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Abstract

The study evaluated comparative amino acids compositions of \textit{Uvaria chamae} stem bark and Ruzu bitters. The amino acids compositions were determined using amino acid analyzer. The result of amino acid composition showed that eighteen amino acids were detected in both samples. Ten of the detected amino acids were essential amino acids and eight were non-essential. Glumatic acid had the highest concentration of 10.90 and 5.15 g/100g protein in \textit{Uvaria chamae} stem bark and Ruzu bitters followed by aspartic acid with values of 8.40 and 3.44 g/100 g protein in \textit{Uvaria chamae} stem bark and Ruzu bitters respectively. Leucine was the next amino acid in \textit{Uvaria chamae} stem bark and Ruzu bitters followed by arginine. \textit{Uvaria chamae} stem bark had the highest level of total amino acids of 72.66 g/100 g protein and Ruzu bitters had 32.17 g/100 g protein. For the EAA, it was 34.41 g/100 g for \textit{Uvaria chamae} >17.44 g/100 g for Ruzu bitter. The highest essential amino acid (EAA) was leucine (6.13 and 3.56 g/100 g) in \textit{Uvaria chamae} stem bark and Ruzu bitters. The total sulphur amino acid was generally low at 1.01-1.78 g/100 g but the % Cysteine in total sulphur amino acid (TSAA) was slightly high at 47.05% for Ruzu bitters but lower in \textit{Uvaria chamae} stem bark (27.44%). The percentage coefficient variance (CV %) of the amino acid values were generally high with
the exception of isoleucine, phenylalanine, lysine, methionine, leucine, cysteine and alanine with respective CV % values of 27.32, 31.97, 33.68, 37.50, 37.53, 38.81 and 39.05 while rest of CV % values ranged from 50.69-94.53 showing the gap of the amino acid values in the two samples to each other. The results of this study indicate that *Uvaria chamae* stem bark is richer in essential amino acid while % Cys/TSAA value is higher in Ruzu bitters.

**Introduction**

Medicinal plants, since times immemorial have been used in virtually all cultures as a source of medicine. Their enormous usefulness in the primary health care system cannot be over emphasized (Newman et al. [36]). Traditional medicine has a long history and has been defined as the sum total of the knowledge, skills and practices based on the theories, beliefs and experiences indigenous to different cultures, whether explicable or not, used in the maintenance of health, as well as in the prevention, diagnosis, improvement or treatment of physical and mental illnesses (WHO [24]). The World Health Organization notes however that inappropriate use of traditional medicines or practices can have negative or dangerous effects and that further research is needed to ascertain the efficacy and safety of several of the practices (WHO [24]). The widespread use of medicinal plant preparations obtained from commonly used traditional herbs and medicinal plants have been traced to the occurrence of natural products with medicinal properties (Hoareau and DaSilva [26]). There has been an increasing reliance on the use of medicinal plants in Western Societies, which has been traced to the extraction and development of several drugs from these plants as well as from traditionally used as herbal remedies (UNESCO [25]). Evidence of the therapeutic effects of medicinal plants is seen in their continuous use. It is estimated that about 25% of all modern drug prescription are directly or indirectly derived from plants (UNESCO [25]). Such drugs include: quinine, reserpine, ephedrine, ipecac and morphine that have been in widespread use for a long time, and more recently adopted compounds such as the anti-malarial artemisinin.

