂H₆ composite is more stable than its isomeric composite FOX-7+2BH₃. The later composite is characterized with higher HOMO but lower LUMO energy as compared to its isomeric one. Among the all, FOX-7+2BH₃ has the smallest and FOX-7+BH₃ has the largest HOMO-LUMO energy gap. If the impact sensitivities are considered, and correlated with Δε values, as B₂H₆ in the composite undergoes dissociation, increasing number of BH₃ molecules should turn the composite to be more and more sensitive to impact.
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