Medicinal plants or healing herbs are used in treating and preventing specific ailments and diseases and as such are considered to play a beneficial role in health care (Srivastava et al. [27]). The World Health Organization estimated that 80% of the populations of developing countries rely on traditional medicines, mostly plant drugs, for their primary health care needs (Srivastava et al. [27]). Medicinal plants represent a
consistent part of the natural biodiversity endowment of many Countries in Africa (Okigbo et al. [28]). Also, modern pharmacopoeia still contains at least 25% drugs derived from plants and many others which are synthetic analogues built on prototype compounds isolated from plants. Medicinal uses of plants range from administration of the roots, barks, stems, leaves, and seeds to the use of extract and decoction from plants (Ogbulie et al. [29]). The medicinal properties or values may be present in one of all the plants parts like roots, stem, back, leaves, flower, fruit or seeds. In fact, with all the progress in synthetic chemistry and biotechnology, plants are still indispensable source of drugs and natural products on the basis of their therapeutics (Ogbulie et al. [29]). Among all, plants like Kalancho epinnata, Kajanuskajan, Pterocarpus sanalinoides, Moringa lucida, Alstonia boonei, Azadirachta indica, Khaya grandifoliola and others have been scholarly proved effective in the treatment of malaria and other microbial infection (Turner [40]). In Nigeria, the local people are known for using natural herbs and herbal formulae for addressing various kinds of blood deficiencies. In south-eastern Nigeria, the roots of Uvaria chamae among others, are considered excellent natural herbal blood boosters, used especially for debilitating conditions, acute blood loss and blood deficiency diseases (Obadoni and Ochuko [30]).

Uvaria chamae belongs to the family of Annonaceaea. It is a small tree that grows to about 4.5m high. It is commonly found in the Savanna and rain forest region of Nigeria and other African countries. It is called “Mmimi ohia” in Igbo, “Kas kaifi” in Hausa and “Akisan” in Yoruba (Ogueke et al. [37]). It is a plant with both medicinal and nutritional values. It used as sedative, analgesic and cardio-protective and for the treatment of gonorrhea, catarrhal inflammations, amenorrhea and prevention of miscarriage among several other uses (Okwu and Josiah [32]). The fruits are yellow when ripe and have a sweet pulp which is widely eaten. The fruit carpels are in finger-like clusters. All parts of the plant are fragrant. The root barks, stem barks and leaves have a wide spread medicinal use. In Nigeria a decoction of the stem is used on the treatment of diarrhea (Igoli et al. [33]). Personal interaction with traditional medicinal practitioners indicated that they use the various parts of the plant in the treatment of cough, various stomach problems and urinary tract infections. They also apply the sap from the root, stem and leaf to wounds and sores for quick and proper healing. The use of this plant and its extracts in treatment of infections is very popular amongst the traditional medical practitioners of South Eastern Nigeria. Uvaria chamae commonly called clustered pear or bush banana (Nne-nwe) is a small tree whose parts (the leaves) are used as concoction...
for treatment of malaria. A decoction of its roots, mixed with the roots of Anthoeleista djalonesis, Salacia nitida and Cnestis ferruginea is used in the treatment of gonorrhea. Uvaria chamae is known to have cytotoxic activity (Philipov [34]).

Poly herbal mixture is a blend of several herbs. It is a herbal medicine consisting of three key ingredients namely Uvaria chamae, Colocynthis citrullius and Curculigo pilosa. It is use to manage and control various health related problems such as diabetes, high blood pressure, typhoid and malaria, fibroid, arthritis, gonorrhea, staphylococcus and infertility.

The amino acids found in nature occur either in free form or as linear chains in peptides and proteins. Analysis of amino acids plays a significant role in the study of the composition of proteins, foodstuffs and other materials of biological origin. In multi-cellular organisms, most of the proteins are based on L-amino acids that have a great influence in both human and animal nutrition, health maintenance, and possess potent therapeutic applications (Ambrogelly et al. [23]). Plants subjected to different environmental and physiological stresses can accumulate amino acids in their system that play pivotal role in combating stress. The amino acids produced in plant systems act as osmolyte, regulate ion transport, modulate stomata opening, activate phyto-hormones and growth substances, generate chelating effect on micronutrients and play a vital role in the detoxification of heavy metals (Zhao et al. [22]). They are also responsible for the synthesis and functional properties of specific enzymes, gene expression, and redox-homeostasis. Most importantly, in higher plants the amino acids serve as precursors for secondary metabolism (Zhao et al. [22]). Thus, the amino acids are directly related to plant stress physiology and have diverse preventive and recovery effects.

Aim and objectives

The aim of this study was to determine and compare the amino acids profile of Uvaria chamae stem bark and Ruzu bitters (a poly herbal mixture).

Materials and Methods

Materials

The equipment, chemicals and reagents used in this analysis were of analytical grade and quality.
Biological Material

The stem bark of *Uvaria chamae* and Polyherbal mixture were used for this study.

Collection of plant materials

Fresh stem barks of *Uvaria chamae* used in the study were collected from Umuka-Okposi Ohaozara L.G.A, Ebonyi state. This indigenous local plant was identified and authenticated by a taxonomist Professor S. C. Onyekwelu of the Department of Biological Sciences, Ebonyi State University, Abakiliki, Ebonyi State and the polyherbal mixture used in the study was purchased from Ruzu bitters head office in Abakiliki.

Methods

Preparation of plant materials

The fresh stem bark of the *Uvaria chamae* were cut into pieces and air-dried in the laboratory at room temperature for one week. The dried sample was pounded to certain texture (using pestle and mortar). The sample was further milled into fine powder using a mechanical grinder, sieved and stored in an air tight plastic container for analysis.

Determination of amino acid profile

The Amino Acid profile of the known sample was determined using the method described by Benitez [21]. The known sample was dried to constant weight, defatted, hydrolyzed, evaporated in a rotary evaporator and loaded into the Applied Bio-systems PTH Amino Acid Analyzer.

Defatting sample

The sample was defatted using chloroform and methanol mixture of ratio 2:1. About 300mg of the sample was put in extraction thimble and extracted for 15 hours in soxhlet extraction apparatus (AOAC [20]).

Nitrogen determination

A small amount (0.115 mg) of ground sample was weighed, wrapped in whatman filter paper (No.1) and put in the Kjeldhal digestion flask. Concentrated sulphuric acid (10 ml) was added. Catalyst mixture (0.5 g) containing sodium sulphate (Na$_2$SO$_4$), copper sulphate (CuSO$_4$) and selenium oxide (SeO$_2$) in the ratio of 10:5:1 was added into the flask to facilitate digestion. Four pieces of anti-bumping granules were added. The
flask was then put in Kjeldhal digestion apparatus for 3 hours until the liquid turned light green. The digested sample was cooled and diluted with distilled water to 100ml in standard volumetric flask. Aliquot (10 ml) of the diluted solution with 10ml of 45% sodium hydroxide was put into the Markham distillation apparatus and distilled into 10ml of 2% boric acid containing 4 drops of bromocresol green/methyl red indicator until about 70 ml of distillate was collected.

The distillate was then titrated with standardize 0.01 N hydrochloric acid to grey coloured end point.

\[
\text{Percentage Nitrogen} = \frac{(a - b) \times 0.01 \times 14 \times V}{W \times C} \times 100
\]

where:

- \( a \) = Titre value of the digested sample
- \( b \) = Titre value of blank sample
- \( V \) = Volume after dilution (100ml)
- \( W \) = Weight of dried sample (mg)
- \( C \) = Aliquot of the sample used (10ml)
- 14 = Nitrogen constant in mg.

**Hydrolysis of the sample**

Thirty grams of the defatted sample was weighed into glass ampoule. Seven ml of 6N of Hydrochloric acid (HCl) was added and oxygen was expelled by passing nitrogen into the ampoule (this is to avoid possible oxidation of some amino acids during hydrolysis e.g. methionine and cystine). The glass ampoule was then sealed with Bunsen burner flame and put in an oven preset at 105°C±5°C for 22 hours. The ampoule was allowed to cool before broken open at the tip and the content was filtered to remove the humins. It should be noted that tryptophan is destroyed by 6N hydrochloric acid during hydrolysis.

The filtrate was then evaporated to dryness using rotary evaporator. The residue was dissolved with 5ml of acetate buffer (pH 2.0) and stored in plastic specimen bottles, which were kept in the freezer.
Loading of the hydrolysate into analyzer

The amount loaded was 60 micro litres. This was dispensed into the cartridge of the analyzer. The analyzer is designed to separate and analyze free acidic, neutral and basic amino acids of the hydrolysate.

Method of Calculating Amino Acid Values: An integrator attached to the Analyzer calculates the peak area proportional to the concentration of each of the amino acids.

Determination of tryptophan

The tryptophan in the known sample was hydrolyzed with 4.2 N Sodium hydroxide as described by (Spies [35]).

Defatting Sample

Thirty grams of the dried sample was weighed into extraction thimble and the fat was extracted with chloroform and methanol (2:1 mixture) using soxhlet extraction apparatus as described by AOAC [20] the extraction lasted for 15hrs.

Nitrogen determination

A small amount (200 mg) of ground sample was weighed, wrapped in whatman filter paper (No.1) and put in the Kjeldhal digestion flask. Concentrated sulfuric acid (10ml) was added. Catalyst mixture (0.5) containing sodium sulphate (Na$_2$SO$_4$), copper sulphate (CuSO$_4$) and selenium oxide (SeO$_2$) in the ratio of 10:5:1 was added into the flask to facilitate digestion. Four pieces of anti-bumping granules were added. The flask was then put in Kjeldhal digestion apparatus for 3 hours until the liquid turned light green. The digested sample was cooled and diluted with distilled water to 100ml in standard volumetric flask. Aliquot (10 ml) of the diluted solution with 10ml of 45% sodium hydroxide was put into the Markham distillation apparatus and distilled into 10ml of 2% boric acid containing 4 drops of bromocresol green/methyl red indicator until about 70ml of distillate was collected. The distillate was then titrated with standardized 0.01N hydrochloric acid to grey coloured end point, the percentage nitrogen in the original sample was calculated using the formula:

\[
\text{Percentage Nitrogen} = \frac{(a - b) \times 0.01 \times 14 \times V}{W \times C} \times 100
\]
where:

\[ a = \text{Titre value of the digested sample} \]
\[ b = \text{Titre value of blank sample} \]
\[ V = \text{Volume after dilution (100ml)} \]
\[ W = \text{Weight of dried sample (mg)} \]
\[ C = \text{Aliquot of the sample used (10ml)} \]
\[ 14 = \text{Nitrogen constant in mg.} \]

**Hydrolysis of the sample**

Thirty grams of the defatted sample was weighed into glass ampoule. Ten ml of 4.2 M NaOH was added and oxygen was expelled by passing nitrogen into the ampoule. The glass ampoule was then sealed with Bunsen burner flame and put in an oven preset at 105°C ± 5°C for four hours. The ampoule was allowed to cool before broken open at the tip and the content was filtered to remove the humins. The filtrate was neutralized to pH 7.00 and evaporated to dryness at 40°C under vacuum in a rotary evaporator. The residue was dissolved with 5ml of borate buffer (pH 9.0) and store in plastic specimen bottles, which were kept in the freezer.

**Loading of the hydrolysate into TSM analyzer**

The amount loaded was 5 micro litres. This was dispended into the cartridge of the analyzer. The period of an analysis lasted for 76 minutes.

**Method of calculating amino acid values**

An integrator attached to the analyzer calculates the peak area proportional to the concentration of each of the amino acids.

Method of calculating amino acid values from the chromatogram peaks: The net height of each peak produced by the chart recorder of TSM (each representing an amino acid) was measured. The half-height of the peak on the half-height was accurately measured and recorded. Approximate area of each peak was then obtained by multiplying the height with the width of half-height.

**Calculation of other protein quality parameters**

Determination of the ratio of total essential amino acids (TEAA) to the total amino
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acids (TAA), i.e. (TEAA/TAA), total sulphur amino acids (TSAA), percentage cystine in TSAA (% Cys/TSAA), total aromatic amino acids (TArAA), total neutral amino acids (TNAA), total acidic amino acids (TAAA) and total basic amino acids (TBAA) were estimated from the results obtained for amino acids profiles.

Results

Table 1 shows the amino acid (AA) composition for each sample. Glumatic acid had the highest concentration of 10.90 and 5.15 g/100 g protein in Uvaria chamae stem bark and poly herbal mixture respectively and it is an acidic amino acid. On the other hand, whilst aspartic acid was the second highest concentrated amino acid in Uvaria chamae stem bark and poly herbal mixture with the values of 8.40 and 3.44 g/100 g protein respectively, leucine was the third highest amino acid in Uvaria chamae stem bark and poly herbal mixture followed by arginine. Aspartic acid is a non-essential and acidic amino acid; leucine is an essential amino acid and is a neutral amino acid. The highest essential amino acid (EAA) was leucine (6.13 and 3.56 g/100 g) in Uvaria chamae stem bark and poly herbal mixture. The CV % of the amino acid values were generally high with the exception of isoleucine, phenylalanine, lysine, methionine, leucine, cysteine and alanine with respective CV % values of 27.32, 31.97, 33.68, 37.50, 37.53, 38.81 and 39.05 whilst rest of CV % values ranged from 50.69-94.53 showing the gap of the amino acid values in the two samples to each other. Table 2 and 3 show the concentrations of total AA (TAA), total essential AA (TEAA), total acidic AA (TAAA), total neutral AA (TNAA), total sulphur AA (TSAA), total aromatic AA (TArAA) and their percentage levels.

Table 1. Amino Acid Composition of Uvaria chamae stem bark of dry weight and poly herbal mixture in g/100 g protein.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amino Acids</th>
<th>Uvaria chamae</th>
<th>Polyherbal mixture</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D</th>
<th>%CV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'Leucine</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>37.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Lysine</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>33.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Isoleucine</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>21.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Phenylalanine</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>31.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Tryptophan</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>84.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Concentrations of essential, non-essential, acidic, neutral, and sulphur, aromatic amino acid in g/100 g crude protein of *Uvaria chamae* stem bark in dry weight and polyherbal mixture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amino Acids</th>
<th>Uvaria chamae</th>
<th>Polyherbal Mixture</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D</th>
<th>CV%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TAA</td>
<td>72.66</td>
<td>32.17</td>
<td>52.42</td>
<td>28.63</td>
<td>54.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEAA</td>
<td>34.41</td>
<td>17.44</td>
<td>25.93</td>
<td>9.61</td>
<td>37.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNEAA</td>
<td>38.25</td>
<td>14.73</td>
<td>26.49</td>
<td>14.82</td>
<td>55.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNAA</td>
<td>22.68</td>
<td>11.31</td>
<td>16.11</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>49.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAAA</td>
<td>19.30</td>
<td>8.59</td>
<td>13.95</td>
<td>7.57</td>
<td>54.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBAA</td>
<td>10.80</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>7.92</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>51.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSAA</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>38.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TARAA</td>
<td>8.76</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>6.54</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>48.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAA/TEAA</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>12.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total amino acid (TAA), Total Essential amino acid (TEAA), Total Non-essential amino acid (TNAAA), Total sulphur amino acids (TSAA), Total aromatic amino acids (TARAA), Total neutral amino acids (TNAA), Total acidic amino acids (TAAA) and Total basic amino acids (TBAA)
Table 3. Percentage concentrations of essential, non-essential, acidic, neutral, sulphur, aromatic and cysteine in total sulphur amino acid in g/100 g crude protein of *Uvaria chamae* stem bark of dry weight and polyherbal mixture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amino Acids</th>
<th><em>Uvaria chamae</em></th>
<th>Polyherbal mixture</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D</th>
<th>CV%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%TEAA</td>
<td>47.36</td>
<td>54.21</td>
<td>44.03</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>12.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%TNEAA</td>
<td>59.74</td>
<td>52.19</td>
<td>55.97</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>9.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%TNAA</td>
<td>31.12</td>
<td>35.16</td>
<td>33.14</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>8.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%TAAA</td>
<td>26.56</td>
<td>26.70</td>
<td>26.63</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%TBAA</td>
<td>14.86</td>
<td>15.64</td>
<td>15.25</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>3.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%TSAA</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>18.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%TArAA</td>
<td>12.06</td>
<td>13.40</td>
<td>12.73</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>7.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Cysteine in TSAA</td>
<td>27.44</td>
<td>47.05</td>
<td>37.25</td>
<td>13.87</td>
<td>37.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

%= percentage

Total amino acid (TAA), Total Essential amino acid (TEAA), Total Non-essential amino acid (TNAAA), Total sulphur amino acids (TSAA), Total aromatic amino acids (TArAA), Total neutral amino acids (TNAA), Total acidic amino acids (TAAA) and Total basic amino acids (TBAA)

**Discussion**

The result of this study showed that glutamic acid had the highest concentration of 10.90 and 5.15 g/100 g protein in *Uvaria chamae* stem bark and poly herbal mixture respectively and it is acidic amino acid (Table 1). On the other hand, while aspartic acid was the second highest concentrated amino acid in *Uvaria chamae* stem bark and poly herbal mixture with the values of 8.40 and 3.44 g/100 g protein respectively, leucine was the next amino acid in *Uvaria chamae* stem bark and Ruzu bitters followed by arginine (Table 1). Aspartic acid is a non-essential and acidic amino acid; leucine is an essential amino acid and is a neutral amino acid. The highest essential amino acid (EAA) was leucine (6.13 and 3.56 g/100 g) in *Uvaria chamae* stem bark and Ruzu bitters (Table 1). This is in line with the report of Olorunfemi et al. [18] which reported that *Moringa oleifera* leaves had the highest level of total amino acids (76.4 g/100 g) and followed by the root (70.9 g/100 g) while stem had 65.4 g/100 g as the lowest.

The result also supported the report of Omoyeni et al. [38] which revealed a high
value of glutamic acid, aspartic acid and leucine and low level of cystine, histidine, methionine and serine in dried leaves of Melanthera scandens. Adeyeye et al. [41] also reported that the glutamate value of 10.38 g/100 g cp in P. mildbraedii was the same as that reported in S. indicum and B. aegyptiaca and also with the leaves of F. asperifolia and F. sycomorus which followed the same trend in both fermented and unfermented Cocoa nibs. Another study on Amino acid compositions of Luffa cylindrica seed (Oyetayo and Ojo [19]) showed that Luffa cylindrica seed contains a high proportion of essential amino, glutamic acid was the most abundant non- essential acid found while arginine was the most concentrated essential amino acid. Aremu et al. [1] made a similar observation in L. cylindrica seed kernel. Osibona et al. [2] reported that the most abundant amino acids in Clarias gariepinus and Tilapia zillii the two fish species were glutamic acid, aspartic acid, leucine and lysine ranging from 9.49 % to 18.16 %. Oyetayo and Ojo [19] reported that the total amino acid concentration was 72.71 g/100g protein and the total essential amino acid concentration was 38.76 g/100g protein in Luffa cylindrica seed flour. Arginine (9.75 g/100g protein) was the most concentrated essential amino acid while the Lysine/Arginine ratio was 0.52 in Luffa cylindrica seed flour (Oyetayo and Ojo [19]). Igwenyi et al. [3] reported that the concentration of arginine in Irvigna gabonesis and Citrullus colocynthis were 5.01 and 9.32 g/100g protein respectively. The concentration of histidine was 2.40 and 4.77 g/100g for Irvigna gabonesis and Citrullus colocynthis respectively (Igwenyi et al. [3]). The seeds were also high in non-essential amino acids such as cysteine in Irvigna gabonesis while glutamic acid and aspartic acid were high in both seeds. The common culture of combining soups made with these seeds will effectively compensate for deficiencies in the balance of nutrients especially, the limiting essential amino acids in both seeds. The result of this study was not in correlation with the report of Aja et al. [4] which reported that ethanol-fruit extract of Phoenix dactylifera fruit (Dates fruit) sold in Abakaliki, Ebonyi State, Nigeria revealed that cysteine was not detected in the sample and nineteen (19) other amino acids were detected with proline (0.89 %) and tryptophan (0.78 %) as the major amino acids. Trimethysone (<0.1 %) was also detected in the sample.

Arginine (1.77-8.22 g/100g crude protein is essential for children and reasonable levels were present in the two samples: the lysine contents of the samples (2.17-3.53 g/100g cp) were about one half to the content of the reference egg protein (6.3 g/100g), and any of the samples will therefore serve as an average source for the amino acid. The study revealed that TEAA in Uvaria chamae stem bark was 34.41 g/100g protein which
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is comparable to some literature values of nonconventional meat sources (g/100g protein): 35.1 for Zonocerus variagatus (Adeyeye [5]); 35.0 for Macrotermes bellicosus (Adeyeye [5]); 42.8 for Limicolaria sp., 36.1 for Archatina archatina, 45.0 for Archachatina marginata (Adeyeye and Afolabi [6]); 38.6 for heart and 42.2 for liver of African giant pouch rat (Cricetomys gambianus) (Adeyeye and Aremu [7]) while that of poly herbal mixture was 17.44 g/100g protein. The contents of TSAA were generally lower than the 5.8 g/100 g cp recommended for infants (FAO/WHO [8]). The TARAA range suggested for ideal protein (6.8-11.8 g/100 g) FAO/WHO [8] has present value for Uvaria chamae slightly greater than the minimum and close to the maximum, i.e. 8.76 g/100 g cp. The TARAA are precursors of epinephrine and thyroxin (Robinson [9]).

The percentage ratios of TEAA to the TAA in the samples were 47.36 % (Uvaria chamae) and 54.211 % (poly herbal mixture) which were strongly comparable to that of egg (50 %) (FAO/WHO [16]), 43.6 % reported for pigeon pea flour (Oshodi et al. [10]), 43.8-44.4 % (beach pea protein isolate) (Chavan et al. [11]), 46.2 % (liver) and 46.3 % (heart) reported for African giant pouch rat (Cricetomys gambianus) (Adeyeye and Aremu [7]). The percentage ratios of TEAA to the TAA in the samples were well above the 39 % considered to be adequate for ideal protein food for infants, 26 % for children and 11 % for adults (FAO/WHO [8]). Most animal proteins are low in cystine (Cys) and hence in Cys in TSAA. For examples, (Cys/TSAA) % were 36.3 in M. bellicosus (Adeyeye [5]); 25.6 in Z. Variegates (Adeyeye [5]); 35.5 in A. marginata, 38.8 in A. archatina and 21.0 in Limicolaria sp., respectively (Adeyeye and Afolabi [6]); 23.8-30.1 % in three fresh fish consumed in Nigeria (Adeyeye [12]) and 29.8 % in Gymnarchus niloticus (Trunk fish) (Adeyeye and Adamu [39]).

In contrast, many vegetable proteins contain substantially more Cys than Met, for examples, 62.9 % in coconut endosperm (Adeyeye [13] and Aremu et al. [1]); its range is 58.9-72.0 in guinea corn (Adeyeye et al. [14]); it is 50.5 % in cashew nut 43; it is 40.7 % in Triticum durum (Adeyeye [14]) and 44.4 % in Parkia biglobosa seeds (Adeyeye [15]). In the present study % (Cys/TSAA) values ranged from 27.44-47.05 which were much closer to the usual plant values. Thus, for animal protein, Cys is unlikely to contribute up to 50 % of the TSAA (FAO/WHO [16]). The % Cys/TSAA had been set at 50 % in rat, chick and pig diets (FAO/WHO [16]) but not in man. Cys can spare with Met in improving the protein quality and has positive effects on mineral absorption, particularly zinc (Mendoza [17]).
Conclusion

The study showed that *Uvaria chamae* stem bark had reasonable levels of total amino acid and essential amino acids greater than Ruzu bitters while % Cys/TSAA value is higher in poly herbal mixture than *Uvaria chamae*.
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